



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2015

<https://archive.org/details/b2135893x>







THE  
REPORT

ON THE

Cow-Pock Inoculation,

From the Practice at the

VACCINE-POCK INSTITUTION,

C  
DURING THE YEARS 1800, 1801, AND 1802,

Read at the General Meeting of the Governors, February 7th, 1803,  
at the Shakspeare Tavern;

WRITTEN BY

THE PHYSICIANS TO THE INSTITUTION;

TO WHICH ARE PREFIXED,

*Two painted Engravings of Cow-Pock and other Eruptions.*

---

Ipse Repertor opis Vaccas pavisse Phœæas  
Fecit.

OVID, V. EP.

————— This is an Art  
Which does mend Nature, change it rather; but  
The Art itself is Nature.

WINTER'S TALE.

---

LONDON:

PRINTED AND SOLD BY HENRY REYNELL, 21, PICCADILLY—PRINTER  
TO THE INSTITUTION; AND MAY BE HAD OF BECKETT, PALL-MALL;  
MILLER, OLD BOND-STREET; AND OF W. SANCHO, AT THE INSTITU-  
TION, NO. 44, BROAD-STREET, GOLDEN-SQUARE.

—♦♦♦—  
1803.

11522

TO THE RIGHT HONORABLE  
*The Earl of CHOLMONDELEY,*  
PRESIDENT,

To the Right Hon. Lord PETRE, F. R. S. &c.

The Rev. Sir GEORGE LEE, Bart.

Sir GEORGE BAKER, Bart. F. R. S. &c.

H. J. DE SALIS, D. D. F. R. S.

WILLIAM ADAM, Esq.

WILLIAM DEVAYNES, Esq. M. P.

VICE-PRESIDENTS ;

AND TO THE  
TRUSTEES, TREASURERS, AND GOVERNORS,  
OF THE

*Vaccine-Pock Institution,*

*THIS REPORT,*

INTENDED FOR DISSEMINATING THE KNOWLEDGE,  
AND EXTENDING THE HISTORY, OF THE VACCINA,

Is respectfully dedicated by their

Very obedient and most humble Servants,

GEORGE PEARSON,

LAWRENCE NIELL,

THOMAS NELSON.

*Institution, No. 44, Broad-street,  
Golden-square, May, 1803.*



## DIRECTION TO BINDER.

Place the Plate with three series of pocks before the other Plate.

## EXPLANATION OF THE PLATES.

THE 1st Plate exhibits three series of pocks; one designated S. P. represents the Small-Pox in *four* different stages of the pock of the inoculated part, *namely*, the nascent vesicle of the 4th day.—2d. The *vesicle* becoming a *pustule* on the 9th day.—3d. The *pustule* becoming a scab or crust. 4th. In its state of entire scab. The two series comprehended under the bracket, with the title C. P. are two examples of the Cow-Pock also in four stages, viz. of nascent vesicle.—2d. The full grown vesicle, or at its *maximum*.—3d. The vesicle undergoing the scabbing process.—And 4th. The vesicle in one instance become partly a scab, and in another, wholly so.

The 2d Plate, 1 fig. 12th day—1 and 2 are intended to shew the *oval* or *round* form of the pock, according to the inoculation by a *scratch* or *puncture*, which were inflicted on the same arm, in the situation represented. These pocks are also offered as characteristic specimens of them in the scabbing process, with the kind of areola which is by far the most usual.

1 Fig. 22d day—1 and 2 represent the form of the scabs of the above pocks 1, 2, but from an oversight they are not disposed according to the same situation of them on the 12th day: they are given as characteristic of the peculiar Vaccine scabs.

2 Fig. represent the Vaccine Pocks of No. 1221, described in p. 44. to shew the effect of an intervening distemper



per in retarding the progress through the respective stages, and to shew the smaller size of the pock from a second Inoculation.

Fig. 2, 11th day.—1. The pock of the 19th day from first Inoculation, of a somewhat unusual colour, but in the common vesicular and incipient scabbing state of the 11th day.

2. The pock of the 11th day from the second Inoculation, of a different colour, and much smaller than the former, but in other respects like the 11th day ones, included in the red areola of the older pock.

Fig. 2, 19th day.—1 and 2 are the scabs of the 19th day from the first Inoculation, and 11th day from the second, on the arm of a different subject inoculated at the same time and manner, with the same matter as the subject of the pocks, 2 fig. 1, 2, 11th day, to shew, first, the influence of the above intervening disorder. 2d. to shew that the scabbing process begins immediately after the constitutional affection, however early the stage of the pock from the second Inoculation. These are also considered as characteristic Vaccine-Pock scabs.

Fig. 3. *A pock not Vaccine, 7th day*, is intended to shew a different kind of eruption or pimple on the 7th day from the Vaccine-Pock, being produced by Inoculation of Cow-Pock matter on the arm of a person who had undergone the Small-Pox. Eruptions, not Vaccine, have been vaguely called *spurious Cow-Pock*, as if an eruption with constant and peculiar properties were excited by matter mistaken for Vaccine matter; or as if real Vaccine matter in certain circumstances excited such non-Vaccine eruptions.

Fig. 4. comprehends representations of three singular pocks, in case No. 1196, p. 96.

3, 15th day—*Arm* shews the *scab* on the 15th day of an uncommon colour, but of the characteristic form, and usual size of the ordinary sort of Cow-Pock. A common kind of cicatrix was subsequently observed.

2, 8th day—An eruption on the shoulder scabbing on the 8th day after its appearance, and the 15th from the day of Inoculation. A scar was left by this eruption.

1, 9th day—Exhibits one of the two eruptions on the *nates* on the 9th day from its appearance, and 15th from the Inoculation; now in the scabbing state, of a different colour, but of the circular defined figure of the Cow-Pock, and of the natural size, which is larger than common. A deep cicatrix was seen from this eruption on Friday, April the 22d.

*Note,* Two eruptions on the *nates* appearing on the 8th day after Inoculation as large as on the inoculated parts of the arms, the matter of which excited the Vaccine in No. 1261, p. 96, raised a doubt whether or no these eruptions were not from matter casually applied to the *nates*, and not from the Vaccine constitutional affection; and on enquiry we find, a fever really began on 7th day after Inoculation, the day after these two eruptions. But on the other hand, the subsequent eruptions during the fever, (if they were of the same kind as on the *nates*) seem to shew that all the eruptions were from the constitutional affection. Future occurrences must determine these questions.

5 Fig. 1, 2 Are representations of the Chicken-Pox on the 2d and 3d day after their eruption.

3 Shews the state of the Chicken-Pock disfigured by scratching.

6 Fig.—Shews a cicatrix from the inoculated Vaccina.





S.P

C.P

4 Day

5

4

9

8

9

13

10

11

15

12

14









Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 5



Fig. 6

Fig. 6



---

REPORT  
ON THE  
COW-POCK INOCULATION,  
FROM THE PRACTICE  
AT THE  
*Vaccine Pock Institution.*

---

PREVIOUSLY to the report which we shall now deliver from our experience of the Vaccine Inoculation, at this Institution, it may, probably, be not uninteresting to a part of the Governors, to be made acquainted with the motives for this Establishment. In executing this part of our design, we cannot avoid entering into a short detail of the history of the Cow-Pock.

Motives for establishing an Institution.

In the month of June, 1798, Dr. JENNER published his “Enquiry into the causes and effects of the *Variolæ Vaccinæ*,” the first authentic account of this disease, otherwise called the

Brief history of the Cow-Pock.



Facts known before Jenner's publication.

the Cow-Pock. Many facts concerning it were antecedently known among the farmers, and a few practitioners in several of the counties of England. Some of these facts had been slightly noticed by preceding writers.\* The observations alluded to, were, that persons, principally servants, employed in milking cows infected with the Cow-Pock, and contracting sores, became unsusceptible of the Small-Pox. —That the Cow-Pock never proved fatal—that it was not attended by eruptions—that it was not infectious, except by Inoculation—that considerable local affection was occasionally produced, though frequently very little—that in some instances persons were inoculated with the matter of Cow-Pock, purposely to prevent the Small-Pox.

The publication above-mentioned, for which the world are so greatly indebted to Dr. JENNER, being (as already said) the first expressly on the Cow-Pock, contains seven cases of Inoculation, viz. three from the cow to the human subject, the first of which was in May,

\* See Woodville, on Inoculation; Adams on Morbid Poison; and Beddoes Translation of Gimbernat, 1795.

1796; two others in 1798; four from one human subject to others in succession, likewise in 1798.

The same authority informed us also, that a pretty smart Fever supervened, about the 7th to the 9th day after Inoculation—that the Cow-Pock was so like the Small-Pox, as to be undistinguishable from it, by the most experienced inoculator—that the affection of the inoculated part was so considerable as to require external applications—that persons who had gone through the Cow-Pock, were still susceptible of the same disease—that persons who had gone through the Small-Pox, were still susceptible of the Cow-Pock—that the grease of horses produced the Cow-Pock in cows—that persons who had been infected by the matter of grease, were in a great measure unsuceptible of the Small Pox. Accordingly the author proposed this query in such terms, as were fitting and judicious from the evidence then possessed, as related by himself;

“ may we not infer that a mode of Inoculation may be introduced, preferable to that at present adopted, especially amongst those families, which, from previous circumstances, we may judge to be pre-disposed to have the disease unfavourably?” But it appears no per-

Facts communicated in Jenner's book.

In 1798, after Jenner's publication, no one was in possession of matter.

son was in possession of Vaccine matter, during the remainder of the year 1798; except Mr. Cline, who inoculated one patient, but he did not avail himself of the opportunity of collecting it. Inquiries being made among the farmers and others, concerning the history of the Cow-Pock, matter was in consequence obtained in January, 1799, on the appearance of the disease, amongst the cows of Mr. Harrifon in Gray's-inn-lane, and those of Mr. Willan in Mary-le-bone fields. From these sources only the Vaccine Inoculation was begun and carried on in London, and in several provincial towns, with great zeal by many practitioners; so that by the termination of the year 1799, four thousand persons, at least, had been inoculated, principally in London and its neighbourhood. This one-year's experience then, furnished eight hundred-fold additional evidences, to those first communicated on the subject; by which additional evidences, the following facts were confirmed or discovered.

Cow-Pock epidemic in London, Jan. 1799, the matter of which used to set on foot Vaccine Inoculation.

Four thousand persons inoculated in 1799, in and near London.

1st. That the Cow-Pock destroyed the susceptibility of the Small-Pox.

2d. That

2d. That the Cow-Pock was not infectious by effluvia.

3d. That, except in cases where the patient had been exposed to the action of variolous contagion, there were no eruptions like the Small-Pox.

4th. That only one had died during the new Inoculation ; which accident \* was at first considered to be ambiguous, but which has been subsequently regarded as a case of a different disorder, and in all likelihood, the Small-Pox.

Facts ascertained  
by Inoculation in  
1799.

5th. That the local affection was far less than in the inoculated Small-Pox.

6th. That, in most instances, there was no constitutional affection observable in more than four cases out of ten.

7th. That the Cow-Pock cannot take place in the same person after the Small-Pox.

\* It occurred in the Small-Pox Hospital, see Woodville's Report for 1799.

8th. That

8th. That persons cannot undergo the Cow-Pock, who have already gone through this affection.

9th. That the figure and other external characters of the Cow-Pock, contrary to representation, were quite different from those of the Small-Pox.

10th. That no consequent disorders took place, imputable to the Cow-Pock, except slight eruptive ones.

The advantages of the inoculated Vaccina, over the inoculated Small-Pox, were, by these facts, plainly manifested, and now a prospect was disclosed highly gratifying to benevolence, namely, that of extinguishing the most loathsome, and extensively fatal of all diseases—the Small-Pox. These considerations very naturally excited the desire to have an Institution solely for the purpose of Vaccine Inoculation, especially with the following intentions.

1st. To secure the succession of matter.

2d. That

Prospect of exterminating Small-Pox, by practice in 1799.



2d. To extend the benefits of the new Inoculation to poor individuals.

Objects of an Institution at the close of year 1799.

3d. To promote the spreading of it among all ranks of persons, by furnishing additional satisfactory evidence.

4th. To investigate more fully the history of this Vaccine disease.

It would be unjust, and indeed ungrateful, to omit acknowledging that the Vaccine Inoculation had been carried on uninterruptedly with great zeal at the Small-Pox Hospital, from the January, 1799, preceding, where, by this time, above two thousand persons had been inoculated.

Practice of the Small-Pox Hospital in 1799.

On this occasion, the conduct of the physician to that hospital, in sacrificing his ease and interest to introduce the Cow-Pock Inoculation, in opposition to the prejudices of a great part of the public, and at the risk of the displeasure of the Governors, ought to secure to his name eternal honour, and the gratitude of the whole human race. Here also we must not pass unnoticed the opposite conduct of other physicians in similar situations, who, without trial, and by absurd arguments, cried down the pre-

Dr. Woodville's liberal conduct.

tensions

tensions of the new candidate, Cow-Pock Inoculation, and endeavoured to excite the resentment of the public against it, by stirring up and fomenting their prejudices.

But further; another proof of Dr. Woodville's magnanimity was the becoming one of the original founders of this Institution, and his being willing to accept the station of one of the physicians, had it been found consistent with his engagements to his other public office.

It may be necessary to observe, that our Institution was in no respect to be considered as injurious to the prosperity of the Small-Pox hospital, for

New Institution  
did not interfere  
with the interest  
of the Small-Pox  
hospital.

1st. The sole object of our Institution was to carry on the new Inoculation, which required for its support, more than one establishment.

2d. Many persons objected to the practice in an hospital, which contained Small-Pox patients, on account of the possible agency of the poison of the two diseases at the same time.

3d. Many

3d. Many persons willing to be inoculated for the Cow-Pock, disliked the attendance for it, at a public hospital, containing patients of a different description.

4th. It was necessary, from the extent of the town and its population, that there should be houses for Inoculation in different situations.

According to the views above-stated, a meeting was held on December 2d, 1799, at Dr. Pearson's house, when it was agreed and resolved—

1st. “ That there is already sufficient evidence of very decisive advantages of the inoculation of the Cow-Pock over that of the Small-Pox, in a number of points argued at the meeting. First meeting of the Institution at Dr. Pearson's house in December, 1799.

2d. That in order to extend the benefits of the new inoculation to the more indigent ranks of society; to obtain new and satisfactory evidence for those who may be unacquainted with the practice, and to expedite the general substitution of the Vaccine Pock, for the Small-Pox Inoculation, a charity shall be established to be called “ THE INSTITUTION FOR THE Resolution of the first meeting.

C

IN-

INOCULATION OF THE VACCINE, OR COW-  
POCK.

3d. Among the earliest, who kindly accept-  
ed of offices for the economical management  
of the Institution, were  
Officers appoint-  
ed.

VICE-PRESIDENTS.

THE RIGHT HON. LORD PETRE

SIR WILLIAM LEE, BART.

SIR GEORGE BAKER, BART.

HENRY JEROME DE SALIS, D.D.

WILLIAM ADAM, ESQ.

WILLIAM DEVAYNES, ESQ.

AND

STEPHEN AISLEY, ESQ. *Treasurer.*

It may be most fit to notice in this place, that  
the Right Hon. the Earl of Cholmondeley was  
pleased, subsequently to this period, to honour  
the Institution by becoming its president.

4th. The gentlemen who were agreed upon,  
and accepted of the offices for the medical de-  
partment, at first, were Dr. Pearson, Dr.  
Nihell, Mr. Keate, Mr. Rush, Mr. Robert  
Keate, Mr. Gunning, Mr. Brande, Mr. Rivers,  
Mr.

Mr. Everard Brande; to whom were subsequently added, Dr. Nelson, Mr. Payne, and Mr. Carpue.

5th. Addreffes, and plans of the designs of the Institution, were published to obtain subscribers, and give notice to the public of the practice propofed. On the 14th of January, 1800, the members of the Institution held their first meeting at a house in Warwick-ftreet, Golden-ftquare, a part of which was hired for their ufe. At this meeting, various arrangements took place for managing the new eftablifhment, and for the practice of Inoculation which was begun the fame month.

House hired in  
Warwick-ftreet  
for the practice,  
begun in Jan.  
1800.

In giving a hiftory of this Institution, we fhould have been glad to have been able to have left unrecorded a circumftance, although, on account of it, both the original Founders in general, and individual ones have been long expofed to repeated obloquy in circles of conversation and in periodical publications. It was determined to endure thefe reflections fo unmerited, rather than expofe to the eye of the public, a tranfaction which fome perfons might confider as more dignified to pafs by

Reflections on  
the Founders re-  
fpecting Dr. Jen-  
ner.



unnoticed : but the very recent and probably future repetition of the accusation here alluded to, appears to demand from us an explanation ; for now we should by silence seemingly admit the charge. Here, however, from the same motives which induced us hitherto to make no reply, we shall now state what is barely necessary on so disagreeable a transaction, and that with extreme brevity.

The public have been told, even in terms of invective, and reports have been industriously propagated, that the Founders have been guilty of a flagrant act of injustice in excluding Dr. JENNER from this Institution. If our adversaries had but been thoughtful, candid, and judicious enough to have ascertained the truth of the supposed fact, to which their censures were imputed, they would have spared themselves the trouble of going further, granting (which we are not required in propriety to do) that it was an act of injustice to do what was asserted. The truth is, that Dr. Jenner was invited by one of your Reporters, Dr. Pearson, in a letter addressed to him at Berkely, his place of residence, December 10th, 1799, to belong to the Institution, under the most honorable

norable title then deemed consistent, that of consulting or corresponding physician. This proposal was declined, without intimating that any other situation would be more agreeable. Subsequently, in order to shew due respect and deference to some friends of the Institution, Mr. Brande was deputed by the Vaccine Medical Committee to wait upon Dr. JENNER, then in town, and assure him of the willingness of each and all the medical department to make any arrangement agreeable to induce him to be attached to the Institution; the committee even went so far as to authorise Mr. Brande to say, Dr. JENNER might make what alterations he pleased in the \* plan of the Institution, and that if any of the officers were not agreeable to him, there was not one who was not willing to resign. After repeated applications and much delay in the spring, 1800, all the proposals were declined, it being at last said, it was thought best, that there should be no Institution.

Dr. Jenner repeatedly applied to by the Institution, to be attached to it.

[ \* At the Committee, on Tuesday 11th February, 1800,

It was resolved, That Mr. Brande, the chairman, should wait on Dr. JENNER, and settle with him respecting such a situation as may be agreeable to him in the Institution.

Vide MINUTE BOOK.

Unless



The physicians  
conceded to Dr.  
Jenner what was  
not legal, on ac-  
count of respect  
to the promul-  
gator of the Cow-  
Pock.

Unless it be exacted by a continuance of censures, we shall avoid explanation (which might, at this time, seem invidious) to make it appear that the offers, on the part of the physicians of the Institution, were more than could be strictly or even legally justified, but that they conceded their rights from their respect for the promulgator of a most beneficial practice.

Practice an-  
nounced to the  
public in Jan.  
1800, and car-  
ried on in War-  
wick-street, the  
whole year.

Arrangements were so made as to announce to the public the commencement of the new practice at a house, No. 36, Warwick-street, Golden-square, on Tuesday, January 21st, 1800, under the direction of the above-named medical establishment. Here the practice was carried on 'till the commencement of the following year, when the Institution was removed to a larger and more commodious house, No. 5, Golden-square; where the business has been since that time conducted according to the annexed plan\*.

During the first six months after the establishment of the Institution, in part from the

\* See the plan annexed to this report.

novelty of the practice, but principally from the opposition to the arrangement, only a small number of subjects were inoculated. However, a sufficient number applied to keep up the succession of matter, and generally to be able to supply the demand for inoculation in other places.

From the 18th of January to the 31st of December, were inoculated - - -	317	Number ino- culated up to Dec. 1802.
From the 1st of January, 1801, to De- cember 31st - - - - -	287	
From the 1st of January, 1802, to De- cember 31st, 1802 - - - - -	569	
	<hr/>	
	1202	
	<hr/>	

Of these a considerable number were sub-  
sequently inoculated for the Small-Pox, but  
owing to apprehensions entertained by some  
of the governors, that the variolous matter  
might co-operate with the vaccine, and thereby  
occasion mistakes and confusion, as was at that  
period supposed to have happened in other  
places, it was desired that the medical establish-  
ment should not for a long time introduce into  
their

their apartments a particle of the Small-Pox matter. This accounts for so many remaining on the register sheets uninoculated in the years 1800 and 1801 : but a great number of persons would not be at the trouble of, or were prejudiced against a second inoculation ; and many, from a conviction of security by the vaccina, refused to accept of the counter proof.

Grand object was the preservation of the succession of matter, and for determining the laws of action of the Vaccine poison.

We are aware that a greater number of persons will be expected to have been inoculated for the Cow-Pock than are above stated ; but it must be considered, that the house for this practice is not situated in a part abounding with poor people ; and when it is also considered, that the grand objects of the Institution were the preservation of the succession of matter, for the public at large, and for determining the laws of action of the Vaccine poison, by accurate registers of observations, it is hoped it will be found, that these points have been completely, or at least in great part attained. With respect to the former of these last-mentioned objects, we have the satisfaction to report, not a week, and very often not a day has passed, without applications being made to the Institution for matter to supply great part of

of the metropolis, and still more extensively, provincial practitioners. In particular, here has been the appointed office, for supplying the navy and army, by order of government. Nor have the benefits of the Institution in this respect been confined to our own country, for France, Germany, Russia, Portugal, Italy, different parts of Asia, Africa, and America, and the West Indies, have all been furnished with matter from this source. In no instance that has come to our knowledge has our matter been followed by any other effect, than the Cow-Pock; but in a few cases, the Small Pox, from the intervening agency of that poison (although at first confounded with the Cow-Pock) has taken place. The Chicken-Pox, Measles, and ulcerous sore Throat, which sometimes occurred during the Cow-Pock, were too obvious to be imputed to the Vaccine poison.

Vaccine Institution, the appointed office for matter for the army and navy; also supplies the world in general.

With respect to the latter-mentioned object, the observation of facts to determine the laws of agency of this matter, we have made a selection, and reduced into propositions such as seemed most worthy of remark, either from importance or novelty.

Facts reduced into propositions



*I. PROPOSITION.*

A person by undergoing the Cow-Pock, is rendered incapable of undergoing the Small-Pox.

The proofs of this fact are taken 1st from the inefficacious inoculation of persons with variolous matter after the Cow-Pock.

2d. From the exposure of persons after the Cow-Pock to the Small-Pox, with impunity.

Proofs that persons cannot take Small-Pox after Cow-Pock.

With respect to the first kind of counter proof or test, for reasons above assigned, we cannot offer the evidence of inoculation for the Small-Pox, of all the inoculated cases of the Cow-Pock at the Institution, but near three hundred instances of such unavailing variolous inoculation, after the Cow-Pock, in our establishment, conjointly with many thousands of other instances elsewhere, without a single example of the Small-Pox being excited, in our judgment afford demonstration of the truth of the above proposition.

With

With respect to the other kind of test, the exposure and intercourse with persons ill of the Small-Pox, subsequently to the Cow-Pock, we have not received intelligence, or seen a single instance of such subsequent occurrence of that disease: and from the disposition of both the patients themselves, and others, to consider as the Small-Pox many different eruptions, it was not probable that such occurrences should have taken place without coming to our knowledge. We find in looking over our register, that in a number of instances, the Small-Pox has come on while the patient was in the Cow-Pock (i. e.) within twelve days after inoculation: such cases, however, do not belong to the fact under examination; but evidently must be referred to the head of intervening diseases hereafter to be explained.

It may be also useful to notice, that we have been alarmed two or three times with the intelligence of the Small-Pox occurring several weeks or months after our patients had undergone the Cow-Pock. We thought it our duty to visit and examine these patients, and also to enquire into their history among their attendants; and by these means we obtained the

completest satisfaction, that the pretended Small Pox was generally the Chicken-Pox. One instance was the under-related, which we have selected for illustration, because the eruptions were by their resemblance, mistaken for the Small-Pox, by the friends of the patient; and even by a medical practitioner, who accordingly gave a representation of the case, by no means advantageous to the Institution.

Chicken-Pox  
after Cow-Pock,  
resembling and  
mistaken for  
Small-Pox.

A child, ten months of age, was inoculated the 1st of April, 1800, by one puncture only. The infection took, and the pock was well characterised, by the vesicle, on the 6th day, and on the 11th, by the scabbing process beginning with an extensive areola. On the 15th day, there was the genuine Vaccine scab, which subsequently fell off, and left a cicatrix. The patient was constitutionally disordered on the 6th day, and again on the 8th; but most probably from teething. On the 8th of August following, the child returned to the Institution with above 100 eruptions of blackish scabs, and red spots, apparently the Chicken-Pox, in the scabbing state, which it was said began to appear seven days before, and came out during five days, having been preceded by a fever  
three



three days previously to the eruption. Small pits were left, as was observed by one of your Reporters, some months after this eruption.

It will probably afford instruction to notice, that cases of eruption, supposed to be various, were observed subsequently to the Cow-Pock, of which the adversaries to the new inoculation availed themselves to discredit it. Other eruptions after Cow-Pock resembling Small Pox. But however resembling, on the mere inspection, such eruptions were to the Small-Pox, the inquiry into the course and duration of them manifested a different disorder; still to remove all possible ambiguity, the *Experimentum Crucis* by inoculation of the matter of such eruptions was instituted, but unavailingly.

## II. PROPOSITION.

No one has died from the inoculation of the Cow-Pock.

Of about 1200 subjects (the number inoculated up to December, 1802, as appears on our registers,) not one has died; but six to ten usually

usually die of this number inoculated for the Small-Pox. Further, it is not, as far as we know, authenticated, that any person has hitherto died of the Vaccina itself, among those inoculated elsewhere. The deaths which might

No one died of  
the inoculated  
Vaccina.

have been imputed to it, by uninformed persons, as in an instance or two at the Institution, were occasioned by intervening disorders, or immediately subsequent ones, hereafter to be noticed under a different head, and were not induced by inoculation.—Here however, perhaps, we ought to except a few \* instances, which have been published, of deaths of very young children, apparently occasioned by ulcerations of the inoculated part. These ulcerations were in all probability produced by exposure to cold, scratching, pressure, adhering of the linen to the ruptured vesicle, or sore from the torn-off scab; together with the application of dirt, or other extraneous matter among very poor people, whose chil-

In what circum-  
stances very  
young children  
died of ulcerated  
arms.

\* In the Bill of Mortality of London, for 1802, two *deaths* are inserted, from the Cow-Pock: we have enquired, but in vain, for the evidence of these cases. In the year 1800, also, one death by the Cow-Pock is inserted, which was subsequently found erroneous.

dren

dren were half-starved, and half naked. It has been too commonly the practice to blame the Inoculator in these instances, by imputing the mischief to using matter from a pock older than the ninth day; and also, on equally unjustifiable grounds, to refer these bad consequences to using some other matter different from the Vaccine, or to the mode of inoculation. We have heard of no death from the ulceration or inflammation among children duly nursed.

### III. PROPOSITION.

The symptoms from the local affection, or inoculated part, were in no instance more severe, and generally much less so than in the inoculated Small-Pox.

If the inoculation produced the Vaccina at all, then in about nine cases out of ten, the vesicle rose, and afterwards desiccated without any painful feelings, except a little heat of the part, stiffness and itching; and the scab which succeeded, dropt off without leaving any sore, but merely a cicatrix.

Affection of inoculated part generally less considerable than in inoculated Small Pox.

In

In most of the remaining or tenth cases, a little phlegmonous swelling arose in the inoculated part, about the twelfth to the fifteenth day, attended with pain for a few days; and the *vesicle* very rarely became a *pustule*, i. e. a suppuration rarely occurred.

Extensive erythema sometimes comes on.

In a few of the tenth cases, an erythema (improperly called erysipelas) attended by heat rather than pain, arose on the 10th, 11th, or 12th day, which spread to a pretty considerable extent over the arm, from the part inoculated; but by proper management, this erythema disappeared in a few days, except at the extreme borders of it, where it left a stain for a few days further, as well as a red areola around the drying vesicle.

Vesicle being torn, becomes a sore.

In a still much smaller proportion, than above asserted, of the remaining cases, the vesicle, especially on being torn, became a sore, which was sometimes attended with inflammation of the surrounding parts. This sore, in four or five instances extended, so as to occasion pain, lameness of the arm, and a discharge of a purulent or other fluid, for a week or more, but in no case did there appear dangerous symptoms.



toms. We had decisive proof that these sore arms were occasioned by scratching off the vesicle; tearing off the scab; friction by the cloaths made too strait; and by other kinds of mechanical violence. We do not, however, mean to allege, that in no instance do such sore arms occur independent of mechanical injury, from the irritation of the Vaccine vesicle or scab itself; for in truth, we have seen such cases in private practice, particularly in scrofulous patients.

Sore arms occur sometimes independent of mechanical irritation, from peculiarity of constitution.

#### IV. PROPOSITION.

In nineteen out of twenty inoculated cases, the rise, growth, and termination of the Cow-Pock was uniform (provided the mode of inoculation was alike) except the variation of the size.

It will suffice, in this place, to take notice of a few phœnomena only under that head.

1st. The red areola, during the beginning and early part of the scabbing process, generally

E

rally

Red Arcola not  
necessary to the  
Cow-Pock,  
which destroys  
the susceptibility  
to the Small-  
Pox.

rally took place, but when it was absent, in other respects, the pock was the usual one; and the susceptibility of the Small-Pox was equally destroyed as when the most extensive erythema appeared on the inoculated part.

2d. The figure of the pock was always circular when the inoculation was by puncture, and often oblong, or oval, when by a scratch.

3d. In no case did the pock appear with ragged edges and little surrounding eruptions after the tenth day, as in the Small-Pox.

Properties of the  
Vaccine-Pock.

4th. The diameter of the circular pock was usually about two to three tenths of an inch, but sometimes it was to the extent of six tenths; and, on the contrary, sometimes it did not exceed one tenth. However, in these latter cases of a very small vesicle, provided it was of the usual duration with the usual scab, and left a permanent cicatrix, the susceptibility of the Small-Pox was equally destroyed as by the largest pock.

5th. In

5th. In about one case in two or three hundred only did the vesicle suppurate, or become a pustule.

In one case out of three hundred only was the Vaccine-Pock a pustule

6th. In some cases, a large pimple, or gnat-bite-like eruption only was excited, but permanent for the usual time, yet, on re-inoculation with the Vaccine and variolous matter, the susceptibility of the Small-Pox appeared to have been destroyed.

7th. By scratching, the common appearance of the Vaccine-Pock was altered, but the effect of unsusceptibility of the Small-Pox was produced.

8th. In some cases, the progress of the affection was so slow, that the pock on the eighth day had the common appearance of that of the fourth, and that of the sixteenth was like the usual one on the eighth or tenth. We have been shewn a case in which no signs appeared of the infection having taken place for five weeks; but in six days, after a second inoculation, a pock appeared, and alike from both inoculations.



Anomalous Vaccine-Pocks produced incapability of taking the Small-Pox.

9th. In two or three rare instances, an irregular figured pock, followed by a yellow rough large irregular figured scab, was produced on repeated inoculation; yet the subject could not take the Small-Pox subsequently.

10th. In one case, a large mulberry-like pock only was excited, yet the susceptibility of the Small-Pox was destroyed by it.

In what cases the eruption does not destroy the susceptibility to the Small-Pox.

11th. In no case was the susceptibility of the Cow-Pock, and of course of the Small-Pox, destroyed, when the pimple or pock excited, disappeared within ten or twelve days, although the inflammation was seen on the day after Inoculation, and when also no cicatrix was left behind.

Areola not necessary, not connected with fever.

12th. The areola is neither essential in the same, nor different constitutions; nor connected with the fever; nor with the age of the matter; nor with the quantity of it; nor with the mode of Inoculation; but with apparently the state of the skin, in even different arms of the same person: for in the same person, one arm had borne a pock with a large red areola, and the other had a pock with none at all; some have had

no

no areola, and yet a fever; and others no observable fever, yet there was a considerable areola.

13th. The puncturing simply the vesicle for matter, neither altered the form of the vesicle and scab, nor excited fever and inflammation.

14th. In some cases, the intervening disorders, especially those attended with a rash, impeded the progress of the vesicle, but in general no alteration was thereby occasioned.

15th. A phlegmonous tumor, with hardness on the arm inoculated, occurred in two or three instances, but was subdued in a few days.

16th. The few instances of sore arms after the usual period for scabbing, and falling off of the scab, seemed to depend on the scrofula; in other cases, on external injuries; or on unknown peculiarities of constitution.

17th. In no case has it appeared that a person is secure against the Small-Pox, unless a cicatrix has been left by inoculation with Vaccine matter; but, although the case is rare, there may have been a cicatrix produced by an eruption,

Cicatrix necessary, but may be produced without destroying the susceptibility to Small-Pox.

tion, which has not destroyed the susceptibility of the Small-Pox.

## V. PROPOSITION.

In the greater part of the cases, there was no observable constitutional or febrile affection; and when it was observed, the symptoms were in nine cases out of ten less severe, and of shorter duration than in the inoculated Small-Pox.

Nine-tenths of patients between six weeks and five years of age.

About four-tenths only of the patients were constitutionally affected, and many of these from intervening disorders.

Nine-tenths of our patients were between six weeks and five years of age; the oldest was fifty-six. Our registers inform us that about 4-tenths of the whole of the patients were disordered by a febrile affection during the Cow-Pock. But here we must distinguish those so affected by the Cow-Pock, from those affected from intervening disorders independent of the Cow-Pock, viz. chiefly from dentition and bowel complaints, attended in many instances by eruptions, called the *red-gum*, *tooth rash*, *common rash*, and *nettle rash*. In these latter cases, which

which may be esteemed to be 1-fourth of the 4-tenths of the constitutional disorders above stated, the disorder began as early as the fourth day of inoculation, and occurred on any subsequent day during the Cow-Pock, but was most frequently observed on the 6th, 7th, and 8th days. The constitutional affection which was justly imputable to the inoculation, occurred almost always on the 9th and 10th days; but in a very few instances, perhaps also on the 8th and 11th; except indeed the growth of the Vaccine vesicle was unusually slow, in which case, sometimes it rose to the common state of fullness exhibited on the 9th, not till the 11th, or even not till the 15th day; where such cases occurred, the febrile affection was proportionally late.

Specific Vaccine constitutional affection occurred on the ninth and tenth days generally.

The duration of the above-named intervening disorders varied from a day to the whole period of the Cow-Pock; but the specific Vaccine constitutional affection lasted seldom longer than one day.

Some instances occurred in which the two kinds of constitutional disorders succeeded one another in the same person; and probably  
in



in other instances they occurred even on the same days. In the same subject, during the Cow-Pock, the intervening constitutional affections may occur more than once. We think that these intervening constitutional disorders have hitherto been generally confounded with the specific Vaccine one, except those from Small-Pox, and Measles.

Symptoms of  
the specific Vaccine  
and constitutional disorder.

The symptoms of the specific Vaccine fever were usually, head-ach, paleness, depression of strength, listlessness, sometimes drowsiness, alternate coldness of the skin, and general heat with flushings, aversion from food, nausea, now and then vomiting, and, in a few instances, epileptic fits and delirium. In many cases, pain in the axilla of the side inoculated, or of the shoulder, occurred, and sometimes swelling of the axillary glands. With these symptoms, there was always more or less accelerated circulation, but very rarely whiteness of the tongue or thirst. One, or at most two days confinement were occasioned by the Vaccine fever. On the 2d or 3d day after this fever, in a very small proportion of cases, eruptions appeared, seldom exceeding twenty, which were either hard pimples, not unlike Small-Pox:

Small-Pox, but scarcely with any fluid in them, and which disappeared in a few days: sometimes however they contained a watery fluid, Eruption excited by Vaccine fever. like Chicken-Pox, yet did not suppurate, and these became small scabs in a few days.

1. An eruption of this kind occurred (as late as the 20th day) which dried on the 28th.

2. In the summer season, especially in August, a rash very often broke out on the 10th or 11th day, alleviating the specific fever, but exciting much general irritation.

3. Another kind of eruption, we were rather told of than saw, was small maculæ, Various kinds of eruptions supervening during the inoculated Vaccina. like the measles, or those of the scarlatina anginosa.

4. A common rash has appeared on the 9th day, with great inflammation of the inoculated part, and great discharge; and a second eruption on the 17th day, like millet seeds, without fever.

5. A kind of eruption now and then occurred in hot weather, five to ten days after the Effera Vaccina,  
F period



*Effera Vaccina*  
a peculiar rash.

period of the Vaccine fever, which was a very irritating rash, of the urticaria kind. This we venture to designate by the title of *Effera Vaccina*. In a few instances the itching from it was hardly tolerable.

Eruptions from  
rubbing patients  
with Vaccine  
pock matter.

Sometimes, two kinds of eruptions at once took place, or succeeded one another. In one case, a watery eruption occurred on the 23d day. In a few cases, eruptions were produced of various figures, particularly on the face, from the rubbing of the matter of the pock on these parts of the patient.

## VI. PROPOSITION.

A disease from various morbid poisons may occur at any period between the time of the Vaccine Inoculation, and the time of the Vaccine constitutional affection; whether that affection be observable or not.

1st. The SMALL-POX from variolous effluvia, i. e. the *casual Small-Pox*, may intervene, and if the eruption occurs any time between the 9th and the 13th or 14th day, the Cow-Pock will go on seemingly regularly through all the stages, as well as the Small-Pox; but unless the Small-Pox break out sooner than the 12th day from the Inoculation of the Cow-Pock, we have observed a constitutional affection from one of the two kinds of matter only, and probably that from the Small-Pox; and it appears that it may occur on any day between the 5th or 6th, and 12th. In the case of J. Emerson, February, 1800, the constitutional affection occurred on the 4th day of the Vaccine Inoculation, and the variolous eruption on the 8th; but although the Cow-Pock advanced in the usual way till the 6th or 7th day, it then receded, or rather became stationary for six or seven days, instead of scabbing. Of course, as actually happened, when the Small-Pox broke out still sooner than the 9th day, we constantly saw the Cow-Pock vesicle, or even pimple, to become first stationary, and next to recede or to commence the scabbing stage. Hence, if the Small Pox break out within two or three days after Vaccine Inoculation, there

Casual Small-Pox may intervene and proceed with the Cow-Pock, if the variolous eruptions occur between the 9th, and 13th or 14th day.

If variolous eruptions before the 9th day after Vaccine Inoculation observed Cow-Pock, to become stationary, and recede or scab.

may be no effect visible on the part inoculated. The intervening cases are proved to be the Small-Pox. 1st. By the figure, matter, course, and duration of the eruptions.—2d. By the matter of them producing, by Inoculation, the Small-Pox.

Axiom that two different diseases cannot take place at the same time, occasion of error.

The supposed established axiom that two diseases could not exist in the same parts, has been one reason for these eruptions having been believed to arise from the Vaccine matter, occasionally degenerating into the Small-Pox, and therefore producing Small-Pox-like eruptions; and that such eruptions could not arise from variolous matter, acting at the same time with Vaccine matter. Hence also, some persons, for a certain time, were even inclined to believe, that in these cases, a hybrid disease from the joint agency of the Vaccine and variolous infections, was produced.

Cases to this point, are No. 735, William Norris, April 20th.—Elizabeth Hart, July 25th, 1800.—No. 537.—No. 245, J. Emerson.—No. 5.—See Institution Register Sheets.

But we select as an instance, the following case for illustration, because it has been published,

lished, although inaccurately, on affidavit in the Morning Advertiser, for February 5th last, to injure the credit of the new Inoculation in general, and as an imputation on the Vaccine Institution in particular.

No. 1093, Frederick Estoff, seven months old, inoculated Tuesday, October 26th, 1802. Case published on affidavit.

Oct. 29. Friday, 4th day—did not attend.

Nov. 2. Tuesday, 8th day—had been ill of, perhaps, a febrile complaint, on 4th and 5th day after Inoculation, but now well. Infection has produced Vaccine Pock in each arm, with the usual appearances.

Nov. 5. Friday, 11th day—did not attend.

Nov. 9. Tuesday, 15th day—Vaccine-Pock on each arm, in the usual state, as on the 9th and 10th day, instead of the scab, common on the 15th day.

Was seized with febrile complaints on Thursday, 10th day, and eruptions broke out on Friday the 11th day, which appear to be the Small-Pox.—On enquiry

quiry it was found, that the Small-Pox had been in the house of the patient for a week previously to the Inoculation; in which one child had died. After this time, the patient never attended.

Hence it is fair to conclude, that the variolous infection had been admitted into the constitution, in all likelihood before the time of Inoculation, so as to excite the variolous fever, as stated, in nine days, but most probably, in reality, in seven or eight days; and the eruption in ten or eleven days after the Vaccine Inoculation.

Variolous and  
Vaccine matter  
inoculated nearly  
at the same time,  
produce both  
Small-Pox and  
Cow-Pock.

In this place it seems relative to observe, that by inoculating the Vaccine and the variolous matter in the same Subject, but on different parts, on the same day, or within twenty-four hours after one another, if both infections take, the Small-Pox and Cow-Pock will be excited, and go on together; there being a constitutional affection from the Inoculation but once, and at the usual time of both diseases. In one of these experiments, the Small-Pox appeared only in the part inoculated, no eruptions appearing elsewhere.

If



If the Small-Pox matter had been inoculated two days or more after the Vaccine, there was either only a Small-Pock in the part inoculated with variolous matter, which desiccated without suppurating, or no effect than a pimple was produced by it. But the very reverse happened when the Vaccine Inoculation was performed two days or later than the variolous. In no case has the Small-Pox, by Inoculation, been arrested in its progress, and superseded by inoculating for the Cow-Pock, two days or later after the Vaccine Inoculation.

Effects of variolous and Vaccine matter inoculated two days or a greater distance from each other.

Extensive and valid evidence has been afforded, especially in the Small-Pox Hospital, that the casual Small-Pox, conjoined with the Cow-Pock, is either mitigated, or not attended with more danger, and more severe symptoms than the inoculated Small-Pox simply, or without any conjoined disease.

Casual Small-Pox mitigated, by conjunction with the Vaccine.

It does not appear to have been understood 'till after a good deal of experience in 1799 and 1800, that the Vaccine and variolous poisons can operate in the same economy at the same time, (apparently locally) till the constitutional effect of either of them is produced ;  
then

Variolous eruptions in the Vaccine practice of 1799, and 1800 accounted for.

Instance of distinct constitutional affection from both Vaccina and variola in same person.

then, if the agency of the two poisons be nearly contemporary, the eruptions of the two diseases will proceed, as if singly existing; but if either the Vaccine or variolous matter commenced its action several days earlier than the other, the progress of the local affection, from the matter latest in action, is stopped on the taking place of the constitutional disorder, and gradually recedes. In short, until the constitutional affection has been excited, the two poisons will act locally at the same time. When the variolous poison was introduced by effluvia in the casual way, previously or subsequently to the Vaccine Inoculation, there has been, in one instance,\* a constitutional disorder on the 8th day, and again on the 12th day, with variolous eruptions on the 13th day, in which case, the Vaccine-Pock was quite regular in its progress. Hence it seems, that if both the Vaccine and variolous infection have been applied at certain different periods, and have made a due progress before the constitutional disorder is excited by either of them, that latest applied will still produce a pock, which will go through its respective stages, and even

\* Case by Mr. Malim.

LONDON MEDICAL REVIEW, Vol. iv. p. 105.—1800.

perhaps,

perhaps, excite its specific constitutional affection, as well as the first applied matter, which produces its specific pock, and constitutional affection. From this representation it will readily be conceived, that the cases of coincidence of variolous-like eruptions during the Vaccina in the year 1799 and 1800 (the two first years of the Vaccine practice) could not be satisfactorily explained by even those best informed on the subject of Vaccination. And it appears that it was unjustifiable to impute such cases to the matter employed by the Inoculators.

Inoculators unjustly censured in 1799 & 1800, for matter employed.

2. CHICKEN-POX intervening. Cases have occurred of Chicken-Pox not only at all times during the Cow-Pock, but immediately after the scabbing process; as well as subsequently at a much later period. In this last respect of the Chicken-Pox after the formation of the scab, or at a later period of the Cow-Pock, there is a difference between the Chicken-Pox and Small-Pox. No difference was observed in the stages of the Cow-Pock, or of the Chicken-Pox, when the two diseases went on together; except that the Cow-Pock was sometimes a little retarded in scabbing. In these instances

Chicken-Pox occurring during Vaccina, at any period, as well as after the Vaccina.

instances there may be a constitutional affection from each of the diseases on different days, or they may occur together. In one case, the Chicken-Pox and Vaccine-Pock were inoculated on the same subject, and both went on as usual, distinctly.

Measles intervene at any period during the Vaccina, as well as supervene afterwards.

3. MEASLES have intervened during the Cow-Pock, and may occur at any period of the Vaccina as well as subsequently. Here also the two diseases seemed not to affect one another, but in retarding as just mentioned; except in one case, in which the inoculated Vaccine matter produced a large pimple with much inflammation, and afterwards, subsequent to the Measles, the distinct Vaccina took place on a second Inoculation.

Ulcerous Sore Throat during Vaccina proved fatal.

4th. ULCEROUS SORE THROAT intervenes. One case of Ulcerous Sore Throat occurred in a child eighteen months of age. It went through this disease, during the Vaccina, without the two diseases influencing one another: but, in another case, during very hot weather, the temperature of the air being 75° to 80° in July and August, 1800, Scarlet Fever, like Measles, with Sore Throat, broke out on the twelfth day after Inoculation, and proved fatal



fatal on the sixteenth, the Vaccina having the usual appearances.

Various other eruptions, both chronic and acute, occur during the Vaccina, but, as we do not know that they arise from specific morbid matter, we do not describe them in this place.

Various other eruptions during Vaccina.

The *Hooping Cough* has occurred during, as well as soon after the Vaccina; and the progress and symptoms of both diseases were the usual ones separately.

Hooping Cough during Vaccina.

## VII. PROPOSITION.

As far as known, any disorder whatever may take place at any period of the Vaccina, except, after a certain progress, the Variola.

Among these, especially in children, besides those already noticed are, the *Red Gum*, the *Tooth Rash*, the *Thrush*, Disorders from Irritation by *Dentition*, from *Acid*, or other Matter in the *Stomach*, from the same Matter in the *Bowels*, from



*various disorders producing Epileptic Fits; and, in all ages, Pulmonic Inflammation, Catarrhs, Coughs, &c.*

Singular that disorders which prove fatal singly do not do so conjoined with the Vaccina.

As many of these disorders are attended by alarming symptoms, and are often fatal, it is rather surprising such deaths have not fallen under our observation. Even the Vaccina seems only to have been sometimes impeded in the progress of the vesicle; and, perhaps, the presence of these and other disorders, at the time of Inoculation, may occasion the Vaccine matter to fail in taking effect so long as they are present, if not to prevent its operation altogether.

Curious instance of Influenza retarding the Vaccina at least nine days.

A curious instance of an intervening disease impeding the course of the Vaccina, fell under one of your Reporter's observation, while these sheets were printing. Elizabeth Bradley, aged seven months, No. 1221, in Dr. Pearson's register sheet at the Institution, was inoculated by one puncture in each arm, on Tuesday, the 1st of March. The child was unwell, probably, in the Influenza, from this time to the 8th, when the vesicle of each arm being small and indistinct, the Inoculation was re-instituted by one puncture

puncture in each arm. After this day, the Influenza symptoms were so severe for a whole week, that the case was expected to terminate fatally, and the child could not be brought to the Institution 'till Friday the 18th of March; at which time a fine distinct vesicle, like the usual one on the eleventh day, appeared on each arm from the first Inoculation, and an equally distinct one, but about one-fourth the size and like the eleventh day ones in general, appeared on each arm from the second Inoculation: both vesicles on each arm being so near to one another as to be included within a common areola. Matter was taken from each of these vesicles for Inoculation, and each produced the Vaccina.

No doubt the intervention of the Influenza had retarded the Vaccina; for other subjects inoculated on the 1st of March, with the same matter, and again, on the 8th, had pocks, on the 18th of March, dried into the characteristic Vaccine scabs as might be expected, it being the nineteenth day from the first Inoculation, and the eleventh from the second.

In

Influenza, Epilepsy, Peripneumonia, &c intervening during Vaccine Inoculation.

In one child, EPILEPTIC FITS came on with the fever on the ninth day; the gums were much inflamed, and it cut, about that time, two teeth. In other cases, similar fits, probably from dentition, occurred on the fourth, fifth and sixth days. In another case, they occurred on the second day, and again on the eighth. A peripneumonia supervened on the sixth day after Inoculation, in a dragoon of Sir Wm. Lee's regiment; but the only variation in the Vaccine-Pock seemed to be its being later than usual and smaller.

### VIII. PROPOSITION.

A person, in seemingly perfect health, may have the Vaccina very severely under every known advantage of treatment; and, in many chronical diseases, a person will apparently go through the disorder distinctly and with as slight complaints as one in perfect health.

Chronic diseases at the time of Inoculation, no effect on the disease.

Persons having a variety of leprous and other cutaneous affections; Scrofula, and other glandular

glandular complaints; Rheumatisms; Rickets; Mesenteric Consumption; Chronic Coughs; Diarrhœa; Itch, &c. have gone through the Vaccina in the usual manner.

In a child emaciated and in fits daily, being Hectic also, the Cow-Pock went on as usual without making it either better or worse. A greater number, however, of anomalies in the Vaccina, or irregular cases, occurred in those who laboured under Scrofula and Rickets, than in healthy subjects. In those who had the Pfora, an intolerable itching occasioned scratching, by which the Vaccine matter seemed to be inserted into the ruptured places, and occasioned troublesome sores.

Itch with Vaccina occasion troublesome sores.

### IX. PROPOSITION.

The animal œconomy, for the most part, does not seem to be either bettered or injured by going through the Vaccina.

This was generally the case: however, there were exceptions—

1st. Swelling



1st. Swelling of the axillary glands, in one or two instances, remained from the Inoculation, which suppurated and discharged in three or four months a great quantity of pus.

Disorders succeeding Vaccine Inoculation, especially eruptions.

2d. In Children previously not subject to eruptions, they appeared from time to time for a year or more afterwards, not unlike gnat-bites, or little vesicles, but otherwise there was perfect health.

3d. In the second, third, and fourth week after Inoculation, an eruption now and then occurred of various kinds of pimples.

4th. In one or two cases, some months after the Cow-Pock, an eruption broke out not unlike the Small-Pox in some stages; and which was considered to be that disease by persons not of the profession.

Better and worse health after Inoculation.

Some have enjoyed better health after the Vaccina than before, and even got cured of some former complaint. On the contrary, now and then the subject enjoyed bad health subsequently; but, in both cases, that these consequences arose from the Vaccina was equivocal.

X. PRO-



## X. PROPOSITION.

Persons who have already gone through the Vaccina, are unsusceptible of it a second time.

This fact was ascertained in different ways.

1st. By re-inoculating, in many instances, patients, in whom it was supposed the first Inoculation had failed, on the 4th, 5th, and 8th subsequent days to the first Inoculation; but in which the first applied matter had only in reality been more slow than usual in operating; so that it excited the distinct Vaccine-Pock with constitutional disorder, on the 9th or 10th days, and the Inoculation of the 4th day produced a similar pock to the first Inoculation, in the same time, or nearly so; except that these latter pocks were often smaller, but no constitutional disorder was produced by them. And the matter inoculated on the 8th day, or later than the first Inoculation, produced nothing but a phlegmonous pimple; sometimes becoming a pustule, quite unlike

H

the

Three different kinds of proof that persons who have undergone the Vaccina cannot undergo it a second time.

the Cow-Pock: and frequently no inflammation or pimple was produced at all. In this last particular, our experience does not agree with Mr. Bryce's. Here, however, we must except the instances of unusually slow progress, or suspended march of the pock by the first inoculation, from intervening disorders, or from peculiarity of constitution; for if e. g. the pock of the 19th day be in the usual state as that of the 11th or 12th, the pock from the second Inoculation, eight days later, may be a well characterized Vaccine one, only smaller; and in the same state otherwise as the 19th day pock.

2d. Persons having anomalous figured Vaccine-Pocks were re-inoculated, for obtaining satisfactory proof, but no fresh pock was excited.

One of the Reporters, as early as August, 1799, on the ground of this fact, proposed re-inoculation, as a security in doubtful cases: and in the printed directions by the Institution, January, 1801, it is recommended to re-inoculate the Vaccine matter, as a test of the patients

patients having had the Cow-Pock in doubtful cases.

3d. Persons known to have had the Cow-Pock some years before, were re-inoculated, without effect, or at most a pock was produced, unlike the Cow-Pock in its progress and duration, and affording a different kind of scab, and no cicatrix. Hence we feel justifiable in asserting, that the contravening evidence on this point ought to be considered, either *first*, as instances of eruptions, in reality *not Vaccine*, either on the first, or on the subsequent inoculation; or *secondly*, if Vaccine, they stand on the same ground as those of the Small-Pox, asserted to have taken place more than once in the same constitution.

## *XI. PROPOSITION.*

Persons who have undergone the Small-Pox cannot be infected so as to produce the Cow-Pock.

This fact has been determined by two means.

1st. Many persons who had only doubtful, or no evidence, of their having had the Small-Pox, in order to be secured, were again and again inoculated for the Vaccina, without being able to excite it; but sometimes a large pimple was produced, which filled with serum or pus; and these local affections have been called by inexperienced practitioners, the Cow-Pock.

Proofs that the human animal cannot undergo the Cow-Pock after the Small-Pox.

2d. Some persons, either from accident or curiosity, who were marked indubitably from the Small-Pox, were inoculated for the Cow-Pock, several times, but unavailingly, except the local inflammation first mentioned.

Hence also, the experience at the Institution has confirmed the assertion of one of your  
Reporters,

Reporters, on this point, as early as August, 1799.

## XII. PROPOSITION.

No difference could be perceived in the agency of the Vaccine matter according to the age of the pock; or to the presence or absence of Areola; except in certain early ages of it, being more efficacious than others.

It was the usage of the Institution almost always to inoculate with matter of the 8th, or 11th and 12th days; and from our ample experience we affirm, that if the pock of the 11th or 12th day was not yet in the scabbing stage, or was but just beginning, the matter was equally efficacious with that of the 8th day; yet, if the pock had begun to scab, or was advanced to that state, this old matter oftener failed than younger matter: but when the matter of such old pocks failed to excite the Vaccina, considerable inflammation, or phlegmonous eruption or pimple (which is improperly called  
spurious
Early matter more efficacious, but not less liable to produce great inflammation than old matter.



spurious Cow-Pock) did not more frequently occur than from younger matter of the distinct Cow-Pock, when it failed; and the bad consequences did not ensue more frequently from such old matter, than from matter of the 8th day, or earlier.

No difference in effect of matter according to presence of areola.

Whether the matter was taken from a pock which had an areola or not, the effect was not on that account different. We have used matter, when it could be had, as early as the 5th day, but it excited the Vaccina with the usual appearances; and certainly it was not on account of the age of the matter more mild.

Matter of 15th day, and even pus, when they took effect, produced the genuine Vaccina.

Matter from a pock still containing lymph, though as late as the fifteenth day; and even pus when the *vesicle* had become a *pustule* generally produced no effect at all except like that of a common scratch; but sometimes it took effect, and then it produced the genuine distinct Vaccina.

8th and 15th day matter in the same Subject produced the same kind of pocks.

In the same arms, matter of the 8th day and of the 12th has been employed, and the event was, that each sort excited the genuine and similar Vaccine Pocks; and the same effects were

were seen from matter, so inoculated, of the 11th and 15th days.

### *XIII. PROPOSITION.*

The specific constitutional disorder, usual on the ninth and tenth days, was not in proportion to the number of Vaccine pocks, nor of the inflammation in the arms.

A smart fever was as frequently excited when there was only one pock, as when there were several; and as often there was no constitutional disorder observed when there were four or even six pocks, as when there was only one: also when there was a good deal of red areola or inflammation of the parts surrounding the pocks, the fever was not more frequently present than when there was none. Hence the proposed advantages from using applications to diminish inflammation of the arm, do not seem to have been founded on experience.

Specific constitutional affection, not as the degree of inflammation, or the number of pocks.

No foundation in experience from the application to remove inflammation.

### *XIV. PRO-*

*XIV. PROPOSITION.*

No difference was observed in the kind of pock, according to the quantity of matter inoculated.

How to inoculate hundreds or scores with the matter of a single pock.

The matter of a single pock being mixed with one quarter of an ounce measure of warm water, such diluted matter excited as distinct a Vaccine-Pock by Inoculation, as an equal quantity of undiluted matter. A pock so excited, was not attended with less inflammation or constitutional affection, than that excited by a larger quantity of undiluted matter. But this fact shews an easy method of inoculating several hundred, or at least scores of persons from a single Vaccine-Pock; a great convenience, when the poor of perhaps several parishes are to be inoculated at the same time.

*XV. PRO-*

*XV. PROPOSITION.*

Matter dried on glafs, or on other fubftances, failed to produce the Vaccina much oftener than when ufed immediately from the Subject; and it certainly failed when diluted with boiling hot water: but the Inoculation of dried matter was as little liable to produce inflamed arms as recent matter.

Why dried and heated matter fo often fails.

The failures may be imputed to the evaporation of the infectious matter in the fluid of the Vaccine-Pock, in drying; and to the coagulation by hot water producing a new compofition.

*XVI. PROPOSITION.*

The Vaccine matter does not alter in efficacy, by paffing from human creature to human creature, for four whole years.

This Institution  
furnishes the  
evidence of the  
permanency of  
the efficacy of  
Vaccina for the  
longest space of  
time.

The matter used at the Institution has been almost entirely that furnished by one of the Reporters,\* which he took from Mr. Willan's cows, in Mary-le-bone-fields, in February, 1799. We have also used matter from the Milanese cows, furnished by Dr. Sacco, and a little from other sources, but no difference has been perceived in the effect. We believe this Institution, and the Small-Pox Hospital, are the only evidences of the permanency of the efficaciousness of Vaccine matter, for so long a space of time.

## XVII. PROPOSITION.

Vaccine and variolous matter taken from a Subject, having at the same time the Small-Pox, and Cow-Pock by Inoculation, produced the genuine distinct Vaccina and Small-Pox.

Variolous and  
Vaccine matter  
from the same  
person at the  
same time pro-  
duced the Small-  
Pox and Cow-  
Pock distinctly  
in other subjects.

Such opportunities of getting both kinds of matter offered when a patient had been inoculated nearly at the same time for the Small-

\* Dr. Pearson,



Pox, as well as the Cow-pock; if both diseases were produced: also when the Small-Pox came on casually during the Vaccina.

### XVIII. PROPOSITION.

The Vaccina does not vary according to the constitution of the Subject from which the matter is taken.

1st. Because the Cow-Pock, with attending eruptions, was excited by matter from a person who had no such eruptions; and *vice versa*.

2d. Some quite healthy children afforded matter which excited a severe disease in others; and *vice versa*.

3d. The matter from adults produced in infants as slight and distinct an affection as that from infants; and *vice versa*.

Vaccina not varied by the constitution from which the matter was taken.

If the Vaccina, with similar peculiar characters according to the variety from which the matter be taken could be propagated, analogous

to the propagation of animals and vegetables upon the principle of the selection of *sorts*, such a continuation according to the variety would have been seen in our practice; but, on the contrary, the frequent instances of difference of characters in the offspring from the parent, figuratively speaking, demonstrated the *fact* to be otherwise.

### XIX. PROPOSITION.

The different seasons and weather were not observed to influence the Vaccina.

Seasons and weather very little influence.

We ought here to except that in the hot months of July and August, a Rash more frequently attended the constitutional disorder on the ninth and tenth days. In extremely cold weather, we also remarked, that the vesicle was smaller, slower in its progress, and attended by less inflammation than in warm weather.

XX. PRO-

*XX. PROPOSITION.*

The different kinds of food and drink did not seem to influence the disorder from the Vaccine Inoculation.

It may be taken for granted, that among the great number of children at the breast who were inoculated, the milk must have been of very different properties from the intemperate living of some, and the abstemious living of others; yet neither these differences of food and drink, nor different modes of life, were observed to influence the inoculated disorder.

No observable difference from the mode of living.

*XXI. PROPOSITION.*

Some persons cannot be infected, although the Inoculation be instituted repeatedly under the most advantageously known circumstances.

About five Subjects, all adults, of this description fell under observation ; whether this

What proportion permanently unsusceptible of the Vaccina.

unsusceptible

insusceptibility was not in some of these cases to be imputed to the patients having undergone the Small-Pox, although unknown to themselves is doubtful; but it seems certain that a part of them must be referred, either to the original excitability of the constitution, or other agents than the Small-Pox in the course of life.

What proportion  
unsusceptible of  
the Vaccina.

Under this head, we mean to include those cases in which either no kind of pimple, or eruption whatever, can be produced by the Inoculation of either Vaccine or variolous matter; or merely a phlegmonous kind of pimple, neither in characters nor progress and duration resembling either Vaccine or Small-Pox, and which was liable to be excited any number of times by repeated Inoculation. It appears from our experience warrantable to assert, that such a state of original incapability does not exist in more than *one* of five hundred persons.

A much greater proportion of failures, than above stated, occurred at the Institution from the first Inoculation, but the disease was excited by repeating the operation. These failures in some instances, it was reasonable to impute  
to

to certain known counteracting agents and circumstances; but in others the reason of them was not discoverable. Persons incapable of the Cow-Pock are equally so of the Small-Pox; and *vice versa*.

Indisposition and disposition in the same person alike to the Vac-cina and Variola.

As far as can be perceived, the disposition, to be affected by the Vaccine and variolous matter, is alike in the same person.

## XXII. PROPOSITION.

The characters of the inoculated Vaccine-Pock are in general peculiar; so that the incapability of the Small-Pox from it may be equally confided in, as after the ordinary inoculated or casual Small-Pox: but, in a certain proportion of cases, the properties of the pock or eruption by the Vaccine Inoculation, are so different from the ordinary distinguishing ones, that the repetition of the Inoculation can alone determine whether the



the incapability of the Small-Pox be produced or not.

Essential characters of the *Vaccina*.

Vaccine scab explained to be an *anthrax*.

In 449 out of 500 inoculated cases of *Vaccina*, many properties of the Cow-Pock are uniform, there being, *first*, the appearance of a small red pimple; in three to six days after Inoculation; *secondly*, the gradual growth of it to the state of a circular, or oval well defined vesicle, by the ninth to the eleventh day, of from 2-tenths to 6-tenths of an inch in diameter, and often surrounded by an erythematous areola, and attended by pain of the axilla, or shoulder: *thirdly*, the gradual change of the vesicle into a black or dark red, hard, glossy, prominent, round, firmly adhering scab, by the fifteenth to the eighteenth day: which, in a week or ten days further, falls off, leaving a cicatrix for life. This scab, which is not similar to that produced by any other affection, is a real *anthrax*, or small carbuncle; from a part killed by the inflammation excited by the Vaccine poison. The red areola or erythema, is from the irritation of the dying or dead skin; and the cicatrix is from the sloughing off of the dead part, leaving a cavity never afterwards entirely filled up.

Whether

Whether the symptoms be observable or not, Constitution always affected whether observably so or not. a change in the whole economy is produced by the Vaccine-Pock vesicle, generally on the ninth or tenth day; and hence the capability is immediately for ever destroyed, of taking either the Small-Pox, or the Cow-Pock.

The phænomena, above related, are unequivocal of the Vaccina; but it may in reality take place so as to destroy the susceptibility of the Small-Pox, after being attended by an eruption or pock not at all like the usual peculiar one, but resembling various others; yet, in all cases, subsisting for two weeks or more, and leaving a cicatrix. Characters of Vaccina not always distinguishable. That such anomalies are really the Vaccina can only be determined by re-instituting the Vaccine Inoculation, so as either to produce the regular unequivocal Vaccina, or nothing but what is excited in persons who have undergone it or the Small-Pox: and if a further proof be exacted, by inoculating for the Small-Pox.

That many persons inoculated for the Cow-Pock, in the years 1799 and 1800, and even in 1801 and 1802, have already, and may hereafter take the Small-Pox, is a reasonable expectation,

peftation, from the characteristic properties of the Vaccina not being known to the Inoculators by their own experience, nor from the descriptions of authors.

It is to be expected that many persons inoculated for Vaccina will and have taken the Small-Pox, and died.

The diftrefling information was lately given of two children in one family, taking the Small Pox cafually, of which they died; although they were fupposed to be in fecurity by having been inoculated for the Cow-Pock two years before. One of your Reporters, Dr. Pearfon, not very long ago, vifited a patient in the cafual Small-Pox, who had been inoculated for the Cow-Pock three years before. In ten days the eruption of the inoculated part became a brown fcab, then falling off, and leaving a fuperficial cicatrix.

### XXIII. PROPOSITION.

If a perfon in the Cow-Pock be inoculated with the MATTER OF HIS OWN VACCINE VESICLE, at any period in which it can produce the difeafe in other  
Subjects,

Subjects, it will commonly excite irregular or imperfect Vaccine vesicles; but although to the number of six or seven successive Inoculations there will be no fever a second time, and corresponding to the subsequent Inoculations; nor will the vesicle from the first Inoculation be varied by such repeated Inoculation.

This proposition is proved by, *first*, the scratching, or otherwise bursting of the Vaccine vesicle, by which the matter of it becomes applied to the adjoining scratched parts; in which circumstance it is not unusual to see several vesicles surrounding the inoculated part. Secondly, we have several times inoculated purposely the matter of the vesicle of the sixth to the eleventh day, in the Subject of such vesicle, which produced the effects stated in the above *Proposition*.

# ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS,

SINCE

THE READING OF THE PRECEDING SHEETS,

AT THE

GENERAL MEETING.

---

*Page 21, l. 11.*—IN the instance of the death of a child, as related by Mr. Morrifon of Chelsea, it seems to have been occasioned by an intervening disease independent of the Inoculation. See *Medical and Chirurgical Review*, vol. ix. p. 389. 1802.

*P. 23, l. 11.*—How different this from the French critique on Colon, in the *Moniteur Universel*, No. 28, an. 9 de la Republique. “ Il est à ma connaissance que des enfans ont péri pour avoir été Inoculés de la Vaccine dans des instans d’eruptions cutanées, ou dans un état de maladie inflammatoire.

*P. 24,*



*P. 24, l. 7.*—Sometimes in one arm inoculated, there was an extensive areola, and in the other none, on the same Subject.

*Ibid.*—In one arm, the vesicle on the eleventh day was four times larger than that of the other, but commonly the smaller appeared much later than the other. See *Register*, No. 553. In one arm a regular Vaccine vesicle appeared, and in the other, an anomalous one, or even a pustule instead of a vesicle.

*P. 25.*—In a few instances of Inoculation in each arm, the infection seemed to take and go on in one arm only in the usual way to the eighth day; and then the other arm came forward, but the vesicle was much smaller, like the fourth day, and the constitutional affection was on the ninth day. In such cases, on the twelfth day one vesicle was scabbing; and the other was like that of the eighth, viz. not at all in the scabbing state; but in general the vesicle of the second Inoculation on the fourth day was the same in appearance as that of the first on the ninth or tenth days.

*Ibid.*

*Ibid.*—In one instance the Inoculation having apparently failed on the 11th day, it was then instituted a *second* time, and a *third* time on the fifteenth day; but by the twenty-fourth day the vesicles of the three Inoculations seemed alike, and as usually on the eleventh day. The febrile affection was on the eleventh day after the *third* Inoculation only.

P. 26.—Sarah Madder, No. 1048, had very extensive inflammation in the inoculated arm on the fourth day, but Vaccina regular in its progress.

P. 28. l. 5.—In one case of a child covered with a tetter eruption, the Vaccine Inoculation only produced a large pimple, but the susceptibility of the Small-Pox was destroyed by the Inoculation.

*Ibid.*—The capability of taking the Small-Pox has been destroyed in some cases in which the Cow-Pock was not larger than a large pin's head, and, in others, in which it was as large as a raspberry.

*Ibid.*

*Ibid.* l. 9.—For an instance of anomalous Vaccine-Pock, see No. 564, *Institution Register*. Mary Jones, aged twenty-one years, having only a large pimple, but in which the susceptibility of Small-Pox was destroyed, and there was no effect from subsequent Inoculation.

*Ibid.*—Sarah Jolly, August 15th, 1800, George Brown, No. 962, and Thomas Down, August, 1800, had only a *gnat bite* like eruption from the Vaccine Inoculation, see *Institution Register*.

P. 30. l. 3.—A boy, six years of age, had been inoculated, as was supposed, for the Small-Pox, in India, and from a scar on the arm, this opinion was so far confirmed, that Dr. Pearson thought it most probable he had really undergone the Small-Pox; but a Physician who consulted him was of opinion, this mark might be from some other cause, or at all events there had been merely a local affection. To give the most satisfactory proof in so doubtful a case, and in which it was of great moment to obtain certainty, the Vaccine Inoculation was instituted in three different places on each arm, from a Subject present. The six punctures produced six Cow-Pocks, perfectly

fectly regular in all their stages, with not more inflammation than usual, and with as slight a constitutional affection as usual, from *one* pock.

It is not uncommon to meet with persons who have a cicatrix on the arm (in the part commonly inoculated) from various eruptions, wounds, and burns, who undergo either the Small-Pox or Cow-Pock, on due Inoculation.

*P. 31.*—No. 767. case by Dr. Nelson, in which there was a constitutional affection twice during the Vaccina.

*P. 32.*—Eruptions occurred in a few cases on the arms inoculated, even before the eighth day.

*P. 34. l. 6.*—Case of Henry Edwards, æt. three years, No. 573, *Institution Register*. *Suppurating eruptions* in second week after Inoculation appeared with an anomalous Vaccine scab; but in which the susceptibility of the Small-Pox was destroyed. He was inoculated the eighth day after the attack of the Chicken-Pox.

*Ibid.*



*Ibid.*—For case of eruption on arm inoculated even somewhat resembling Small-Pox, see No. 538, *Institution Register*.

*P.* 37.—The infectious matter from the Cow-Pock vesicle, in Eastoff's case, attended by the Small-Pox, excited the genuine regular *Vaccina*.

*P.* 38.—Joseph Neale, No. 989, *Institution Register*, being inoculated in different arms for the Cow-Pock and Small-Pox, there was a regular pock of each kind in the parts inoculated, but no eruption.

*P.* 39, *l.* 13.—When a patient was inoculated for the Cow-Pock on one day, and the day following for the Small-Pox, we have sometimes seen the Cow-Pock go through all its stages regularly; but the Small Pox eruption of the inoculated part withered or scabbed about the eleventh or twelfth day, without eruptions, while the Vaccine did not become a scab till the fifteenth day or later: but we have never seen the reverse case in which the Small-Pox being inoculated the first day, and the Cow-Pock the second, the Pock from the



Vaccine infection declined into the state of scab on the eleventh or twelfth day, while the Small-Pox, with eruptions, pass through all its usual stages; for the Vaccine-Pock also did the same.

*P. 40.*—A person having the itch at the time of the Vaccina, by scratching, produced an eruption not unlike the yaws.

*P. 41, l. 14.*—On further consideration, we feel inclined to infer, from phenomena of experience, that in cases of the coincidence of the *casual* Small-Pox and Cow-Pock, there is the constitutional specific affection from *one* of them only, and that it is always the variolous fever. First, because of the extreme rarity of two such supposed constitutional affections among the multitude of cases of coincidence of the two eruptive disorders. Secondly, it seems that the evidences for such supposed examples have not been attentive to discriminate supervening disorders by other causes, from those occasioned by the two infectious matters. Thirdly, in no one instance hath the variolous fever and its subsequent eruption, occurred at a later period than the Vaccine fever

fever, usually, or at least frequently, occurs after Vaccine Inoculation. Fourthly, in cases of Vaccine and variolous Inoculation in the same Subject, on the same day, or within a day of each other, (although the two infections take effect) a constitutional disorder occurs but once, and that at the time, and similar to the fever after variolous Inoculation singly; the Small-Pox eruptions succeeding also as in simple variolous Inoculation: But as hath elsewhere been stated, p. 39, if the two kinds of Inoculation be instituted at greater distances of time from one another, there will not only be but one constitutional disorder, but the pock from one of the infectious matters only will subsist through all its stages, the other being prematurely cut down, or withering in its progress; except, indeed, the two infectious matters proceed (which may happen) with equal paces, notwithstanding the different times of Inoculation.

It is obvious, on explanation, that if the Vaccine-Pock proceed to its *acme*, or nearly to its *maximum*, without exciting its own specific febrile disorder, i. e. generally up to about the ninth or tenth day; and that if at this

period either the Variolous-Pock by Inoculation, or the variolous matter admitted by effluvia, *casually* or *naturally*, excite its specific fever, the Vaccine-Pock will subsist, and pass through its stage of *desiccation* or scab, although the variolous eruptions break out, and go through the usual stages of *vesicle*, *pustule*, and *desiccation* or scab: thus apparently the Vaccina and Variola go through all their stages at the same time in the same person; whereas, in reality, it is only the local affection or eruption of the inoculated parts, which are so contemporary. On this explanation, we avoid the admission of the violation of a law of the human animal economy, that both the variolous and Vaccine fever can be excited distinctly in the same person. It will now appear plain that if the Vaccine infection excite its fever before the variolous, that there can be no variolous fever, nor subsequent eruptions; but the Small-Pox of the inoculated part may, like the Vaccine, subsist and pass through its respective stages. Hence also, it will easily be perceived, in what circumstances the Inoculation of the Vaccine matter can prevent the Small-Pox, even after the variolous matter has been introduced, and while it is locally acting.

*P. 43, l. 7.*—No. 1257, a child, nine months old, March, 1803, had when inoculated, eruptions, especially on face and two or three places of body, in all about five, not unlike variola, which disappeared in a day or two without leaving scabs; and re-appeared in a week further in the course of an hour while coming from the country to town. Had been feverish for a day preceding, being now the fourth day from Inoculation with the usual effect.

*P. 43, l. 11.*—In H. Elder, September, 1800, the Chicken-Pox broke out the day of the Vaccine Inoculation, and both diseases proceeded as usual when singly occurring.

*Ibid.*—There is evidence of the poison of Measles, of the Ulcerous Sore Throat, of Varicella, Hooping-Cough, Influenza, &c. being in the constitution (even several of them at once) at the time of the Vaccine Inoculation, yet none of them seemed to vary the effect of the Vaccine matter except sometimes impeding its progress; but the variolous poison either obviously extinguished the nascent Cow-Pock if the variolous fever was excited before the  
eighth



eight day; but if this fever occurred at a later period, the Cow-Pock continued its course.

*Ibid. l. 10.*—A case occurred lately in which there was constitutional affection from either varicella or Vaccina, or from both, on the tenth and eleventh days after Vaccine Inoculation, with regular Vaccina, and Chicken-Pox eruptions on the thirteenth day. It now seems possible for the infections of Small-Pox, Chicken-Pox, Hooping Cough, Ulcerous Sore Throat, Measles, and Cow-Pock to subsist at the same time in the constitution, and produce their respective diseases; and death may be occasioned by their joint co-operation which would not have happened from them singly.

*P. 43 and 46.*—No risque of taking Small-Pox by living with those ill of them, provided the Vaccine Inoculation take within a certain time after exposure to the variolous infection.

Sept. 4th, 1800, No. 150 to 154, five children of the same family were inoculated at the Institution: two had been exposed to the Small-Pox at school, and were, on that account, brought home, and it appeared that they were  
 attacked



attacked with the variolous fever three days before the Vaccine Inoculation, for the eruption began on the evening of the day of that Inoculation: all five, however, took from the Vaccine infection, but the two in the Small-Pox on the sixth day after Inoculation had small vesicles in the inoculated parts which were already scabbing; the three other children, (one of which officiated as nurse to the Small-Pox patients,) all passed through the Vaccina regularly without any disorder from the variolous matter.

*P. 46, l. 1.*—It is very satisfactory to know that in at least of 900 children while cutting teeth at all periods, not one was in danger from the Vaccina on that account.

*P. 46.*—One of the worst cases was one in which there was fever and diarrhæa from the eighth to the twelfth day, with epileptic fits on tenth day, yet there was only one vesicle and that without areola.

*P. 46, l. 13.*—We have no evidence that any disorder intervening can permanently suspend the Cow-Pock after the infection has once taken effect; but probably at any time, before  
the

the constitutional affection, if the local affection be destroyed by caustics, or be removed by extirpation with the knife, or even a new and different inflammation be excited, the Vaccina may be prevented taking effect constitutionally, and hence, in such case, the Subject will be liable to the Small Pox.

*Ibid.*—The Small-Pox cannot be excited either in the casual way, or by Inoculation, during the Cow-Pock, provided the Vaccine specific fever be excited before the variolous infection has excited its specific fever. And it appears from experience, that the Vaccine infection produces the constitutional disorder according to the same law, in point of time, that the variolous infection does. Hence, the chance of preventing the Small-Pox after the admission of the infection into the constitution, is equally great by the Vaccine as by variolous Inoculation.

*P. 47, l. 16.*—Diarrhæa occurred in a few infants before and during Vaccina. Some of these got well while under Vaccination; and in others this complaint continued, without seemingly influencing the inoculated disorder.

*P. 43,*

P. 48, l. 18.—An eruption of pimples on the inoculated arms only, occurred in a few instances, from the ninth to the twelfth day, especially when much inflammation, or a sore from the Vaccine-Pock was produced.

*Ibid.*—No. 641, *Institution Register*. Warty eruptions on the 23d day after Vaccine Inoculation.

P. 48, l. 18.—An eruption was excited twice or thrice on the face, seemingly by the Subject rubbing his own Vaccine matter on that part.

*Ibid.*—In another case, a child when inoculated, had a scabby eruption, and it was much increased after the Vaccine Inoculation, but otherwise was in good health.

P. 49.—The Vaccine vesicle, if excited at all after the Vaccina, is never completely formed, comparatively with the form of it in persons who have not undergone this affection; and it is of shorter duration than in such persons. Whether the matter of this incomplete vesicle is sometimes capable of exciting the

Vaccina both constitutionally and locally, so as to destroy the susceptibility of the Small-Pox, seems undecided.

*Ibid.*—Persons who had undergone the variola, have sometimes inserted, by casual punctures or scratches, the Vaccine matter with the effect of a phlegmonous pimple, but quite different from the Vaccine vesicle, in those who have not had the Small-Pox or Cow-Pock.

*Ibid.*—Several persons who had gone through the Small-Pox, have had phlegmonous swelling with matter, in an eruption from Vaccine matter, casually applied to a wound or ulcer, and even febrile symptoms; but such cases were totally different from the regular Vaccina in their course and duration.

*P. 53.*—No. 420, in which considerable areola surrounding the Vaccine-Pock, but no fever: and No. 442, very great inflammation, with even swelling of axilla, and no fever.

*Ibid.*—The cases of extensive and intense inflammation of the inoculated arms which have occurred, ought to be ascribed rather to the  
the

the peculiarity of the Patients' constitution, than to any other circumstances; because we find such inflammation after the minutest puncture in Inoculation, and numerous instances occurred of large scratches by clumsy Inoculators without any such subsequent inflammation.

*P. 54, l. 14.*—No. 1254, the nascent vesicle on the fourth day being unusually forward, afforded matter which produced the Vaccina in No. 1258, 1259, 1260, and 1272, in which matter of the eighth and eleventh failed.

On the eighth day, No. 1254 had a small vesicle on the margin of the Vaccine-Pock, from the accidental Inoculation by puncture, in taking matter from this Subject on the fourth day.

*P. 56.*—In the circumstance of the Subject having been, or being at the time of Inoculation exposed to the Small-Pox, it is recommended to repeat the Inoculation, by one or two punctures every day, or every other, for three or four days, to have the greater chance of ex-



citing the Vaccina, and thus counteracting the Small-Pox.

*P. 56, l. 17.*—In the course of our trials to introduce the new Inoculation, especially in the years 1799 and 1800, it oftentimes happened that, on the account of the scarcity of matter, the frequent failures from its having been dried, and the want of willing Subjects, it was thought warrantable to inoculate one person by means of three punctures or scratches in each arm; so as to insert at least ten times as much matter as is usual in other cases; but when even in all the parts thus inoculated the Cow-Pock was excited, we never observed that the constitutional disorder was either greater or less, or took place sooner or later, or more or less frequently occurred at all, than when the Cow-Pock was excited by the smallest visible quantity of matter introduced by one puncture with the finest pointed lancet. 2d. This proposition receives confirmation from a constant practice of the Cow-Pock Institution, where it is the custom to inoculate one place in each arm; but it happens not unfrequently, that the infection is efficacious in one place only, and then it does not appear from the register of the cases,

cases, that the constitutional affection is at all less than when both arms are affected. 3d. Notwithstanding the assertions to the contrary we apprehend there is sufficient evidence to shew that the practice of variolous Inoculation affords additional proof of the truth of the above proposition; in which, if the degree of disease were as the quantity of variolous matter inserted, as it is obviously much greater at one time than at another, the effects proportionate to such different quantities could not escape notice. 4th. It is a fact, which is fully established by the registers at the Vaccine Institution, that comparatively severe constitutional affections occur when the local affection is the most slight, as frequently as when it is the most severe.

Hence it may be lawful we think to conclude, that an invisibly small quantity of Vaccine matter is more than enough to permanently destroy the specific excitability of the human animal economy to that matter; and therefore that the quantity used in practice, although more than enough for that purpose, cannot produce any difference in the degree of the constitutional affection. This is agreeable  
to

to the law of specific excitability to other stimuli; as of the stomach to the digestion of food; of the stomach to the action of vomiting from the stimulus of ipecacuanha; as of the intestines to fenna; as of the voluntary organs to the stimulus of volition, &c.; which stimuli can only excite a determinate quantity of action in whatever quantity they are applied.

*P. 62.*—It may seem superfluous to observe, that there was no instance of communication of the Cow-Pock by effluvia, which must have happened in all probability, if possible, at the Institution; where persons were exposed in the same room among others in the Vaccina before Inoculation, which so often failed in the first trials. Hence then the Vaccina can only be excited by intentional or by casual Inoculation.

*Ibid.*—Case, No. 991, fourteen months of age, was inoculated four times for Cow-Pock, but succeeded the fifth, although the pock not regular, and an eruption of pimples was produced.

*P. 63.*—Needham's case, No. 638, was inoculated three times for the Small-Pox, and three times for the Cow-Pock, without exciting  
either

either disease, although not known to have had either of them.

Lady A.'s servant maid was inoculated three times for the Cow-Pock, but a red spot and small scab only were produced, although not had the Small-Pox.

*Ibid.*—Inoculators for the Small-Pox can now assign a reason for some of their Subjects not taking the Small-Pox, viz. their having undergone the Cow-Pock early in life in the casual way.

P. 63, l. 9.—As an instance of a family constitution to resist the Cow-Pock and Small-Pox. 1. One child did not take the Small-Pox until the fifth Inoculation, and then had only one pustule on the part inoculated, without any fever. 2. Another child, at three months of age, was inoculated four times for Small-Pox before it took effect, and then only as the former, locally. 3. A third child took the Small-Pox on the first Inoculation, and had fifty eruptions. 4. A fourth child, No. 821, at the Institution, was inoculated four times for the Cow-Pock before the disease was excited,



cited, and then, seemingly, not 'till the fifth Inoculation in four days from the fourth; but the vesicle was very small, and the fever was on the ninth day, from the fifth Inoculation.

P. 64.—In the same Subject, in one arm there has occurred a red areola, and, in the other none, around the Vaccine-Pock.

*Ibid.* l. 27.—There are, besides the above, four other sets of symptoms, which however are not constant or essential, but are peculiar and characteristic. These are distinguished by 1st. The *Erythema* excited around and the *Phlegmon* immediately under the vesicle of the inoculated part. 2d. The *febrile affection* usually on the 9th day. 3d. The *Eruption* succeeding the febrile affection. 4th. The *Effera Vaccina* succeeding the desiccation of the vesicle. Further; it will be proper to notice four other sets of symptoms which are neither essential nor peculiar. 1st. Those from *Suppuration* or by the vesicle, or *Pemphix*, becoming a *pustule*. 2d. *Ulceration* from the bursting of the vesicle, or tearing away of the scab. 3d. Inflammatory swellings of the axillary glands. 4th. Eruption



Eruption of various spots, pimples, and vesicles at subsequent periods to the Inoculation.

That in some cases there should be extensive inflammation, even to prove mortal, and in others little or none, is analogous to what happens from other stimuli applied to the skin, given kinds of which produce such different degrees of inflammation in different constitutions. So, mercurial ointment by friction, will excite numerous pimples and inflammation in some persons, but in general no inflammation at all. A puncture with a clean needle or lancet, will in some excite excessive inflammation, and death. Caustic vol-alkali, or mustard, cannot be borne on the skin of some, but in others they excite bearable inflammation. A blistering plaister of cantharides has sometimes occasioned mortal inflammation, and so has the puncture in blood-letting. A plaister of Burgundy pitch in certain persons irritates so as to excite a fever.

*P. 30, l. 3.*—We submit to the determination of others, an hypothetical explanation of the matter of the Vaccine-Pock growing after the ninth or tenth day gradually less and less efficacious.

cacious. The inoculated matter in the first place produces its own specific stimulation, by which fluid matter is secreted in a vesicular eruption; which matter is impregnated with the Vaccine poison. This secretion continues till a part of it is absorbed, and that change is thereby effected in the whole constitution, by which it is rendered incapable of being acted upon in a similar way in future, either by the Vaccine or variolous poison. From the moment of this constitutional change, the peculiar Vaccine secretion ceases, and mere secretion of serous fluid, or at least *not Vaccine*, goes on from the irritation simply of the fluid already collected. Hence, such serous fluid altering the Vaccine poison, or this Vaccine poison being absorbed, the pock affords matter, frequently, of little or no efficacy after the twelfth or fourteenth day. That no pus is secreted in general, can only be imputed to the nature of the Vaccine poison itself not stimulating as the variolous does, usually about the eighth day, to produce pus; but in place of so doing, the limpid fluid becomes thickened, either by the absorption of the thinner parts into a scab, or by combination with oxygen. The secretion itself, and the inflammation, gradually

dually cease, from the excitability which affords the inflammatory action and secretion being exhausted.

P. 49 and 52.—The ground for the opinion that persons who have gone through the Small Pox are still susceptible of the Vaccina, as well as those who have already undergone the Vaccina, is still maintained by a few partizans.—The sources of this error we think may satisfactorily be demonstrated in the present improved state of the history of the Vaccina.—1st. The characters of the Cow-Pock were not known even to the first Promulgators of the Vaccine Inoculation, for want of sufficient experience, and hence, an eruption of the inoculated part, in reality, *not a Vaccine one*, was mistaken for *a Vaccine one*. 2d. The Vaccina, as above stated, very often occurs without any perceivable disorder of the whole constitution. 3d. As a pimple or eruption can be excited, in a small proportion of subjects by variolous matter, in the part inoculated, in a person who has already gone through the Small-Pox, (the matter of which eruption it is attested can excite the Small-Pox both constitutionally and locally in others) so the Vac-

N 2
cine

cine matter, in a small proportion of Subjects, can excite a pimple or eruption, which may be mistaken for the real Vaccine-Pock; the matter of which may, perhaps, excite the Vaccina in others, both constitutionally and locally. Nay, an affection of the axillary glands, and some fever may even be excited in such cases of Inoculation of Vaccine or variolous matter, so that it is only by a knowledge of the properties of the Vaccine-Pock, and especially by their progress or course, that such eruptions can be distinguished from the Vaccine-Pock.—

4th. In the Small-Pox there is almost always both perceivable fever, and, on the body, eruptions; notwithstanding it is not allowed that there is evidence that this disorder can be excited more than once: but these criteria of fever are very often wanting, and of eruptions almost always so, in the Vaccina. Here we should consider:—1st. The rarity of the cases of local affection on Inoculation, or such as at all resemble the Cow-Pock, in persons who have had either the Small-Pox or Cow-Pock.—2d. The equivocal properties of such local affections.—3d. That in particular, they are certainly essentially different in their course, duration, and scab, from the Vaccine ones,

The



The following case, No. 1244, from the *Institution Register* is the most resembling one we have met with of the Cow-Pock; perhaps after the Small-Pox. D. H. aged twenty-five years, did not know that he had gone through the Small-Pox, although there was a scar on his arm from Inoculation.

15th March.—Inoculated him in each arm directly from a Subject, by which only little pimples were produced for a few days.

25th March.—Re-inoculated by introducing more fluid matter than usual into each arm.

29th March, 4th day.—Complained much of right arm being stiff, lame, and painful with a red pimple on the part inoculated; but on the other arm, nothing scarcely seen.

1st April, 8th day.—Complained still more of right arm, which felt swelled, and the part inoculated exhibited an oblong eruption not very unlike the Cow-Pock, scarcely containing a fluid; but was surrounded by a very pale red areola, and attended by pain of the axilla. From a little moisture of this eruption inoculated immediately, No. 1280, A. Vickery, five weeks old, without effect.

5th April, 12th day.—Pains of arms gone off, but right remains stiff. Eruption of inoculated



oculated part became an entire scab two days ago, and now is rough and brown, quite unlike the Vaccine vesicle scabbing on the 12th day. Some Practitioners who saw this Subject pronounced the eruption a Vaccine one, but others, of much more experience, declared otherwise.

*8th April, 15th day.*—Small irregular figured black scab on part inoculated, not glossy and well defined as the Vaccine.

*P. 63, l. 9.*—No. 328, Jane Little, aged twenty-one years never had the Small-Pox. She was inoculated with Vaccine matter which excited the Vaccina in other persons; but although repeated three or four times, nothing but little pimples could be produced of a few days duration. She was also inoculated for the Small-Pox which equally failed. On inquiry, found that her father, mother, brother, and sister never had the Small-Pox, but had not been inoculated.

*Further note to page 25.*—No. 1143, on the 8th day after Inoculation, appearing to have not taken, was re-inoculated. On 4th day after  
the

the second Inoculation, seemed to have evidently taken from that only. On the 8th day from the second Inoculation, and 15th from the 1st, vesicles appeared from both Inoculations, which were beginning to scab, and were surrounded with a red areola. On the 11th day from the second Inoculation, and 18th from first, the scabs were perfectly formed, The child had a diarrhæa, and restless nights during the whole of the attendance.

In this case, the matter of the first Inoculation seems to have been excited into action by that of the second ; and this fact seems also to explain why the Small-Pox so often breaks out casually about the usual time of the constitutional affection by the Cow-Pock.

*P. 49 continued.*—No. 480, aged five months, had with regular Vaccine-Pock, febrile disorder on the 9th, 10th, and 11th day, with eruption of pimples on the 13th day, not unlike Chicken-Pox, but did not entirely disappear till after the 28th day. From matter of these eruptions, inoculated No. 493, without effect, but produced the Vaccina by matter of Cow-Pock vesicle.

The

The most remarkable eruptive case in our experience, was No. 1196, five months of age, inoculated from 1188, with matter which produced in others the regular Vaccina. The pocks came forward in the inoculated parts in the usual course, but he was disordered constitutionally from the 7th to the 11th day, with heat, loss of appetite, &c.; and on the 8th day, two Vaccine-like vesicles were seen on the *nates*, which grew from the pimple state on the 6th. By the 11th day, there were about sixty more eruptions much smaller than the two former, most of them being like Cow-Pocks of the 5th, 6th, and 7th days. On the 15th day, the eruptions had dried up, not even leaving scabs. Health now very good. Vaccine scabs on parts inoculated were of the usual figure, but of a brown colour. See *Plate II.* 4. fig. 1, 2, 3.

No. 1201, aged seven months, subject to a tettery eruption, was inoculated in each arm, from the 8th day vesicle on the *nates* of No. 1196.

4th day.—Taken in both arms. Eruption of pimples appeared.

8th day.—On left arm there is a large red pimple; on right, a vesicle, apparently suppurating,

purating, has risen. Eruptions on body disappeared. Is in good health.

11th day.—Had scratched the parts inoculated, to inflame the arms with an appearance of pus in the inoculated parts. Was feverish the day before.

12th day.—On right arm, a fluid like that of Cow-Pock surrounding a scab. On the left, the part inoculated looks yellow, but not scabbing.

15th day.—No scabs on the arms; but probably had been scratched off.

In six weeks the tettery eruption broke out again on the body, and over the hairy scalp.

In eight weeks, excepting from some scabs of the eruption, was quite well, and was re-inoculated in two places in each arm immediately from No. 1254, John Tukes, with matter of the 9th day, but without any effect, except four red spots, for a few days.

In nine weeks, was inoculated at the bedside of a patient in the Small-Pox, with variolous limpid matter of the 8th day, in two places in each arm.

On the second and third day after Inoculation, all the four punctured places were red as if they had taken the infection; so that already much more inflammation was excited by the



variola than by the Vaccine matter of the second Inoculation: but the appearances of infection having taken, went off in a few days further.

*P. 56, l. 17.*—Eliz. Pitt, nine weeks old, in four days after Inoculation, was again inoculated in the punctures where the first were supposed to have failed; but in three days time vesicles like 6th day appeared, and on the 12th day, scabs appeared like those of the 15th.

*P. 96, case No. 1201, con.*—The pocks were disfigured by scratching, so as to destroy the *criteria* of the Cow-Pock; but the scars, and the two further unavailing Inoculations, removed all ambiguity.

---

It is taken for granted, that the valuable communication of Mr. Partridge on the proportional mortality of the Small-Pox will be a very acceptable addition to the present Report.

*March 21st, 1803.*

AN ACCOUNT, extracted from the parish registers of Boston, in the county of Lincoln, of the number of persons baptized, and buried, and of the number of deaths by the Small-



Small-Pox (distinguished in those registers by a certain mark) within each year, from the year 1749 to 1802, both inclusive; and of the population of the same parish, in the years 1767 and 1801:—Designed to shew the extent of mortality by the Small-Pox; it seeming highly probable, that the proportion of deaths by this disorder throughout Great Britain and Ireland (and perhaps, other countries) is not less, but greater than within the parish of Boston; which has no circumstance of narrow streets, crowded houses, manufactories, or want of medical assistance, tending to make the disorder more fatal than in other places.

For the use of, and most respectfully presented to, the Vaccine-Pock Institution, London.

By SAMUEL PARTRIDGE, M. A. F. S. A.  
Vicar of Boston.

---

N. B. This account does not include persons noticed in the registers, at the end of each year, as dying within the parish of Boston, and buried in other parishes; but only those actually buried in this parish: Because, it would otherwise be necessary to set off persons brought into Boston for burial; which is impracticable; and because, it might interfere with accounts of burials which may be furnished from other parishes. Neither does it include the few persons interred in the burying ground of Dissenters; because such an account would be unauthentic and uncertain.

Anno Domini.	Baptized.	Buried.	Died by Small-Pox.
1749	68	120	48
1750	80	93	0
1751	55	59	0
1752	88	85	0
1753	79	73	0
1754	88	111	1
1755	74	102	19
1756	66	110	34
1757	93	86	4
1758	83	88	4
1759	102	91	0
1760	106	84	2
1761	80	94	0
1762	95	134	3
1763	92	206	69
1764	130	102	5
1765	112	113	0
1766	144	117	0
1767	129	95	0
1768	131	117	0
1769	159	120	3
1770	140	166	78
1771	150	133	2
1772	138	130	6
1773	157	143	27
1774	160	112	0
1775	162	186	55
	2961	3070	360

A.D. 1767. Inhabitantes and Sojourners, accurately numbered, 3470. Inhabited Houses, 832; or, 4,17 in each House.

Carry forward.

Anno Domini.	Baptized.	Buried.	Died by Small-Pox.
1776	165	176	7
1777	165	131	6
1778	166	174	18
1779	173	195	3
1780	137	247	0
1781	136	193	19
1782	133	177	0
1783	162	149	0
1784	147	202	58
1785	168	124	4
1786	152	114	0
1787	168	130	0
1788	181	145	0
1789	184	185	27
1790	204	126	0
1791	218	93	2
1792	219	152	0
1793	195	141	1
1794	197	148	0
1795	217	161	1
1796	214	205	64
1797	240	166	0
1798	227	112	0
1799	229	133	0
1800	225	147	1
1801	251	105	1
1802	243	189	33
Brought forward	5109	4220	245
	2961	3070	360
Totals	8070	7290	605

A. D. 1801. Inhabitants and Sojourners, accurately numbered, 5926. Inhabited Houses, 1221, or, 4,85 in each House.

Very nearly one-twelfth part, of all the persons buried in Boston within fifty-four years, have died by the Small-Pox. Suppose the mean population of this parish, during the above term of fifty-four years, to have been 4,120; and the mortality by Small-Pox there, and in Great-Britain, and other parts of Europe, equal, it appears highly probable from the answers and returns made in consequence of the *Population Act*, 41, *Geo. III.* that the mean population of Great-Britain and Ireland, during the above fifty-four years, was 12,000,000; and the mean population of Europe, during the same term, was calculated to have been 150,000,000.

The deaths by Small-Pox, therefore, in Great-Britain and Ireland, within fifty-four years, are 1,762,135, or, 32,632 annually; and in all Europe 22,026,699, or, 407,901 annually. And if it could be stated, with any probability, at what periods the Small-Pox first appeared in the other quarters of the world, and what has been the mean population of each, then *some idea* might be formed of that havock of the human species, which has been made by this disorder. May the Vaccine-Pock Inoculation become the means, under Divine Providence,  
of

of causing this malady, with all the pain and misery produced by it, to cease throughout the world!

P. S. If the above term, of fifty-four years, be divided into *two* equal periods, then the proportion of deaths by Small-Pox to other causes, is, for the first period, a very little less than 2 in 17, and for the second period, a little less than 1 in 17.

If the term be divided into *three* equal periods, then the proportion is, for the first period, a little less than 1 in 10; for the second, a little more than 1 in 10; and, for the third, a very little less than 1 in 19. This computation may, perhaps, be applied towards a solution of the question concerning the increase or diminution of mortality by the Small-Pox, since the introduction of Inoculation. And it may, hereafter, be applied, towards shewing the progressive, and the final happy effect of Vaccine-Pock Inoculation.

*Observations on Mr. Partridge's Communication.*

The length to which our Report has been already extended, compels us to decline offering



ing a great number of remarks and observations excited by the extremely valuable account of the baptisms, population, burials, and particularly the deaths by the Small-Pox, each year for the last fifty-four years in the parish of Boston. Accordingly, we shall confine ourselves to a few of the important truths which are manifested by Mr. Partridge's paper.

1. It appears that the proportion of deaths by the Small-Pox at Boston, during the last half century, has been about  $\frac{83}{1000}$  of the whole number of burials. This is a smaller proportion than in London during the same time, which, according to Dr. Heberden's tables, appears to be, on the average,  $\frac{97}{1000}$  from 1750 to 1800, (See Observations on the Increase and Decrease of different Diseases, &c. by W. Heberden, M.D. F.R.S. 1801. 4to. p. 4—6.) But,

2. The proportion of deaths by the Small-Pox at Boston, on the average, in three successive terms of eighteen years each, viz. for 1749 to 1802, has accordingly diminished, being, in the first term, almost  $\frac{100}{1000}$ ; in the second, a little more than  $\frac{100}{1000}$ ; and, in the third, not much less than  $\frac{53}{1000}$ ; whereas, little variation has

has happened in London, the numbers being from 1751 to 1760 nearly  $\frac{102}{1000}$ ; from 1761 to 1770  $\frac{102}{1000}$ ; from 1771 to 1780  $\frac{96}{1000}$ ; from 1781 to 1790  $\frac{92}{1000}$ ; and from 1790 to 1800  $\frac{94}{1000}$ ; (Heberden's Tables, p. 4-6.)

3. It appears, that in the 1st decade of the Boston registers, for fifty-four years, there were four years exempt, and three years in which only nine died of the Small-Pox; in the second decade, there were six exempt years, and three in which ten persons died; in the third decade, there was one year of exemption, and two in which five died; in the fourth decade, were six years free from the disease, and two in which only seven died; and, in the fifth decade, there were five years in which the distemper was absent, and three in which only four died. In the last four years, two only died in three of them. Hence, of fifty years there were twenty-two exempt, and thirteen in which not quite three died yearly; so that in fifteen of these years, 535 died of the Small-Pox, out of nearly 2002, the average of every fifteen years total burials, from 1748 to 1749 both inclusive. It is worthy of remark, also, that in one of these years, nearly one half, or  $\frac{78}{168}$ ; and, in  
x
several

several of them above  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the deaths were by the Small-Pox.

How different is this course from the course of the distemper in London! where we find, in the first of the five preceding decades, the variation of deaths by the Small-Pox to be between, about, 77 and 173, out of every 1000, except two years between 40 and 47; in the second decade, the variation is between 72 and 138; in the third decade, between 70 and 153, except two years of 42 and 48 deaths; in the fourth decade, between 55 and 169 deaths, except one year, in which the number was 35; in the fifth decade, the variation was between 61 and 183, except two years of 30 and 49. (See Heberden's and Lettsom's Tables.) The greatest mortality in our annals was 3548 by the Small-Pox, out of the whole burials 19288 in 1796, or nearly  $\frac{18.4}{1000}$ ; and the smallest proportion since 1702\* was the very following year,

---

\* In 1702, there died 19481, in which were only 311 or 16 per 1000 by the Small-Pox; the smallest proportion on record. Dr. Lettsom will pardon our rectifying, we apprehend, an error, in stating 156 instead of 1560 deaths by the Small-Pox, for the year 1684, thus of course erroneously making only  $\frac{7}{1000}$  deaths by the Small-Pox.

1797, being 522 out of 17014 total deaths, or about  $\frac{21}{1000}$ . (See a MS. extract from the parish register by Mr. Lynam, and Lettsom's Table.)

The difference between the course of the Small-Pox, and the less mortality in the country than in London may, perhaps, be accounted for: 1st. From the incessant practice of Inoculators in London furnishing a perpetual supply of contagious matter; which is otherwise in the country, and where but *occasionally* a part of the inhabitants undergo Inoculation.—2d. From the increased proportion of persons inoculated within the last twenty-five years above the proportion in London, the amount of the total of burials may have been lessened.—3d. From the improved method of treatment, especially in the admission of fresh air, the cool regimen, and more free use of purgatives, and even of opium; which, perhaps, have been employed much later in the country than in London.—4th. From other diseases, besides the Small-Pox, being proportionally more fatal in the first twenty-seven than the second twenty-seven years; and not corresponding to the mortality in London by the same or other distempers.



4. It is from the foregoing comparative view of the course of the Small-Pox in the country to that in London, rendered probable, that Inoculation has been beneficial to the public in the former situation, but not in London; for, at Boston, in the last twenty-seven years only 245 deaths by the Small-Pox are registered, from a population of near 6000; but in twenty-seven years immediately preceding, 360 deaths by the Small Pox are registered, from a population of about 4000. "In London, more persons have died of the Small-Pox since the introduction of Inoculation—out of every thousand deaths in the bills of mortality attributed to the Small-Pox during the first thirty years of the eighteenth century, before Inoculation could have had any effect upon them, they amounted to 74. During an equal number of years at the end of the century, they amounted to 95."—Heberden, in work above cited, p. 36.

5. With respect to Mr. Partridge's calculation of the mortality in Europe by the Small-Pox during the last fifty-four years, it appears to approximate to truth, as far as *data* will at present allow; except that it does not seem fair to estimate the mortality to be in the same ratio in London, and in provincial situations.

6. What-



6. Whatever calculation be admitted of the proportional mortality by the Small-Pox, as at the lowest it appears to be the most fatal of all distempers; and as there appears no prospect of extinguishing it by Inoculation of it under the existing laws of civil society; it might be worth considering whether any or what influence Vaccine Inoculation has had since its introduction at the beginning of the year 1799. This question cannot be determined at present, because it requires the comparison of a long series of years of Vaccine Inoculation, with an equal one of the Small-Pox. But meanwhile, the underwritten statement from the London Bills may be useful.

1. *During the Vaccine practice.*

Year.	Total of Burials.	Deaths by Small-Pox.
1799 — —	18134 — —	1111
1800 — —	23068 — —	2409
1801 — —	19374 — —	1461
1802 — —	19379 — —	1579
	<u>79955</u>	<u>6560</u>

2. *Four years preceding the Vaccine practice.*

1798 — —	18155 — —	2237
1797 — —	17014 — —	522
1796 — —	19288 — —	3548
1795 — —	21179 — —	1040
	<u>75636</u>	<u>7347</u>

During

During the Vaccine Inoculation for the term of four years, the mortality was then by the Small-Pox nearly  $\frac{8.2}{1000}$  of the whole deaths; and for the same term immediately preceding, a little more than  $\frac{9.7}{1000}$  of the whole deaths occasioned by the Small-Pox. But it will be said that the year 1799 should not be reckoned among the years of the new practice, as probably not more than 4000 were vaccinated; and if the proportional mortality be taken for each year, it will be for 1800, nearly  $\frac{10.5}{1000}$ ; for 1801, a little less than  $\frac{7.6}{1000}$ ; and for 1802, a little less than  $\frac{8.2}{1000}$ . And if the four years preceding the new Inoculation be calculated singly, then the mortality will be for 1798, a little more than  $\frac{12.3}{1000}$ ; for 1797, a little less than  $\frac{3.1}{1000}$ ; for 1796 nearly  $\frac{8.4}{1000}$ ; and for 1795, nearly  $\frac{4.2}{1000}$ . Or, if the proportion of deaths by Small-Pox, during the years 1800, 1801, and 1802, be taken together and compared, to the whole burials, then they will be found to amount to nearly  $\frac{8.8}{1000}$ . If the three years preceding the Vaccine practice (from the small number vaccinated, omitting the year 1799) viz. 1798, 1797, and 1796 be calculated conjointly, the proportional mortality by the Small Pox will be nearly  $\frac{1.15}{1000}$ . It would be easy to demonstrate

demonstrate from other statements, that any conclusions drawn from the proportional mortality of the Small-Pox, as depending upon the Vaccine Inoculation, must at present be fallacious. For one cannot assign as a satisfactory reason the Inoculation of 25,000 or even 30,000 persons, in London for the Cow-Pock in 1802, 1801, and 1800, producing a greater number of deaths by the Small-Pox, as hath actually happened than in 1798, 1797, and 1796; on the contrary, it would be from this reasonable to impute a diminution of the mortality, if it had happened, to the generating less variolous matter. It seems, we can only draw *three conclusions*, 1st. That a much greater proportion of persons than usual were affected with the Small-Pox during the years 1800, 1801, and 1802; 2d. That an uncommon number died; 3d. That a much greater proportion than usual neglected to avail themselves of Inoculation for the Small-Pox. Hence then, it is not manifest, that the Vaccine Inoculation has been a benefit to population, however great a one it has been to individuals.

7. Concerning the benefit to individuals from the Vaccine Inoculation, it, perhaps, will  
be

be allowed to be reasonable :—1. That if in the years 1799, 1800, 1801, and 1802, 60,000 were vaccinated in the united kingdom, who otherwise would have been inoculated for the Small-Pox, that 350 or 400 lives have been saved; as five to seven per thousand usually die by the Small-Pox Inoculation.—2. To the account of *individual* benefit, must also be added the sufferings of illness being 100 times less than in an equal number under the inoculated Small-Pox :—and 3. The same proportion of less subsequent damage to the constitution. But Philanthropists will joyfully contemplate the most important benefit *ultimately* to the whole human race, viz. the diminishing the stock of variolous matter—a benefit which cannot be felt at present for reasons above delivered.

THIRD ANNUAL REPORT  
OF THE  
*VACCINE-POCK INSTITUTION,*  
FOR INOCULATING THE POOR,

SUPPORTED BY THE  
VOLUNTARY SUBSCRIPTIONS, BENEFACTIONS, AND  
LEGACIES OF THE PUBLIC,

(*Removed from Warwick-Street, December, 1800, to No. 5, Golden-Square,  
and to No. 44, Broad-Street, Carnaby-Market, May, 1803.*)

FROM ITS FOUNDATION, DEC. 2, 1800, TO DEC. 31, 1802.

---

PRESIDENT,

The Right Honourable the Earl of CHOLMONDELEY.

VICE-PRESIDENTS.

Rt. Hon. Lord Petre, F.R.S. &c.	H. J. De Salis, D D. F.R.S.
The Rev. Sir George Lee, Bart.	William Adam, Esq.
Sir George Baker, Bart. F.R.S. &c.	William Devaynes, Esq. M. P.

TRUSTEES.

T. Noble, Esq.—Charles Binney, Esq.—William Bosville, Esq.

TREASURERS,

Thomas Payne, Esq. John Heavifide, Esq.

SECRETARY AND COLLECTOR.

Mr. William Sancho.

---

THOSE who are acquainted with only a part of the history of the Small-Pox, scarcely take into their contemplation more than the advantages of the *inoculated* over the *natural Small-Pox*, in the points of preservation of the lives



of individuals, and the substitution of a disease generally slight, for a disease generally severe: and such persons imagine, that the practice of Inoculation neither requires, nor is, perhaps, capable of farther improvement. But those who are more extensively acquainted with the history of the Small-Pox, know, that it is productive of a great deal of mischief, notwithstanding the advantages of Inoculation—For,

1. Under the best treatment, a certain proportion of persons die in the inoculated Small-Pox; and although the proportion of deaths to the recoveries may not exceed six out of a Thousand Patients, the distress occasioned by these fatal cases is more severely felt than when such cases occur in the casual disease: therefore the substitution of a mild affection will contribute to lessen the distress which would thereby be occasioned.

2. It seems fair to calculate, that, in the inoculated Small-Pox, one in twenty-five patients undergoes a severe illness.

3. The

3. The numerous sources of the Small-Pox infection now preclude every prospect of extinguishing this malady; and unless Inoculation were universally practised, it is most probable that the proportional mortality by the natural Small-Pox is rather increased than diminished, in consequence of the more extensive dissemination of the infection by Inoculation.

4. In a certain proportion of inoculated cases of Small-Pox, deformities of the skin are produced by the eruptions, which no Practitioner can be answerable for preventing in any instance. Diseases also are more frequently excited by variolous than by the Vaccine Inoculation, to which a disposition pre-existed in the constitution.

5. In particular families, and in particular states of the constitution, as in pregnancy, &c. the Small-Pox are an exceedingly dangerous disease, even by Inoculation. Now it is manifest, from the accounts which have been collected of the disorder called by the name of the Cow-Pock, and particularly from the experience by Inoculation of it since January,

1799, that the hurtful effects of the Small-Pox above stated, may be prevented by substituting for it the Inoculation of the Cow-Pock, —Because,

1. Of much above 100,000 persons in Europe who have had the inoculated Cow-Pock, it is very doubtful whether any one has died of the affection by Inoculation. There is, however, good ground for believing, that the fatal cases reported by Inoculation, in some instances, were from the interference or super-vention of other diseases; and in other instances, the reports, on enquiry, were found to be groundless, the deaths not having happened.

2. Not a single well-attested instance has been produced, among more than 50,000 of the above persons known to have been constitutionally affected with the inoculated Vaccine Pock, and who were subsequently inoculated for the Small-Pox, of this disease being taken: and in those few instances which have been supposed cases to the contrary, there was every reason to believe the inoculated Disease was local, or not the real disorder. Tradition-ally,

ally, this fact has been established time immemorial, with regard to the casual Cow-Pock.

3. It may safely be affirmed, that the inoculated Cow-Pock is generally a much slighter disease than the inoculated Small-Pox; and that the proportion of severe cases in the latter, is to the former as at least a hundred to one.

4. It does not appear that the genuine Vaccine-Pock can be propagated like the Small-Pox, by effluvia from persons labouring under it. Hence, if the Vaccine Inoculation should be universally instituted in place of the Small-Pox, it is reasonable to conclude, that this most loathsome and fatal malady will be extinguished; and, like the Sweating Sickness, Plague, certain kinds of Leprosy, &c. be known in this country only by name.

5. It does not appear that the Vaccine effluvia, like the Small-Pox, can be conveyed so as to produce the disease indirectly from diseased persons, by adhering to clothes, furniture, bedding, letters, &c. Hence no danger of its propagation in these channels is to be apprehended from the universal practice of implantation  
of



of the Cow-Pock. Further: it is highly important to consider other advantages of this Inoculation over the Small-Pox; which are, besides those already mentioned, that it may be practised in pregnancy, in infancy, and in all ages, generally with little inconvenience, being almost always a *slight affection* not worthy of the name of *disease*. It may be practised on a part of a family, without any danger of infecting the rest; and of course it may be introduced into places and towns to any extent, not only without endangering those undergoing the disease, but, from its not being infectious by effluvia, without any danger of communicating it to others who have not had the Small-Pox. And, even at this day, in some places, the Small-Pox being looked upon as a plague, the Inoculation is prohibited under the strictest regulations; but if the advantages of the new Inoculation should be understood by people so circumstanced, it is hardly to be doubted that the Vaccine Inoculation will, on the first breaking out of the Small-Pox, be adopted.

6. No danger is to be apprehended from the interference of the Small Pox; for it has been abundantly proved, that if a person be infected  
with



with Small-Pox effluvia previously to the Cow-Pock Inoculation, and the Small-Pox take place at the same time with the Cow-Pock, that the former disease is at least not aggravated by the latter. And there is now good evidence to shew, that variolous-like eruptions never appear, except on those Subjects who previously to the Inoculation for the Cow-Pock had been exposed to variolous effluvia.

7. It has been found that a person, whose constitution has distinctly undergone the Vaccine disease, is in future unfusceptible of the same disorder. Hence no objection can be made to the new Inoculation, as was once urged, on account of its having been asserted, that, by the commutation of the Small-Pox for the Vaccine-Pock, an eruptive disease would be introduced, to which the same person would be repeatedly liable.

8. It does not appear that those who have already gone through the Small-Pox are susceptible of the Vaccine disease, as was also boldly asserted. Hence no objection can be urged on the score of persons who have  
already

already gone through the Small-Pox being liable to a new infectious disease, by the introduction of the Vaccine Inoculation.

9. Experience shews, that there is no reason to apprehend the smallest chance of deformities of the skin from the Cow-Pock Inoculation.

10. The extensive practice of the new Inoculation in the present and the two former years, and the accounts of the disease in the casual way, do not shew that any other will be excited subsequently, which is peculiarly imputable to the new practice.

It may be useful to add, that the present Institution is perfectly suited for procuring evidence to inform those who are unacquainted with the new practice; for determining all doubtful points relating to it, and for discovering errors; as every case will be registered; every improvement be made under the direction of the Medical Establishment belonging to the Institution; and the results of the practice will be reported to the Governors.

From

From the above comparative statement, it is manifest that it is highly to the interest of the Public to adopt universally the Inoculation of the Vaccine-Pock in place of the Small-Pox. And that the poorest ranks in society may enjoy the benefit of the new Inoculation, the following Plan of an Institution is submitted to the consideration of benevolent persons; and it is hoped that, when the objects are well understood, it will continue to receive such aids as are necessary to its establishment and maintenance.

---

## PLAN

OF THE

## *VACCINE-POCK INSTITUTION.*

---

1. A Physician, Surgeon, and Apothecary, shall attend every Tuesday and Friday, at One o'clock, to examine, inoculate, and prescribe for the Patients; who shall attend at such times as they shall be directed.

R.

2. The

2. The patients admitted to receive the benefits of the Inoculation, shall be those who apply with letters from the Governors; or if fit objects, by merely applying at the Institution.

3. The patients shall be supplied with proper medicines at the expence of the Institution; and, when necessary, be attended at their own houses.

4. Subscribers of Ten Guineas are Life Subscribers, and have the same right as an annual Subscriber of One Guinea.

———— Twenty Guineas, have the same right as an annual Subscriber of Two Guineas.

———— Thirty Guineas, have the same right as an annual Subscriber of Three Guineas.

———— Forty Guineas, have the same right as an annual Subscriber of Four Guineas.

———— Fifty Guineas, unlimited.

———— of not less than One Guinea annually, are entitled to have One Patient constantly on the books.

———— Two Guineas annually, Two Patients.

———— Three Guineas annually, Three Patients.

———— Four Guineas annually, Four Patients.

———— Five Guineas annually, unlimited.

5. The

5. The Subscribers are to be called Governors ; they shall possess the power of transacting all the business relating to the management of the Institution ; except the Medical practice, which is under the direction of the Medical Officers.

6. The Subscriptions, &c. shall be employed to defray the expences of the Institution.

7. A weekly Committee meet every Tuesday at One o'clock, for transacting the ordinary business of the Institution ; and the accounts are to be audited quarterly, by a select Committee of Governors, on the first Committee-day after every Quarter-day.

8. The medical duties are discharged gratuitously by the Medical Establishment, who are required to be also Subscribers.



RECOMMENDATORY LETTER.

“ Gentlemen,

“ I recommend the Bearer  
of the Parish of believing h to be a  
proper Object of the Institution.

Day of 180

Your humble Servant,

“ To the Medical Committee,  
Vaccine-Pock Institution,  
No 44, Broad-street, Car-  
naby-Market.” }

FORM OF BEQUEST

For such as choose to become Benefactors, by  
their last Will, to this Institution.

“ ITEM, I give and bequeath unto A. B. and C. D.  
the sum of upon trust; and to  
the intent that they, or one of them, do pay the same  
to the Treasurer, for the time being, of a Society  
now called and known by the name of THE VACCINE-  
POCK INSTITUTION, commencing December 2, 1799,  
in Warwick-street, removed to No, 5, Golden-square;  
and now at No. 44, Broad-street, Carnaby-market;  
which said sum of I will and desire  
may be paid out of my Personal Estate, and applied  
towards carrying on the designs of the said Charitable  
Institution.”

An

An account of the Patients inoculated, and of the disbursements and funds of the Institution, may be seen on the Committee-days, which are every Tuesday at One o'clock.

*Governors are desired to observe the following Articles:*

1. Recommendations are to be delivered on Tuesdays and Fridays, between Twelve and One o'clock, and none will be received after One.

2. Patients to attend regularly on the above-mentioned days, until discharged.

3. Applications for matter to be made on those days, at or before One o'clock.

It is presumed, that among other beneficial consequences, the utility of this Institution must be manifest, from its keeping up a constant succession of Vaccine-matter, by which means Practitioners in the country may be supplied at all times: but as the Institution has been hitherto supported by Voluntary Contributions, it is hoped that the utility of it will be a sufficient apology for proposing, that Practitioners, if agreeable, become Subscribers,  
to

to be supplied with matter; or by a contribution of not less than half-a-guinea when matter is wanted.

*Note, Vaccine Matter is not warranted, but under the seal of the Institution, with the motto “ Feliciores inserit.”*

---

## MEDICAL ESTABLISHMENT.

---

### PHYSICIANS,

GEORGE PEARSON, M.D. F.R.S.	THOMAS NELSON, M.D.
LAWRENCE NIELL, M.D.	

### CONSULTING SURGEONS,

MR. KEATE, F.R.S.	MR. THOMPSON FORSTER.
MR. PAYNE,	

### SURGEONS,

MR. ROBERT KEATE,	MR. CARPUE.
MR. GUNNING,	

### VISITING APOTHECARIES.

MR. BRANDE,	MR. EVERARD BRANDE.
MR. RIVERS,	

Subscriptions and Benefactions received at Messrs. DEVAYNES, DAWES, NOBLE's and Co. Pall-Mall; and by the Secretary, at the Institution; who will call on the Governors, when their Subscriptions become due.

DIRECTIONS

# FORMULA OF THE REGISTER SHEETS OF THE VACCINE INSTITUTION.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Name and Date.	Age.	Food and Drink.	Source of the matter.	Number and kind of punctures.	State of Health.	State of the arms to the 4th day inclusive.	State of the constitution to the 4th day inclusive.	State of the arms from the 4th to 8th day inclusive.	State of constitution from 4th to 8th day inclusive.	State of the arms from 8th to 11th day inclusive.	State of the constitution from 8th to 11th day inclusive.	State of the arms from 11th to 15th day inclusive; and further.	State of the constitution from 11th to 15th day inclusive; and further.	Medicines.	When inoculated for Small-Pox, and the effects of this Inoculation.	Miscellaneous Remarks.
April 10th, 1863. No. 1500, Charles Upjohn.	10 months.	At the breast. Mother eats animal food, and drinks 2 pints of porter daily.	From No. 1410, 8th day.	Both arms; Right by puncture; Left by scratch.	Good, but sometimes eruptions of tooth rash.	Right arm taken; Left doubtful, which re-inoculated from No. 1418, 8th day.	A little cough, and diarrhæa.	Small well-defined vesicle on right arm. Left taken by 2d Inoculation. Inoculated 3d time each arm.	Quite well.	Vesicle of right arm beginning to scab; that of left, about half the size, beginning also to scab. Former surrounded by erythematous areola; latter has no areola. Red spots from 3d Inoculation.	Pain of one shoulder since yesterday, and of arm-pits to-day. Head-ach. Refusal of food, paleness and flushings alternately, heat of skin on 9th day, but now well.	Two fine glossy prominent blackish scabs, one round, other oval, from 1st and 2d Inoculation. Spots from 3d Inoculation, as before.	Remained well.	15th day, one oz. of Phosphate of Soda for the mother every other day for 3 times.	30th April, scabs fallen off, and left a cicatrix in each arm. Inoculated for Small-Pox in each arm, which produced two red pimples, as after 3d Inoculation.	Small-Pox in the house where the child lived, for week before and during Inoculation.





## DIRECTIONS FOR THE INOCULATION,

AT

*The Vaccine-Pock Institution,*

FOUNDED DECEMBER, 1799.

---

---

I. THE limpid matter should be taken from a decidedly characterized Cow-Pock, which is proceeding, apparently, through its respective stages. It is most efficacious in producing the Vaccina from a Pock before the eleventh or twelfth day; and is most abundant and, is usually taken, about the ninth day. But it may be used at any earlier period, even as early as the fifth day, if it can be collected. However, matter from a pock later than the eleventh or twelfth days is not more liable to produce inflamed arms, than that from younger pocks; and if the Cow-Pock be excited at all, it is as distinct as from any earlier matter. No differences in the effects of the Vaccine matter inoculated appear to depend on the presence, extent, or absence of the red areola.

II. The matter is usually taken on glass, thread, or a quill, on which it should be suffered to become dry without applying heat, and when so dried, it is scarce visible. The air should be excluded, by keeping the matter between two glass plates, in a hole drilled in the middle of one of them, or in a bottle filled with hydrogen gas.

III.

III. As dried matter fails much more frequently to excite the Vaccina than recent fluid matter, it will be adviseable, in order to insure the effect, or for obtaining a great quantity of matter, that, instead of a single puncture or scratch (which is sufficient and preferable with recent matter) there be matter inserted in two punctured or scratched parts in each arm. The dried matter, at the time of Inoculation, should be softened by warm, but not very hot water.

IV. The Inoculation must be performed in the same manner as for the Small-Pox.

V. If the infectious matter produce the required effect, in three, four, or five days, there will be seen a red spot like a small gnat bite—in six or seven days, a small vesicle will appear—in nine days, a circular vesicle (improperly called a pustule) will be found as large as a pea, or from about two-tenths to four-tenths of an inch diameter, usually surrounded by a red Areola.—By the eleventh day, the vesicle begins to scab or grow dry, and turn black in the middle, and the Areola becomes more extensive.—By the fifteenth day, but often later, the pock becomes a mere scab, circular, prominent, well defined, of a blackish or mahogany colour, adhering firmly; but the Areola disappears. Unless it be separated by violence, the scab does not fall off, in general, sooner than the twentieth day. It then leaves a cicatrix permanent for life.

VI. If the eruption, or pimple, excited by Inoculation, has not the characters and does not pass through the stages in the course above stated (V), although sometimes anomalous,

lous, this Cow-Pock may render the constitution unsusceptible of the Small-Pox, yet it cannot be depended upon. In such cases, the Inoculation should be re-instituted; for if the Vaccina cannot be again excited, the unsusceptibility desired will have been produced; but if a further proof be wanted, recourse must be had to Inoculation with the variolous matter.

VII. In many cases, no constitutional affection or fever can be perceived: when it occurs, it is almost always on the ninth and tenth days; but provided the pock exhibit the distinctive characters of the Cow-Pock, even without Areola, with the usual course of its stages, the susceptibility of the Small-Pox will be as effectually destroyed as if there had been considerable febrile affection, and extensive Areola.

VIII. When Erythema, like Erysipelas, extended over the arm, with swelling, pain, &c. it has always subsided in a few days of itself, only avoiding irritating applications, or at most on using sedatives.

IX. Eruptions sometimes occur, but they require no particular treatment.

X. The Small-Pox may break out at any period within twelve days of Inoculation for the Cow-Pock. If they appear earlier than the sixth or seventh, the Vaccina is cut off in its progress; if they appear later, the Vaccine-Pock goes forward in its usual course.

XI. The medical treatment which may be required from unusual or supervening complaints, being analogous to that in the Small-Pox, must be accordingly.

S

XII.

XII. Measles, Chicken-Pox, Hooping Cough, and other disorders, may intervene during the Vaccina, without, in general, varying its progress.

*Note,* Each Governor may be supplied with matter as often as wanted for his own use, by paying not less than One Guinea annually, the expence of postage and portorage being discharged by those who apply.— If matter be required by those who are not Governors, the plan of the Institution proposes the payment of not less than Half-a-guinea; but if circumstances render this payment inconvenient, it will be supplied *gratuitously*.

The Institution does not warrant any matter but that which has on the package, the impression of the seal of the Institution, *namely*, a Cow, with the motto, *Feliciores inferit*.

*NOTE.*

## NOTE.

IT may be proper to notice, that although it was deemed the easiest and most independent way to ask, or indeed (for the most part) rather to propose to those, who frequently required matter for Inoculation, to become Governors, for the small consideration above stated; and to those who only occasionally required matter, also to contribute a still smaller sum, (as in other similar Institutions); yet, as far as we know, it has constantly been the rule to supply matter *gratuitously* for mere charitable purposes, and for experimental inquiries; besides furnishing it also gratuitously whenever there was reason to believe any payment might be in the least inconvenient. Whether this mode of assisting in the support of the establishment was not more easy for the public, and more liberal in the Institution, than by artful representations and practices, and canvassing, by personal applications, to obtain comparatively large sums to those for this Institution, we must refer to the determination of the public. In the mean time it will be for ever honourable to this Institution, that its small funds have been solely appropriated to its æconomical expences; neither salary nor premium has been received by any of the medical establishment; and that for more than three years, they furnished the world with matter, and inoculated all the poor who applied, and many of a different order, whether they attended with or without letters from Governors. It is presumed, that the Vaccine-Pock Institution can assert, with the strictest truth, that *so much good has rarely, if ever, been done at so small an expence.*



## GOVERNORS.

NOTE—*Subscribers of more than One Guinea are distinguished in the List of Governors as follows: A Subscriber of Two Guineas, thus || before his name; a Subscriber of Three Guineas, thus †; a Subscriber of Four Guineas, thus ‡; a Subscriber of Five Guineas, thus §; a Life Subscriber of Ten Guineas, thus \*; and for every Ten Guineas more, an additional Star.*

<b>A</b> LVANLEY, Rt. Hon. Lady	*Binny, Mr.
Adam, Mr.	Birch, Mr.
Amial, Mr.	Birkhead, Mr.
Andrews, Mr.	Blaine, Mr.
**§ Army, War-Office	Bliss, Mr.
Atkinson, Mr.	Bracken, Rev. Mr.
	Brande, Mr.
	Brande, Mr. E.
Buccleuch, Her Grace the	Bremeyer, Mrs.
Dutcheſs of	Broderick, Hon. Miſs
*Banks, the Right Hon. Sir J.	Brouncker, Mr.
Bt. K. B.	Brown, Mr.
*Baker, Sir George, Bart.	Bontein, Sir James
*Beechy, Sir William	Boone, Mr.
Bamford, Mr.	*Boſville, Mr.
Bannerman, Dr.	Burke, Mr.
Barclay, Mr.	Byham, Dr.
Barton, Mr.	
Beale, Mr.	***** Cholmondeley, the Rt.
Beſt, Mr.	Hon. the Earl of
Beugo, Dr.	*Cairns, Dr.
Bingley, Mr.	Camel, Mr.
	Carpue,

Carpue, Mr. J. C.

Carr, Mr.

Carus, Mr.

Cates, Mr.

Chapman, Mr.

Chevalier, Mr.

Cheveley, Mrs.

Chilver, Mr.

Clarke, Dr.

Clifton, Mr.

Clayton, Mr.

Coleman, Professor

\*Commissioners for Sick and  
Wounded Seamen

Cooke, Mr.

Coombe, Mr. J. D.

Corbyn, Mr.

Coxwell, Mr.

Crawforth, Mr. H.

Devonshire, Her Grace the  
Duchess of

\*De Salis, Rev. H. J. D.D.

\*Devaynes, Mr. M. P.

Darcy, Rev. John

Day, Mr.

De Bruyn, Mr.

Dent, Mr. Robert

Dickenson, Mr.

Dimsdale, Mr. John

|| Donovan, Mr.

Doratt, Mr.

Down, Mr.

Douglass, Mr.

\*E. Hon. Mr.

Evans, Mr.

Farquhar, Sir Walter, Bart.

Fallowfield, Mr.

Fellowes, Dr.

Fisher, Rev. Dr.

Flower, Dr.

Foot, Mr.

Forbes, Mr.

Ford, Mr.

Foster, Mr. Oswald

Forster, Mr. Thompson

Fowler, Mr.

Fox, Mr.

Freake, Mr.

Frederick, Mrs.

Frogley, Mr.

Furnival, Mr.

Gaitskell, Mr.

Gale, Mr. T. W.

Gaskell, Mr.

Gillham, Mr.

Gilpin, Mr.

Goldsmith, Mr.

Goodwin, Mr.

Griffin, Mr.

Grover, Mr.

Gunning,

Gunning, Mr.	Kelly, Mr.
Hampden, Rt. Hon. Viscount	Kelson, Mr.
Hill, Sir Richard, Bart.	Key, Mr.
Harness, Dr.	Kidd, Dr.
Harrison, Dr.	Kingston, Mr.
Hastings, Mr.	Kinglake, Mr.
Hawkins, Mr.	Kitching, Mr.
Haworth, Dr.	Knapp, Mr.
Hayes, Mr.	Kramer, Mrs.
Haynes, Mr.	*Lee, Rev. Sir George, Bart.
Heaviside, Mr.	Lachlan, Mr.
Heberden, Dr.	Lane, Mr.
Henderson, Mr.	Leland, General
Hobson, Mr.	Lempriere, Dr.
Hodgson, Mr.	Lettsom, Dr.
Holt, Mr.	Lewthwaite, Mr.
Holwell, Dr.	Livingston, Professor
Hughes, Mr. H. D.	Locock, Mr.
Humby, Mr.	London, Mr.
Ives, Mr.	Macartan, Dr.
Jackes, Mr.	M'Donald, Mr.
Johnston, Mr.	Mackie, Dr.
Jones, Mr.	Mackinder, Mr.
Jones, Mr.	Mainwaring, Mr.
Jones, Mr.	Malden, Mr.
Knox, Hon. George	Marshall, Mr.
Kale, Mr.	Marshall, Dr. Andrew
*Keate, Mr.	Martin, Mr. M. P.
Keate, Mr. R.	Martin, Mr. Patrick
	Matthias, Mr. A.

Maxwell.

Maxwell, Mr.  
 Merriman, Mr. S.  
 Michael, Mr. Joseph  
 Millington, Mr. L.  
 Millington, Mr.  
 Mingay, Mr.  
 Morgan, Mr.  
 Morrah, Mr.  
 Morrison, Mr.  
 Moser, Mr.  
 || Muschett, Mr.

Nelson, Dr.  
 Newnham, Mr.  
 Nicholls, Mr.  
 Nield, Mr.  
 Nihell, Dr.  
 Noble, Mr.  
 Norman, Mr. George  
 Northey, Mr.  
 Norton, Mr.

Ogilvie, Mr.  
 Ogle, Mr.  
 Osborn, Mr.

Petre, Rt. Hon. Dowager Lady  
 \*Petre, Right Hon. Lord  
 Pufey, Hon. Philip  
 Parr, Dr.  
 Parker, Mr.  
 \*Payne, Mr.

\*Pearson, Dr.  
 Pemberton, Dr.  
 Penrose, Mr.  
 Pennington, Mr.  
 Phillips, Mr. John  
 Phillips, Mr.  
 Pilliner, Mr.  
 Plimer, Mr.  
 Pope, Mr.  
 Porter, Mr.  
 Pratt, Mr.  
 Price, Mr.

Rees, Dr.  
 Revans, Mr.  
 Reynolds, Mr.  
 Reynell, Mr. Leatherhead  
 Reynell, Mr. H.  
 Rhodes, Dr. A.  
 Riddlefden, Mr.  
 Ridge, Mr.  
 Rivers, Mr.  
 Robinson, Dr.  
 Rodwell, Mr.  
 Rogers, Dr.  
 Rogers, Mr.  
 Rogerson, Dr.  
 Rollo, Dr.  
 Rowley, Dr.  
 Rumfey, Mr.  
 Rumfey, Mr. H.  
 Ruspini, Chevalier

Rye,

- |                               |                     |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Rye, Mr.                      | Vaughan, Mr.        |
| Spencer, Rt. Hon. Countess of | Vincent, Mr.        |
| Spencer, Right Hon. Earl      | Voet, Dr.           |
| Sefton, Right Hon. Lord       | Von Oven, Mr.       |
| Sinclair, Sir John, Bart.     |                     |
| Salkeld, Mr.                  | Wainewright, Mr. T. |
| Sandford, Mr.                 | Walker, Mr.         |
| Scott, Nelson, Dr.            | Walker, Mr. R.      |
| Seares, Mr.                   | Warren, Dr.         |
| Serjeant, Mr.                 | Warren, Mr. C.      |
| Settaro, Mr.                  | Warren, Mr. E.      |
| Shaw, Mr.                     | Wayte, Mr.          |
| Smith, Mrs.                   | Wayet, Mr.          |
| Smith, Mr.                    | Weekes, Mr.         |
| Smart, Mr.                    | Weston, Mr.         |
| Sneath, Mr.                   | Weston, Dr.         |
| Southam, Mr.                  | Wildgoose, Mr.      |
| Squirrel, Dr.                 | Wilkes, Mr.         |
| Stodart, Mr.                  | Williamson, Dr.     |
| § Stratton, Mr.               | Williams, Mr.       |
| Stubbs, Mr.                   | Wilmot, Mr.         |
| Sutherland, Mr.               | Wilson, Mr. D.      |
| Symmons, Mr.                  | Wilson, Mr. James   |
|                               | Wright, Mr.         |
| Tegart, Mr.                   | Wright, Mr. D.      |
| Thomson                       | Woodville, Dr.      |
| Thomas, Mr.                   | Wybrow, Mr.         |
| Thynne, Dr.                   | Wye, Mr.            |
| Tilloch, Mr.                  |                     |
| Travers, Mr.                  | Young, Mr.          |
| Tubbs, Mr.                    |                     |



## INDEX.

---

- CHICKEN-POX intervening during Vaccina, 41.  
Cow-Pock, facts known of it, before Dr. Jenner's publication, 2.  
———— facts communicated by Dr. Jenner, 3.  
———— facts communicated subsequently to Dr. Jenner, 3.  
———— breaks out among cows in London, 4.  
———— characters of, 25, 63.  
———— and Small-Pox in same person, matter of each produces difficulty each disease, 58.  
———— many persons supposed to have had it, have and will take the Small-Pox, 65, 66.  
———— and Small-Pox inoculated in different arms, 73.  
———— matter of same person excited Vaccine eruptions in himself, 81.  
———— case resembling, 93.  
———— disfigured by scratching, 98.  
———— inoculated previously to Dr. Jenner, 2.  
———— promulgated by Dr. Jenner, 2.  
*Dentition* no objection to Vaccine Inoculation, 79.  
*Diarrhæa* during Vaccina, 80.  
*Epileptic Fits* during Vaccina, 79.  
*Eruptions*, various, during Cow-Pock, 33.  
———— on body matter of produced Vaccina, 96.  
———— engravings of Vaccine, eruption on body, 96.  
*Family unsusceptibility* to Small-Pox and Cow-Pock, 88, 94.

## INDEX.

- Heberden, Dr. his tables quoted, 104, 105, 106.
- History of Cow-Pock disease, 1, 4
- symptom and characters, 27, 189.
- Hooping Cough during Vaccina, 43.
- Inflammation of arms owing to constitution, and not to matter employed, 83.
- why great in certain persons, 95.
- Influenza suspends Vaccina, 9 days, 44.
- Inoculation, Vaccine, commenced in London, 1799, 4.
- facts ascertained by it in 1799, 4.
- Inoculation, safety of, on repetition in the same parts punctured, 98.
- Inoculation *Small-Pox*; not diminished mortality, but increased it, 108.
- *Vaccine*; not diminished mortality by *Small-Pox* hitherto, 109.
- Institution, Vaccine-Pock, motives to establishment, 1, 8, 9.
- objects of it, 7.
- Institution, Vaccine-Pock, founded Dec. 1799, 9.
- its officers, 10.
- reflections on, respecting Dr. Jenner, unjust and illiberal, 11, 12.
- its grand immediate objects the preservation of matter, and history of the Vaccina; 16.
- the office for army and navy, 17.
- plan of, and its laws, 119.
- Report of, third annual, 111.
- Itch during Vaccina, 74.
- Jenner, Dr. first Promulgator of the Cow-Pock, 2.
- repeatedly applied to by the Founders of the Institution, 13.
- Lettfom, Dr. his tables, 106.
- Lynam, Mr. his register MS. 106.

## INDEX.

- Malim, Mr. his case of eruptions explained, 40.
- Matter, why grows less efficacious in proportion to age of disease, 89.
- Measles during Vaccina, 42.
- Morrison, Mr. his fatal case during Cow-Pock, 68.
- Partridge, Mr. on the mortality of Small-Pox, 98.
- his tables of births and deaths, 101, 102.
- Pearson, Dr. offers to propose Dr. Jenner to the Institutions  
12.
- Plates of eruptions, explanation of,
- Small-Pox, no one undergoes it after the Cow-Pock, 18.
- during Vaccina, 35, 78.
- after it, cannot undergo the Vaccina, 52.
- intervening during Cow-Pock, there is but one constitutional affection, which is always from Small-Pox, explained fully, 74.
- cannot be excited during Vaccina, after the constitutional affection, 80.
- proportional mortality in London to Boston, 104.
- proportional mortality in Europe, 102.
- proportional mortality in united kingdom.
- explanation of error, that Vaccina can take place after Small-Pox, and Vaccina after Vaccina, 91.
- $\frac{1}{12}$  of all burials for 54 years at Boston, by Small-Pox, 102.
- different course of, in London and Boston, explained, 105, 106, 107.
- greatest mortality in 1796, and smallest in 1797,  
106.
- Inoculation, beneficial in country, but not in London, 107, 108.
- mortality, not in same ratio in London and the country, 101.

## INDEX.

- Vaccina, 4000 inoculated for it in 1799.
- \_\_\_\_\_ persons cannot undergo it more than once, nor after the Small-Pox, 6, 49, 52, 85, 192.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ seldom attended with Fever, 6.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ advantages over Small-Pox, 6.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ laws and facts reduced to propositions, 17.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ no one dies of it, 21.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ Fever, symptom of, 32.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ intervening diseases not hitherto distinguished, 35, 77.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ effects of, on health, 47.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ presence of other diseases, no objection to Inoculation of, 47.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ cannot take place more than once, 49.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ matter no difference but in efficacy, according to age, 53.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ quantity of matter does not vary the constitutional effect, 67.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ matter, effects of, in those who have had Small-Pox, 83.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ matter, 4th day, produced regular Vaccina, 83.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ why grows inefficacious, 90.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ Inoculation, whether any influence on the mortality by the Small-Pox, 109.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ cannot be yet perceived, 111, 113.
- Ulcerous Sore Throat during Vaccina, 42.
- Woodville, Dr. his zeal in introducing Vaccine Inoculation, 7
- \_\_\_\_\_ his liberal conduct, 7, 8.

A  
STATEMENT  
OF  
EVIDENCE  
FROM  
TRIALS BY INOCULATION  
OF  
VARIOLOUS AND VACCINE MATTER;  
TO  
JUDGE OF THE QUESTION, WHETHER OR NOT  
A PERSON CAN UNDERGO THE SMALL POX  
AFTER BEING AFFECTED BY THE  
COW POCK.

BY  
*The Physicians of the Original Vaccine Pock Institution.*  
Established December, 1799.

---

*Quod in Observatione indefinitum et vagum, id in Informatione fallax et infidum est.*

BACO DE VERUL. Nov. Org. xcvi.

That many persons inoculated for the cow pock, in the years 1799, 1800, and even 1801, and 1802, have already and may hereafter take the small pox is a reasonable expectation from the characteristic Properties of the Vaccina not being known to the Inoculators, by their own experience, nor from the descriptions of authors."

*Report on the Cow Pock Inoculation, from the Practice of the Vaccine Pock Institution, &c. 1803, 8vo. p. 65.*

---

London,

PRINTED FOR CUTHELL AND MARTIN, MIDDLE  
ROW, HOLBORN.

By W. Blackader, 10, Took's Court, Chancery Lane.

---

1804.





# VACCINE POCK INSTITUTION,

44, BROAD STREET, GOLDEN SQUARE,

---

*Weekly Board, Tuesday, July 24, 1804.*

PRESENT,

Dr. SHAW, in the Chair,

Mr. E. BRANDE,

Mr. CARPUE,

Mr. DE BRUYN,

Mr. DORATT,

Mr. THOMPSON FORSTER,

Mr. GUNNING,

Mr. HEAVISIDE,

Mr. HOBSON,

Mr. MARSHALL,

Mr. MORRIS,

Dr. NELSON,

Mr. NICHOLL,

Dr. NIELL,

Dr. PEARSON,

Mr. PILLINER,

Dr. ROLLO,

Mr. SIMMONS,

Mr. SOUTHBROOK,

Mr. FRANCIS THOMPSON,

Mr. WACHSEI,

AND

Dr. WOODVILLE,

*THE following Statement, drawn up by the Physicians according to the request of a former Weekly Board, having been this Day read, it was unanimously*

RESOLVED,

That the same be published as speedily as possible, under the direction of the Physicians.

CONTINENTAL ARMY  
OFFICE OF THE QUARTERMASTER GENERAL

THE QUARTERMASTER GENERAL'S OFFICE

WASHINGTON

DECEMBER 15, 1862

1st Cavalry	1st Division	1st Corps
2nd Cavalry	2nd Division	2nd Corps
3rd Cavalry	3rd Division	3rd Corps
4th Cavalry	4th Division	4th Corps
5th Cavalry	5th Division	5th Corps
6th Cavalry	6th Division	6th Corps
7th Cavalry	7th Division	7th Corps
8th Cavalry	8th Division	8th Corps
9th Cavalry	9th Division	9th Corps
10th Cavalry	10th Division	10th Corps
11th Cavalry	11th Division	11th Corps
12th Cavalry	12th Division	12th Corps
13th Cavalry	13th Division	13th Corps
14th Cavalry	14th Division	14th Corps
15th Cavalry	15th Division	15th Corps
16th Cavalry	16th Division	16th Corps
17th Cavalry	17th Division	17th Corps
18th Cavalry	18th Division	18th Corps
19th Cavalry	19th Division	19th Corps
20th Cavalry	20th Division	20th Corps

THE QUARTERMASTER GENERAL'S OFFICE  
WASHINGTON  
DECEMBER 15, 1862

---

## PREVIOUS OBSERVATIONS.

---

THAT the Public may duly appreciate the Trials contained in this paper, it is needful to state, that most of them were instituted publicly, and that none were conducted by single persons:—that not fewer than 100 professional gentlemen were witnesses to most of the cases in different stages of the local affections excited by these inoculations.

The medical establishment apprehend that they have a special claim to credit above individuals, not only as a body, but from their independent situation in the institution, and hence then they cannot reasonably be suspected of being warped by private interest; for besides devoting much of their time gratuitously to the medical and œconomical business of the undertaking, they contribute their pecuniary support at least equally to the other Subscribers. There being no institution de-

nominated \* *Vaccine Pock Institution*, or any title of similar import, but ours, which has been so long established : nor any other so denominated in London, which has been established for a due length of time, to be able to determine the question, whether or not the susceptibility of the small pox is not again acquired in three or more years after vaccination, it was not doubted that by the late publication, "Addressed to the Directors of the Vaccine Institution," we were challenged to answer to the testimonies against the efficacy of the cow pock inoculation. Several of our Governors came forward on the days of transacting business, to state that it was the duty of the medical establishment to notice, not only the facts of this author, but similar adverse ones, now frequently occurring in other quarters. Although it is now believed that Mr. Goldson's pamphlet, owing to the author's want of information, was, by mistake, addressed to another Institution, it is equally our duty to act for the benefit of the public concerning the question supposed to be at issue. We accordingly offer the following Statement of Evidence, trusting that the interests of society will be promoted by the inquiry, for which the public

\* It is not surely necessary to observe, that the terms *Small Pox Hospital*, which denote professedly an institution for the small pox disease, cannot be understood to mean the same kind of institution as is denoted by the terms *Vaccine Pock Institution*.



will owe obligations to those who have provoked it. Because, if the cow pock destroys the susceptibility of the small pox as extensively as the going through the small pox does; then to obtain that benefit, the practice of vaccination must be further, and more generally, investigated than has hitherto been the case; but if vaccination be not so extensively efficacious as variolation, then it is fitting that the public be informed of the real value of the new inoculation, that it may be adopted for as much as it is worth.

Considering the manner in which vaccination is very commonly practised, and the apparent little attention to the investigation, or even to the acquisition of what has been investigated, it seems to us rather surprising that a greater number of instances of failure have not been made public. We are of opinion that until the laws of agency of the vaccine matter on the human animal œconomy shall be more generally known, and even be further investigated, the practice of vaccination will not very unfrequently occasion disappointment, and produce unhappiness. Actuated by these views, it has been more the object of this medical establishment to observe phœnomena and determine useful questions of fact, than it has been <sup>our</sup> endeavour to swell out a list of numbers inoculated, without obtaining any instruction, and  
without

without being able, from such numbers, to bestow due care to produce the expected security. We began the new practice in its infant state comparatively, with, as we presume to think, its present improved and more advanced state. But how far this establishment have succeeded in making that advancement, the medical public have the means of judging in the printed report of our investigations last year, and from another report read to the governors this year, which is to be printed. Without assuming exclusive information, we have the satisfaction of being able with truth to declare, that in a longer continued and more uninterrupted practice, than any other professed vaccine pock institution in the world, but one case has occurred in our practice of the small pox after the cow pock; of which an account will be published, to submit to the judgment of others, whether or no it was a mere local affection, or a case of vaccina failing to destroy the susceptibility of the small pox.

The Institution has been supposed also to have been called upon to speak to one other point, from the unfortunate Portsmouth cases.—If we did not rather desire than feel alarmed by objections, it might justly be said that either the Institution alluded to, which furnished matter for one of the unfortunate cases, might not be ours;  
or

or if it was from this source, that we cannot be considered as responsible for the consequences of it, for reasons obvious to common sense. But whatever was the source of the matter in the first instance alluded to, it does not appear that there is the shadow of evidence that the matter inoculated was not vaccine matter, "for it had been employed to vaccinate others, and had communicated to them a satisfactory disease." The patients were rendered unsusceptible of the small pox infection, and produced as described the regular vaccine pock; also, "in no instance was there any approach to a spurious disease." But let us suppose an extreme case, and one that has never happened in the experience of this institution, amidst perhaps a greater number of supplies of matter issued during the three first years, 1800, 1801, 1802, by this than by any other institution, *viz.* that a number of the cases inoculated at the same time with vaccine matter had subsequently taken the small pox, no imputation of blame, we trust, could in reason have been incurred. Were it required, however, we could adduce examples of such occurrences with matter furnished by those who have declared that matter ought not to be taken later *than the 8th day*, nor when *the areola is present*; which has been proposed as the boundary beyond which the inoculator is enjoined

joined “*sacredly*” not to pass. Whether such rules be founded on experience, or are mere assertions from other grounds, it will, we hope, be satisfactory to the Public to be informed :—

1. That medical Practitioners who are known to the Establishment, or are introduced by a governor, have the opportunity of seeing the effects of matter of different periods of the pock, in the practice of the Institution.

2. Many Practitioners have availed themselves of these opportunities\*.

3. The Register Tables are open to the inspection of the governors, in which are recorded the state and age of the matter employed, now for several preceeding years.

4. Though matter, especially that which is used after being exsiccated, frequently fails to excite the vaccina, yet in our experience we have heard of no mischief from it.

5. The most unequivocal testimonies of the efficacy of the vaccine matter, have been weekly

\* See p. 76, in which the question of the age of matter was subjected to the issue of trial.



afforded the Institution ; and it is presumed in as great a proportion as it is reasonable to expect, from the nature of vaccine matter.

We consider then that we have proved by abundant experience, 1. That matter differs in different periods of the pock only, in being less efficacious in the later stages, *i. e.* in being rather more liable to fail.

2. That when such matter does fail, no other effects locally are produced than by matter from any earlier stages of the pock.

3. That when it does produce the cow pock, such cow pock does not differ from that produced by matter taken at any earlier period.

4. That matter taken during the areola does not produce any effects on inoculation different from matter of a pock without any areola, but in otherwise similar circumstances.

5. That when the vaccine vesicle suppurates, *i. e.* becomes a pustule, such matter ought not to be employed, except from necessity, because it rarely excites the cow pock ; although not more local affection is liable to be produced by it  
than



than by the clear fluid of the vaccine vesicle, when this fails to produce the specific affection, *viz.* cow pock.

6. Although the course of the cow pock be such, that similar phænomena usually appear at stated periods, the rule for taking matter should not be absolute as to any particular time, but should be *according to the state of the pock*; and the state of the pock may be the same in one patient on the 7th, 8th, and 9th day, that it is in another patient on the 13th, 14th, and 15th.

In conclusion :—So long as this Establishment conduct their practice in the same open manner they have hitherto done, and do not shrink from the discussion of contradicted points, but call for the determination by public trial, they confide in having the support of the cultivators of philosophy, and of the friends of humanity; whose good sense, and impartiality will prefer the evidence of experiment to the *mere assertions* of individuals.

With regard to the evidence of permanency of unsusceptibility, one of this establishment is qualified to offer it for a more remote time back by  
one

one year previous to the foundation of the institution, both from his own testimony and that of his numerous connections, even at this early period, whom he supplied with matter. Unless Dr. Woodville be an exception, there is, we believe, no other Practitioner who has had the subject of cow pock before his observation without the interruption of a week, and commonly without the interval of two or three successive days, for so extended a period as since the month of January 1799. To what extent we have availed ourselves of the advantages of this long experience will be seen in the course of this paper, especially in the Abstracts from Letters at the termination.

A STATE-



A

## STATEMENT,

&c. &c.



A NUMBER of Cases, in the course of the last twelve months, have been published, and spoken of in many families, as instances of the small pox supervening in persons who had undergone the cow pock. An opinion, too, has been attempted to be propagated, that the unsusceptibility of taking the small pox, produced by the cow pock, continues only for a limited time. Some of these accounts claim from justice a respectful consideration, the authors of them being practitioners whose testimonies are of undeniable acceptance, who have written apparently with candour, and from worthy motives. Independently of the demands of justice, there are other reasons for the examination of these adverse reports. Much uneasiness, and, indeed, unhappiness has been excited in the minds of parents whose young offspring have been inoculated for the cow pock; by which means such parents, till lately, slept

B

in

in tranquillity, with respect to apprehensions of the small pox. The very continuance of the practice of the new inoculation at this Institution, it is taken for granted, implies that the members of the medical establishment believe that vaccination renders the human constitution unsusceptible of the small pox, notwithstanding the contrary statements. It is also to be supposed, that the same members have not undertaken and prosecuted the practice, without possessing originally justifiable grounds; nor without having availed themselves subsequently of what they considered to be great and decisive evidence: wherefore, it now remains for us to discharge the duty which we owe to the Supporters of the Institution in particular, and to the whole of human society, in publishing the result of such experience as appears best calculated for capacitating the Public to duly judge of the adverse statements under consideration; perhaps, to furnish practical information to inoculators of less experience; and for our justification in continuing the practice of vaccination.

The cases of the adverse side may be divided into four classes:

1st. Cases of the small pox by inoculation, in persons who had been supposed to have *recently* gone through the cow pock.

2d. Those who are asserted to have taken the small pox on inoculation, who had gone through  
the



the supposed vaccina at a comparatively *remote period*, viz. three or four years ago.

3d. Instances of the small pox supervening in what is termed the *natural way*, i. e. by variolous effluvia, in a short time after the supposed cow pock.

4th. Cases of the natural small pox taking place at a more distant period after vaccination, i. e. in at least three or four years.

Concerning the first class, viz. Cases of small pox by inoculation soon after the vaccina—a great number of recently vaccinated patients were inoculated for the small pox, as stated in our published Report\*; and, in particular, by one of the establishment, many of the subjects were exposed to the severest test, in the presence of his pupils†, by inserting variolous matter in several punctures of each arm, directly from a variolous patient, but in no instance could the small pox be excited.

The mass of evidence, from the practice of this establishment, in addition to the great body of

\* Report on the Cow Pock Inoculation, from the Practice of the Vaccine Pock Institution, during the Years 1800, 1801, and 1802, &c. by the Physicians to the Institution. Printed for Reynell, 8vo, 1803.

† In the Summer Lectures, 1803, at the Institution, these trials were made not for his own satisfaction, but for the information, and for conviction in the minds of others.

evidence from so many other sources, has appeared for above two years, to have been more than sufficient to establish as a truth that persons who have *recently* gone through the vaccina are incapable of taking the small pox. Hence, of late it has not been thought requisite to continue the practice of inoculation for the small pox after vaccination at this Institution.

2dly. Concerning the second class, *viz.* Cases of small pox by inoculation at least three years after the vaccine affection. There seemed to be no reasonable ground for believing that the incapability of taking the small pox, being once produced, would only subsist for a certain time; because,

1st. There is no analogous\* fact in favour of such a supposition.

2ndly. The cases of people, who had casually undergone the cow pock, being found many years afterwards, but previous to vaccine practice, incapable of the small pox by inoculation: and,

3dly. The cases published of variolous inoculation purposely of patients who had long before gone through the cow pock, contracted accidentally in milking, seemed to establish, on the firmest foundation, the truth, that persons who undergo

\* What has been asserted of late, respecting the unsusceptibility of the plague, for a limited time after that disorder, merits attention, but cannot be deemed well authenticated.

the vaccine disorder, will be for life incapable of taking the small pox.

By way of justifying the new inoculation \* soon after its proposal, in 1798, “for particular families, in which the small pox had proved unfavourable,” many persons who had undergone the cow pock, accidentally in milking, and who had never had the small pox, were inoculated for the small pox. The first experiments of this sort at this period, with the view just named, were those by one † of the writers of this statement, in the summer, 1798, among the servants of Mr. Willan’s milk farm, Mary-le-bone fields: and which were followed by more trials under the judicious care of Mr. Fermor ‡ in 1800.

As to our judgment of the instances which are from time to time heard of to contravene these truths, they did not alter our opinion; because, when we had an opportunity of personally examining the cases, we found either that some other eruption was mistaken for the small pox, or that the cow pock had not in reality been previously excited. Of late, however, the supposed instan-

\* Enquiry into the Causes and Effects of the *Variolæ Vaccinæ*, by E. Jenner, M. D. &c. 4to, 1798, p. 58.

† See An Inquiry into the History of the Cow Pock, p. 14.—25, 8vo, 1798, by G. Pearson, M. D. &c.

‡ Reflections on the Cow Pock, &c. By Wm. Fermor, Esq. 8vo, 1800.

ces of the failure of the new inoculation, in producing the desired unsusceptibility, have so far increased in number, and been represented to the public, on respectable authorities in such terms, as to require a new consideration of the evidence belonging to the questioned point. Notwithstanding this concession, we did not doubt that the facts from experience already obtained, when duly represented, would have been sufficient to vindicate the controverted truths; but conceiving that great part of the public would feel conviction more readily from a resumption of former trials, it was determined to institute anew a certain number of experiments. These we shall detail, and trust they will speak so clearly for themselves as to render many remarks unnecessary.

On Monday, 11th of June, 1804, the following members of the medical establishment, *viz.* Dr. Pearson and Dr. Nihell:—Messrs. Payne and Forster:—Messrs. Gunning, Carpue, and Doratt, who inoculated the patients:—Mr. De Bruyn; by the liberal permission of Dr. Woodville, met at the Small Pox Hospital to inoculate several persons with variolous matter, who had been vaccinated at this Institution, in the year 1800, being the first year of its practice. On this occasion they were attended by the following governors, *viz.* Dr. Woodville, Dr. Warren, and Mr. Wachsel.

The



The number inoculated at this meeting was thirteen.

It was previously agreed that each of the subjects should be inoculated by three punctures on each arm at the bed-side of the patients in the small pox. On this visit several of the subjects of trial shook hands with the small pox patients, and they even kissed their lips. They remained in the small pox ward about half an hour.

WILLIAM MORGAN, No. 188, of the Institution Register, was vaccinated near four years ago, being then three years old.—It is recorded that there were two fine distinct vesicles which became characteristic scabs, and these left superficial scars; but no constitutional illness was produced by the cow pock inoculation. He was now inoculated with variolous matter of the 9th day pocks.

*5th Day, June 15, Friday.* At the *Institution*.—This patient, along with others, inoculated as above stated on the 11th, attended the committee and several governors.

It appears that he had been inoculated only in one arm, in two places, on the 11th current, where two small eruptions rose up, containing fluid. The appearances were more like those of the infection having taken, than in any of the other patients.

*6th Day, Saturday.* Attended at Dr. Pearson's lecture-room. The eruptions seemed to be scabbing.



*8th Day, Monday.*—At the *Institution*; where, besides the medical establishment, and several other governors, Dr. Pemberton, Dr. Ford, Mr. Barclay, Mr. Newby, and many students attended. The two eruptions were scabbing, and not at all the vaccine or variolous scabs.

*15th Day, Monday.*—At the *Institution*. One brown scab and one very small black scab, now not very unlike vaccine, were at this time formed. There had been no illness, nor eruptions.

CHARLOTTE SMITH, No. 310, of the Register, was vaccinated three years and a half ago. —During vaccination had a fine vesicle on each arm, which terminated in two well characterised black scabs, leaving two scars. Was ill on the 8th day, perhaps from the vaccine affection. Was inoculated for the small pox in the same circumstances as the preceding patient.

*5th Day.*—At the *Institution*. The inoculated parts shew no mark of agency of the variolous matter.

*8th Day.*—At the *Institution*. Scarcely marks on the inoculated places remain.

*15th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the left arm had one small scab the size of a large pin's head, otherwise had a vaccine appearance—not the smallest traces of the other punctures—no illness nor eruptions had come on.

SOPHIA

SOPHIA LAMLEY, No. 840, of the Register, vaccinated about two years ago, when aged two years; she had a scar on each arm from vaccination.

*5th Day.*—At the *Institution*. The six punctures have each some redness, which, however, appears to be going off.

*8th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the right arm there is one large red pimple or eruption, not unlike that of the third or fourth day, when the small pox infection takes effect. The two other punctured parts of this arm are red: but on the left arm only red marks appear from the punctures.

*15th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the left arm the red spots on the three punctured parts have disappeared, and now scarce a mark remains. On the right arm the three punctured parts are still more inflamed.—No illness nor eruption had supervened.—In a few days further, nothing appeared on the inoculated parts but small scabs.

ANNE STOREY, No. 283, of the Register, aged seven years when vaccinated, near four years ago, had then a well characterised vaccine vesicle on the right arm, ending in a genuine vaccine scab, and cicatrix, but there was no constitutional disorder. On the left arm, also, was an indistinct vesicle, leaving, however, a superficial scar. Had  
been

been inoculated for the small pox soon after vaccination, but ineffectually.

*5th Day, June 15.*—At the *Institution*. Each of the six punctures, with variolous matter, has produced a red pimple.

*8th Day.*—At the *Institution*. Scarcely a mark on the six inoculated places was seen.

*15th Day.*—At the *Institution*. No mark from the six punctures. No illness nor eruptions had supervened.

HENRY BOHN, No. 92, of the Register, was vaccinated above four years ago, being then aged four years.—Had, during vaccination, on the 8th day, a small vesicle, with an extensive areola for three days, and subsequently a fine vaccine scab, leaving a scar, but had no constitutional illness.

*5th Day, June 15.*—At the *Institution*. Scarcely a mark remains from the six places inoculated with variolous matter.

*16th Day.*—No further effect.

ELIZABETH LAMLEY, No. 239, of the Register, four years of age when vaccinated, near four years ago. Had had one distinct vaccine vesicle, which left a superficial scar, and there was no constitutional vaccine illness. Had already been inoculated for the small pox, with seventh  
day

day matter, soon after the cow poek, from a patient present, but ineffectually.

*5th Day, Friday, June 15.*—At the *Institution*. Merely red marks were seen from the six punctures of variolous inoculation.

*8th Day, Monday, June 18.*—At the *Institution*. Only one red spot, and two small marks appeared in one arm, and two little similar marks in the other arm.

*15th Day.*—At the *Institution*. A red mark only remained from one of the punctures on the left arm; but no traces of the five other punctures.

JAMES MORGAN, No. 189, of the Register, aged eleven months when vaccinated, almost four years ago.—Had a well characterised vesicle on one arm which left a scar, but there was no constitutional vaccine illness.

*5th Day, June 15.*—At the *Institution*. The inoculated places exhibit only red marks.

*8th Day.*—At the *Institution*. Six marks remain from the six punctures of inoculation, with trifling scabs, but no illness.

*15th Day.*—At the *Institution*. No marks from the six punctures remain, and neither illness nor eruptions had supervened.

JANE OSBORN, No. 311, of the Register, aged  
two



two months, when vaccinated, three years and a half ago.—During vaccination had two fine vesicles and scabs, leaving scars, but no illness attended.

*5th Day, June 15.*—At the *Institution*. No mark of infection.

*8th Day.*—At the *Institution*. One large red prominent pimple from one of the inoculated parts, containing a fluid, was seen on one arm. On the other arm, on two inoculated places, two red marks were seen.

*15th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the right arm is a black round scab from a pimple, disfigured by scratching. On the other arm were seen two red spots from the pimples gone off. No illness, nor eruptions.

MARY BOHN, No. 90, of the Register, aged two years and a half, when vaccinated, above four years ago. During vaccination had a very large vesicle on each arm, with great inflammation, but no constitutional illness. There was a sore arm subsequently for some time, like an issue, and had a scabby eruption at the time of the vaccine process, and for some weeks afterwards.

*5th Day, June 15.*—At the *Institution*. Not so much as a pimple from the inoculation.

*16th Day, June 26.*—No further effect.

No.



CAROLINE LAMLEY, No. 238, of the Register, when vaccinated, three years and three quarters ago, had a distinct vaccine vesicle and scab, leaving a deep scar, but not attended by any constitutional illness: had been inoculated for the small pox soon after vaccination, immediately from the subject present, as her sisters were, without any effect.

*5th Day, June 15.*—At the *Institution*. Merely marks remained from the punctures.

*8th Day.*—At the *Institution*. The punctured parts were scarcely visible.

*15th Day.*—At the *Institution*. No redness at all from any of the punctures, but marks were left: was quite well.

On this occasion the following patients, *viz.* FREDERICK I. five years and a quarter old; CATHERINE I. aged seven years; and ELIZABETH I. aged four years and a quarter, were inoculated for the small pox, who had gone through the cow pock, under Dr. Pearson's care, very nearly four years ago. They were inoculated in three places in each arm with vesicle lymph of the ninth day. They had a scar from the cow pock on each arm from the former inoculation, but there was scarcely any vaccine constitutional illness.

During

During the cow pock in *Frederick* the measles eruption broke out on the 14th day after vaccination, and he was constitutionally ill on the 10th day, apparently from the cow pock. The measles observed their usual progress, which was favourable, and the vaccine pock went through its usual stages, as if not conjoined with any disease.

*Catherine* took the measles in two weeks after her brother *Frederick*, which were of the usual favourable sort, and in a few weeks further, *Elizabeth* went through this disease in the same favourable way.

*6th Day.*—*Frederick* was visited at home by Dr. Pearson and Mr. Carpue.—On the right arm, there appeared in one of the three punctured parts, a conical reddish pimple, but scarcely a visible mark from the two other punctures. On the left arm, there was one very small red spot in one punctured part, and two just visible marks on the others. Were informed that all the punctured parts looked very red the day after the insertions, sooner and redder than on the arms of the other children, and continued so for a day or two longer, during which time there was a tingling sensation and smarting in the arms.

*10th Day.*—The red pimple on the right arm is now become a vesicle with an areola, exactly like the usual cow pock on the 9th or 10th day,  
but

but there were no marks from the other two punctures; nor do any marks remain from the punctures on the left arm. A redness of the skin, not unlike some cases of scarlatina, broke out this day, but had no observable previous or attending constitutional disorder.

*13th Day.*—The rash is nearly gone off. Had not been in the least ill. The vaccine-like vesicle on the right arm is now become a very small black scab, which cannot be distinguished from one of the common but smallest vaccine scabs.

*17th Day.*—The little black scab still remains on the arm.

CATHERINE I. *6th Day.*—On the right arm appeared in the punctured parts one pretty large pimple and two small ones.—On the left arm was one pimple like that of the punctured parts the fifth day after variolous or vaccine inoculation, and two smaller pimples. Were informed that all the six punctures grew very red the day after insertion, and continued so about twenty-four hours.

*10th Day.*—On the right arm were *two vesicles like the usual ones on the 10th day in vaccination, with a pale areola*; of which a drawing has been executed. On the left arm was one vesicle exactly like the usual cow pock on the 10th day, with areola. The pimples from  
the

the three other punctures were gone off.—Has not been in the least disordered, nor had any pain of the arm-pits; only complained of difficulty to avoid scratching, the itching being so great.

*13th Day.*—On the right arm were two brownish vesicles beginning to scab, not unlike vaccine ones, except being of a brownish yellow. On the left arm also was a similar pock.—Took matter, upon a lancet\*, from both these eruptions. The other three punctures produced no effect.—Inflammation was later in coming on than in the other children. No illness attended.

*15th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the left arm was one dark-brown, horn-like, smooth scab, exactly like the vaccine of the 15th day. On the right arm were two scabs also like vaccine ones. These three scabs were so like vaccine ones, that

\* On Tuesday, July 24th, a patient attended the Institution who had been inoculated by Mr. De Bruyn on the 9th, in three places in one arm, with this lancet; all the punctures took effect, and there had been the usual course of the inoculated small pox. The eruptions were declared by all present, *viz.* twenty-two medical gentlemen, among whom were Dr. Woodville, and Mr. Wachsels, to be variolous ones now in the vesicle state.

Hence a small pock may have the obvious properties of the cow pock, as in this instance; and we have several times seen the cow pock resemble the usual small pock in the *vesicle stage*; for we do not recollect that either the one or the other ever supplicated, *i. e.* ever became a *pustule*.

several



several members of the medical establishment present, declared that, from mere inspection, they should consider them as well characterised cow pock scabs ; and of course, as far as can be pronounced from the simple examination of scabs, that security against the small pox in such patients was thereby effected.—Had not been constitutionally disordered.

ELIZABETH I. *6th Day*.—Two of the three punctured parts on the right arm had a red appearance. On the left arm were three very small red pimples, not unlike the small pox or cow pock on the third day after inoculation. Had been disordered these two or three days by feverish symptoms.

*10th Day*.—The right arm had upon it one pimple, with pale red areola, and one red spot. From the third puncture on this arm, not so much as a mark.—On the left arm were only three marks from the three punctures.

*13th Day*.—On the right arm were two black scabs, as large as pins' heads only, but exactly the colour and figure of cow pock scabs. No traces of the other punctures.

*17th Day*.—Black scabs still remain.

*On Friday, June 15th, Dr. PEARSON communicated the following Ten additional Cases of*  
c
Counter-



*Counter-Proof, by Inoculation of Small Pox Matter, to the Thirteen inoculated on the 11th current. The first Five Subjects were inoculated on Thursday 14th current, by himself and Mr. WACHSEL, with variolous Matter from Eruptions of the 8th Day of a Patient present, with whom they shook Hands. Three Punctures were made in each Arm, by which the Matter was introduced with unusual Care. The other Five Patients were inoculated by Mr. WACHSEL, and with his Leave communicated to the Institution.*

1st. Miss L. about five years of age, had been vaccinated four years and four months ago, viz. February 5th, 1800, by Dr. Pearson, by two punctures, but only one took effect; producing a distinct vaccine vesicle, and well characterised scab; from which a very superficial scar remains. While in the cow pock, she was disordered for a few hours on the 5th day, apparently from teething.

On the 28th February, 1800, she was re-inoculated in one arm, in two places, with recent fluid vaccine matter; and in the other arm with dried but recent variolous matter. In two days the arm vaccinated, inflamed like a gnat bite, but went off in two or three days, and the arm variolated was not at all inflamed.

*3d Day*

*3d Day of present Inoculation.*—The punctured parts all appear red.

*5th Day.*—The red spots have much faded.

*8th Day.*—Scarcely perceive the punctured parts. Is in perfect health.

Miss SOPHIA L. three years and a half old, had been vaccinated about two years and three quarters ago. There was a deeper scar on each arm than on her sister's.

*3d Day.*—The punctured parts were scarce red.

*5th Day.*—On the left arm was one large brownish pimple, conical and large as the chicken pock at its heighth, with a distinct areola. The two other punctures in this arm have produced two small whitish pimples. On the right arm were three small red pimples like those of the 3d or 4th day of the cow pock.

*8th Day.*—Nothing but small scabs were seen in the inoculated parts.

These two children had been much exposed to the small pox since vaccination, and especially resided in a family where five persons had died nearly at the same time of the small pox.

The next three children, *viz.* THOMAS, WILLIAM, and JOSEPH ANDREWS, had been vaccinated at the Institution four years ago, and are recorded in the register tables.

*Thomas Andrews*, No. 98 of the Register, aged five years when inoculated for the cow pock, had been vaccinated in one place in each arm, which took effect, producing on each arm a red pimple on the fourth day, which grew to well characterised vesicles on the 8th. These became in the usual course fine large black scabs by the 15th day, leaving a scar in each arm. Fever supervened on the 9th day.

*5th Day, Monday, 18th June.*—Attended at the *Institution*. There appeared only three marks in each arm, in the parts inoculated, without inflammation.

*14th Day, Wednesday, 27th.*—The marks in the arms from the punctures were scarcely visible.

*William Andrews*, No. 99 of the Register, aged three years when vaccinated, had been inoculated for the cow pock in one place in each arm, which produced two red pimples on the 4th day, and two large distinct vesicles were formed on the 8th day. There was a little fever on the 9th day, and the vesicles became large vaccine scabs on the 15th day, leaving two deep scars.

*5th Day, Monday.*—Attended at the *Institution*. The three punctured parts in each arm were red, as if the infection had taken.

14th

*14th Day.*—The marks from the inoculated places with variolous matter were scarcely visible.

*Joseph Andrews*, No. 97, of the Register, aged one year and a half when vaccinated, had been vaccinated in one place in each arm, and two distinct vesicles were produced in the usual course, but the scabs had a fluid under them as late as the 15th day.

*5th Day, Monday.*—Attended at the *Institution*. Scarcely vestiges from the punctures remained.

*13th Day.*—The marks from the punctures were scarcely visible.

It is worthy of notice, that subsequently to the vaccination of these three children, the small pox broke out in their family, of which one child and a servant died; with both of whom our three vaccinated subjects had much intercourse.

M. A. NOTT, vaccinated by Mr. Wachsel, two years and three quarters ago, and had a scar on each arm; was variolated, by three punctures in each arm, by the bed-side of a small pox patient, on the 9th current.

On the 14th the report was, that the punctures for three days had produced little pimples, which then began to die away.



WILLIAM DIXEY, vaccinated four years ago by Mr. Wachsel; had two scars on one arm.—Was inoculated for the small pox, by the same gentleman as the above, on Wednesday, June 13th.

ELIZABETH DIXEY, vaccinated three years ago, by Mr. Wachsel; had two scars.—Was inoculated for the small pox as the preceding, on Wednesday, June 13th.

LAWRENCE DIXEY, vaccinated two years ago, by Mr. Wachsel; had two scars.—Was inoculated for the small pox on Wednesday, June 13th, as the preceding.

ANN DIXEY was vaccinated by Mr. Wachsel fourteen months ago, and had two scars.—Was variolated on the 13th, as the last related.

*11th Day, Saturday, June 23d.*—Mr. Wachsel reported that the arms of all the above five patients had exhibited a gnat-bite-like appearance on the parts inoculated, which went off on the 5th and 6th day; but there was fluid enough in them to have taken it for inoculation, and that no indisposition had been observed in any of them.



*On Friday, June 22d, Dr. PEARSON communicated the Four following Cases of Variolous Inoculation, as a Test of the Effect of Vaccination, in Addition to the Twenty-three already recorded.*

1st. SAMUEL WILLIAM YEWMAN, about four and a half years of age; had been vaccinated at this Institution, above four years ago, and is recorded in the Register, No. 76.—Had the usual vesicles and scabs of vaccination, without any illness attending. There was a distinct scar on each arm.

On Wednesday, the 20th June, the right and also the left arm were inoculated in three places with variolous matter, which had been taken from the subject two days before. The right arm was also inoculated in three places, with matter from Catherine and Elizabeth J. p. 14—17.

*6th Day, Monday.*—At the Institution. All the nine punctures had inflamed nearly alike on the second and third day, and have left nine distinct marks.—Been quite well; and no further effects were subsequently produced by the inoculation.

The 2d, 3d, and 4th Cases this day communicated were those of three children of Mr. Smith, Surgeon, Chelsea, viz. WILLIAM, EMMA, and CHARLES.—1st. *William*, had gone through the

cow pock, under Dr. Pearson's care, four years and a quarter ago.—2d. *Emma*, had been vaccinated two years and a quarter ago: and the third, *Charles*, about six months since, by their father. They had all scars from the former inoculation.

On Thursday morning, 21st June, Dr. Pearson inoculated, with unusual care, these three subjects, by three punctures in each arm, with variolous matter, quite fluid, which had been taken from a patient a few hours before.

*5th Day*.—One of the children, *Charles*, had on the right arm three pocks as large as usual on the seventh day of the cow pock, but more conical, more opaque, and more brown and uneven, surrounded by a fine pink areola of small and unequal extent. There was a serum-like fluid in these pocks, which Dr. P. took upon a lancet.

On the left arm were only two pocks similar to those just described. Was quite well, and had no pain on pressure in the arm-pit.

The other two children were from home, but Mr. Smith reported that the matter had taken effect in all the six punctured places in the same manner as above just described in the one seen by Dr. P.

*10th Day*.—*Charles Smith*.—On the right arm were three large brownish scabby pocks, with red bases, but very unlike small pox, and had not  
sup-

suppurated.—On the left arm were one larger reddish scabbed eruption, and one smaller, besides a small pimple. These eruptions were not unlike either vaccine or variolous ones, with the heads rubbed off. To-day this child was disordered, probably from teething, otherwise had not been ill.

*14th Day.*—Three scabbed eruptions were, on each arm, much alike; blackish at the top, and red at their bases.

*19th Day.*—Had two black scabs on each arm, not unlike the vaccine; a fifth was a large yellow one, and a sixth had fallen off, and left a scar.

*27th Day.*—Scabs all fallen off; have left three superficial scars on the right arm, and very evident marks on the left.

*38th Day.*—Three red marks appeared from the scabs fallen off from one arm; and two large similar marks on the other arm.

*Saturday, 10th Day.*—*Emma* had upon the right arm two brown scabbed eruptions, and a red pimple, with red bases. On the left arm were three distinct pocks, scabbed, without any areola or red bases.—Had not been at all unwell.

*14th Day.*—Had two large yellowish scabs on the right arm, ready to fall off, and one very small scab. On the left arm also were two large yellow scabs, and one small one.—Had not been ill.

Left

The left arm was re-inoculated in three places with fresh vaccine fluid matter, and three punctures were made with a clean lancet.—The right arm was re-inoculated with variolous matter, but not so recent as the vaccine, having been taken upon glass four days before.

*19th Day.*—The punctures with variolous matter in the *right arm* produced the morning after inoculation \* three gnat-bite-like appearances, to alarm Mrs. S. to whom the trial had not been mentioned; and continued to grow so as to have even a little fluid in them yesterday; but to-day they are dry, though red, and of the usual size of cow pocks on the 9th day, and pretty nearly resembling the three eruptions on the same arm, of the same age, from the former inoculation on the 23d June, now nineteen days ago; the scabs of which have fallen off, and left superficial scars.

On the left arm the three insertions of vaccine matter of this second trial five days ago, only produced three red marks, and the three punctures, with the clean lancet, produced barely redness for a few days.

\* The willingness of medical practitioners, as in this instance, to inoculate for the small pox after the cow pock in their own families, may be considered as an irresistible proof of their confidence in the security of vaccination against the variolous disorder, and of the harmlessness of introducing, under the skin, in such cases, variolous matter.

*27th Day.*



*27th Day.*—The scabs from the second varicellous inoculation still remained, and very superficial scars were left from the former variolous inoculation. A drawing was taken by Medland, on Friday, 13th July, the twenty-second day.

*38th Day.*—Six red marks appear from the scabs fallen from one arm, and all nearly alike; and two red marks on the other arm. No marks from the punctures with the clean lancet.

*Saturday, 10th Day.*—*William's* left arm exhibited three pocks in the scabbed state, of a blackish colour, of nearly the size of small pox, but not so ragged at the edges, nor so uniform and defined as vaccine ones. There was also a fourth eruption which was much smaller, and seemed to have been excited by the others, to which it was contiguous; it was a large whitish pimple. The areola, or rather erythema, had extended half way down this arm, but to-day had faded away, and the margin or extreme border only remained.

On the right arm were two large scabbing pocks, with much areola still remaining; was sick at stomach on Thursday, the eighth day; and had been feverish ever since, but the eruptions began to scab on Wednesday the seventh day. Had complained also of back-ache, and of pain of arm-pits, and was hot from fever for two days, but pretty well to-day.

*Wednesday, 14th Day.*—On the left arm were  
three



three black scabs, not so bright, nor smooth and round as vaccine ones, and smaller.—On the right arm were two black scabs, and a third had fallen off.

*Monday, 19th Day.*—On the left arm are three black scabs, not unlike the vaccine, being black, round, smooth and even.—Right arm as before.

*Wednesday, 28th Day* \*.—Right arm has still two small vaccine-like scabs.—Left arm has also still three scabs; one, the largest, is rather green, and the other two are blackish. They were ready to fall off, and, on removing them, left very superficial scars.

*Saturday, 38th Day.*—Appearances of red places from scabs fallen off, as in the other cases of this family.

*On Tuesday, June 26th, Dr. PEARSON, in addition to the foregoing twenty-seven Cases, communicated the four following Instances; for two of which the Institution owed its Obligations to the Zeal and Liberality of a valuable Governor, Mr. MARSHAL, Surgeon, in Hart Street, as well as for seven other subsequent ones.*

Miss H. of Chelsea, aged about four years and a half, had the cow pock four years and two months ago, *viz.* in May, 1800, under the direction of Dr. Pearson.

\* A drawing was executed by Medland, on Friday, 10th July, twenty-third day.

It

It may be worthy of relating that on the fifth day of vaccination eruptions of the rash kind, came on somewhat like the tooth rash, which went off in three or four days ; while the vaccine vesicles grew in the usual time to their ordinary size, leaving, after the scabs had fallen off, a scar on each arm.—There was no constitutional illness. It was remarked that if this eruption had come on about the ninth or tenth day, it would have been imputed to the vaccine affection.—This child had enjoyed good health up to this time ; but had been subject, from time to time, to a very itching kind of eruption of small pimples, which, as is frequent, was ascribed to the cow pock.

She was inoculated on Saturday morning, the 23d of June, by three punctures in the right arm, with variolous matter, taken two days before from the subject by Mr. Wachsel: and in the *left arm*, three punctures were made, into which was inserted cow pock matter quite fluid, taken the preceding day, and kept completely excluded from the air, between plates of glass, one of which had a little cavity for holding the matter.

The object was here to shew the comparative effects of vaccine and variolous matter, in a person who had undergone the cow pock.

*Monday, 3d Day.*—All the six punctured places had alike inflamed, and were red on the day after

after the insertion of vaccine and variolous matter.

*Saturday, 8th Day*.—On the right arm, variolated, were seen two brown eruptions large as small pox, but conical, hard, scabbing, red at their bases, and one large pimple. On the left arm, vaccinated, were three scabbing eruptions similar to those on the right arm, but smaller.—Was feverish to-day, as was supposed, from having caught cold.

*Thursday, 13th Day*.—On the left arm are only three very small scabbed pimples. On the right are three pretty large brown and yellow scabs, one with a red margin, and several little red pimples, probably from the matter of the eruption near them. Has still the itching complaint, and feverish as before; but not worse.

*Monday, 17th Day*.—On the right arm, variolated, were three brown rough scabs, not unlike small pox, one of which fell off, and seemed to leave a pit.—On the left arm, vaccinated, were three very small scabs, not larger than the smallest pins' heads.

*21st Day*.—On the right arm was one round scab, of a greenish colour, large as ordinary cow pock, and two other smaller blackish ones. On the left arm not even marks were to be seen.

ANN BARRY, of St. Martin's Church-yard, aged about five years, had been vaccinated near four  
years

years ago, by Mr. Marshall, in one arm only, which was much scarred, there having been a considerable phlegmonous inflammation.—On *Thursday*, June 21st, recent variolous matter was insinuated by three punctures in each arm in a transverse upper row, and also recent vaccine matter by three punctures in each arm, in a similar lower row, by Mr. Marshall with Dr. Pearson.

*Friday evening.*—In *thirty hours*, after insertion of matter, both arms had red pimples in all the six punctured places like the usual ones on the fifth day of variolous, or vaccine inoculation.

*3d Day, Saturday.* At Dr. Pearson's *Lecture-Room*.—The punctured parts exhibited large hard red pimples, and those from the vaccine could not be distinguished from those by variolous matter.

*Wednesday, 7th Day.*—On the *left arm* the upper variolous row of punctures has produced only marks. The lower vaccine row consists of a large inflamed pimple between two small ones not inflamed.

On the *right arm*. The upper variolous row of punctures are merely marks; the lower row consists of one phlegmonous pimple, as if containing pus, and one black ill-defined scab on one side.

*Saturday, 10th Day.* On the *left arm*, the  
middle



middle puncture of the vaccine row has produced a little angry looking boil, with hardness of the muscle under it, much pain, and some swelling. The two eruptions on each side are only small little scabbed pimples.

On the *right* arm no pain, but the vaccine row consists of a yellow scab and two smaller scabbed pimples without any pain or swelling, or soreness. Had been very restless from fever all the yesterday and night, but better to day.

In two days farther, the little boil being suppurated, it burst, and discharged pus, while the part sloughed off, leaving a hole like an issue hole, which healed in a week's time.

It was now recollected that the lancet point, in the struggle of the child while inoculating, was broken off, and probably remained in the part which occasioned this painful affection.

CHARLOTTE BARRY had been vaccinated when twenty months old, at the Institution, and recorded under No. 1879. Had three distinct vaccine vesicles on the eighth day, from three insertions; a fever on the tenth; and last of all three characteristic scabs.

She was inoculated as the preceding subject, with the same parcels of matter.

*Friday evening.*—*Thirty hours* after insertion of matter, all the punctured parts were covered  
with



with red pimples, like those of fifth day after vaccine or variolous inoculation.

*Saturday, 3d Day.*—At Dr. Pearson's *Lecture Room.*—Much the same appearances were noticed as described, on her sister's arm.

*Wednesday, 7th Day.*—On the upper row of punctures on the left arm, there is a large, but very pale red pimple, and two small ones. No heat nor inflammation.

On the two lower rows are red marks only. On the right arm the upper row of punctures has one very distinct vesicle, but with a pale red basis, and scarce a mark on each side from the two other punctures.

*8th Day.*—At the *Institution.* Only marks just perceivable on the punctured parts, with two or three very small scabs.

*11th Day.*—Quite well. Only marks just perceivable in the inoculated places.

LOUISA BUTTERFIELD, No. 229, on the Register, aged near six years. Had been vaccinated at the Institution about four years ago. On the eighth day after vaccination; having rubbed off the vesicles a day or two before; she was vaccinated a second timewithout effect: but vesicles again formed duly from the first inoculation, and afforded the usual scabs. Was feverish from the fifth to the eighth day. A scar remains from the cow-pock.

Was inoculated with the same parcels of various matter and vaccine matter, and by the same number of punctures as the preceding, under Dr. Pearson's inspection, on Thursday evening, June 21st.

*Tuesday, 6th Day.*—The appearances on the right arm were large irregularly figured pimples in the punctured parts. On the left were the same appearances, but less marked.

*Thursday, 8th Day.*—On the right arm three upper punctures have only produced red marks. —The lower row of punctures has two large brown scabs, and a third as small as a pin's head, and black. On the left arm, the upper row of punctures, has only three small red marks, and the lower only three small yellow scabs.

*Tuesday, 20th Day.*—On both arms, on the lower row of punctures, are two scabs, not very unlike vaccine; though only of a horny brown colour; but merely three, just visible, marks remain on the upper row of punctures.

*On Saturday, June 23, the following five further Patients, in Addition to the Thirty-one preceeding, were inoculated for the Small Pox, at the Small Pox Hospital, as a Test of the prophylactic Efficacy of the Cow Pock. On this Occasion there attended Drs. PEARSON and NIHELL—Mr. KEATE—Messrs.*

*Messrs. GUNNING, CARPUE, and DORATT*  
*—Mr. DE BRUYN, of the Medical Establish-*  
*ment—and Mr. WACHSEL, a Governor.*

MARY BURRELL, No. 24, of the Register, was inoculated four years and about four months ago; at this Institution. She was then seven months old, and at the breast; was cutting teeth, with fever, during the whole time of the vaccine process. Had two fine vesicles on the eighth day, and two scabs on the eleventh; one surrounded by a red areola. A rash came out on the ninth day and disappeared on the tenth. A scar is left on one arm.—Was variolated at the bed-side of the patient, in the Small Pox Hospital, in three places in each arm; and was also vaccinated in one arm in three places, with fluid matter from a subject present.

*4th Day.*—Heard from the mother that the arms were much inflamed.

*6th Day.*—At the *Institution*. One arm has three large red pimples from the vaccine punctures, and three scabby kind of vesicles, with red bases, from the variolous punctures, but have not the characteristics of either distinct cow pock, or of small pox. On the other arm are three large red eruptions barely with areola.

*21st Day.*—At the *Institution*. Two scabs only remain on the left, and three upon the right



arm, probably all from the variolous punctures. They are not very unlike vaccine scabs, but are of a greenish black colour, and rough. They are likely to leave pits. Has been quite well.

HANNAH LLOYD.—No. 51, on the Register of our Institution, was vaccinated in one arm, four years and three months ago, being then six years of age. The infection took in the usual time, producing a distinct vesicle, with a red margin, or areola, and a scab. There was no observable constitutional disorder, but a few eruptions broke out on the arms.—The scar of the cow pock was scarcely perceivable.—Was variolated and vaccinated as the preceeding patient.

ANNE LLOYD.—No. 50, of our Register, underwent vaccination at the same time with her sister. She was then eight years of age. The infection took effect by one puncture in the usual time, and terminated in the distinct vaccine scab, leaving a scar.—There was no observable constitutional disorder.—Was inoculated and vaccinated as the preceeding patient.

*Wednesday, 5th Day.*—Dr. Pearson saw the arms of this and the preceeding patient, which exhibited scarcely red marks where inoculated, equally from the vaccine as variolous matter, but had been redder.—After this time even the red marks in both patients gradually disappeared.

GEORGE

GEORGE BURRELL, No. 652, of our Register, was vaccinated two years and a quarter ago, then eight months of age.—Had a distinct vaccine vesicle on each arm, terminating in a well-formed scab, leaving a cicatrix in each.—Was ill from the eighth to the eleventh day, but probably from a common cold.—Was variolated and vaccinated as the preceeding.

*Thursday, 6th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On one arm is a large pimple, neither of the figure of cow pock, nor small pox, but containing lymph; is rather conical, has a red base, and not unlike some irregular vaccine pocks, of the seventh or eighth day, also one smaller eruption:—both from the variolous matter.—The third puncture with variolous matter produced no effect.—On the other arm only three red pimples, the size of pins' heads, and exactly the same as red pimples from vaccine punctures.

*Monday, 17th Day.*—On the right arm are one black scab, like vaccine, upper row, and two marks below. On the left arm are one irregular figured vaccine scab, of the upper row, and little marks near them.

*Saturday, 29th Day.*—One superficial scar are produced on the *right* arm by the variolous matter, and three, just visible, marks of punctures. On the *left* arm there remain only, just visible, marks of the punctures.



MARY CHRISTIE, No. 115, in the Institution Register, was inoculated when thirteen months of age, four years ago, and had two distinct vaccine vesicles, attended by fever, on the tenth and eleventh days, which became equally well characterised scabs. Had one scar from the cow pock.

Was inoculated for the small pox and cow-pock as the preceeding.

*Tuesday 26th, 4th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the left arm the three punctures with variolous matter, have excited eruptions, with red bases, similar to those of the seventh or eighth day after variolous inoculation. One of the three punctures with vaccine matter, has produced a vaccine-like eruption, with a red basis around it. The other two punctures with vaccine matter have failed.

The three punctures on the left arm with variolous matter, have only produced three marks.

*Tuesday, 11th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On one arm a scab from the vaccine, and one similar one from the variolous puncture remaining, which is very small, and like the vaccine scab. —On the other arm no mark even remains.

Again inoculated by three punctures each arm with vaccine matter from a subject then present.

*13th Day.*—At the *Institution*. No effect but three marks from vaccine inoculation two days before.

*Saturday,*

*Saturday, 15th Day.*—Not a trace of last vaccine inoculation five days ago.—On the right arm one little scab remains black, and one little pimple, from the former inoculation.

*Friday, June 29th, Mr. MARSHALL, of Hart Street, Bloomsbury, a Governor of this Institution, with Dr. PEARSON, inoculated the Six following Patients, in Addition to the Thirty-six foregoing ones.*

MARY ANN THWAITES, three years and a half old, had been vaccinated three years and a quarter ago, by Mr. Marshall. There was left in one arm a scar from the one vesicle produced during vaccination. No illness except a little heaviness one day, nor pain in the arm-pits, during the vaccine course.—Three places in each arm were inoculated with recent variolous matter, and three with recent vaccine.

*3d Day, Sunday.*—The three variolated parts of one arm, and two of the other, produced red pimples, scarce different from three pimples of each arm by vaccination.

*5th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the left arm the three punctures with vaccine matter, produced one small yellowish scab, and two red pimples. The punctures on this, and the other arm with variolous matter, produced very small

scabs and small red pimples.—See a drawing by Lees.

*11th Day.*—Was visited at home. On the left arm was one scab, large as small pox, with top rubbed off, and two small scabs, size of pins' heads, from vaccine matter; and on the same arm were two red spots, with little skin separating from them, produced by variolous matter. On the right arm were three little scabs, the size of pins' heads, from variolous matter; and only just visible marks from the vaccine punctures.

*23d Day.*—On the right arm were left three marks from the vaccine matter, two, just visible, punctures from the variolous; and one large scab still remained from a variolous pimple, which had been scratched.—On the left arm was one superficial scar and two marks from the vaccine punctures, and one superficial scar and two marks from the variolous punctures.

Three children of the name of DOVER at No. 32, Queen Street, Bloomsbury Square, were the next of the five above alluded to, *viz.*

*Charles Dover*, aged six years, had been vaccinated four years ago, as attested by Mr. Marshall. There was a scar from one vesicle. Was not at all disordered during the cow pock.—Variolous matter was inserted by three punctures in each arm.

*4th Day, Monday.*—The arms of this patient, like the two other *Dovers*, having three pimples on each arm, only some more red and large than others.

*7th Day.*—At the *Institution*. Only six red marks from the punctures, which totally disappeared in a week's time.

*Philip Dover*, aged four years and three months.—While in the vaccina, four years ago, had one vesicle, leaving a scar; but had no constitutional disorder.—Was variolated as the preceding subject.

*7th Day.*—At the *Institution*. Six red marks from the six punctures.

*11th Day.*—Had only six red marks, which went off in a week's time.

*John Dover*, two years of age, underwent the vaccine affection by inoculation, in two places in one arm. There was excessive inflammation extending from the shoulder to the wrist, but was not apparently ill, except from the sore arm.—Was variolated as the two preceding subjects.

*7th Day.*—Six, just visible, marks from the six punctures.

*11th Day.*—Was seen at home.—Had only six red spots, just visible, from the six punctures.

J. MAR-



J. MARSHALL, about two years of age, had been inoculated for the cow pock at the *Institution* about six months ago, and is registered, No. 1831.—Had one vesicle only, without any observable illness, except a little fretfulness one night.—On Friday, 29th of June, he was inoculated in three places in each arm with variolous ; and also in three places with vaccine matter.

*Sunday, 3d Day.*—All the punctured parts much alike, with red bases ; only differed from *Dovers'* in most of them, having white heads ; but the punctured parts with vaccine matter, were smaller than those with the variolous matter.

*Wednesday, 6th Day.*—Three upper variolous punctures were very red, and indeed somewhat vesicular, of conical figures, with red bases : on each arm alike.—Lower vaccine punctures had only red marks.

*7th Day.*—At the *Institution*. Three upper punctures on each arm were very red, with little scabs upon the top of the eruption. Under row had only marks.

*11th Day.*—Seen at *home*. The upper row of punctures had large scabs as of small pox new rubbed off ; the lower had only marks on each arm.

*23d Day.*—The upper row of scabs fallen off, and left marks, but scarcely scars ; alike on each arm, and the lower row of punctures on each arm has not left traces of them.

ELIZABETH



ELIZABETH HAM, aged five years, underwent the vaccina, four years and nine months ago, which left a sear.—Was now inoculated with variolous matter in three places in each arm.

*Wednesday, 6th Day.*—Seen at home. The six punctures had been all red, but to-day only marks remain.

*Saturday, 16th Day.*—Nothing to be seen on the arms from the inoculation.

*The Two following were added to the preceding Forty-two, by Dr. PEARSON, from the List of his private Patients, June 29th, Friday Evening.*

Miss W. aged six years, had been inoculated under Dr. Pearson's direction in May, 1799, of course five years ago, by inserting matter on a thread, (for want of fresh matter), into one arm; which produced an irregular figured vaccine vesicle, and hence a broad deep scar; yet was not perceivably disordered while undergoing vaccination. Had been inoculated for the small pox, in one arm, and for the cow pock in the other, fourteen days after vaccination, which produced a pimple, and thereby a very small areola.—She was now inoculated by three upper punctures in each arm for the small pox; and also by three punctures in each arm, in a lower row, for the cow pock.

pock. Both kinds of matter were dry on glass, but recent, and were of course moistened.

*4th Day, Monday, July 2d.*—On the right arm the three upper punctures with variolous matter only produced pretty large red marks; but the three lower punctures produced one pretty large red pimple, with a white head, and two smaller pimples.—The three lower punctures with vaccine matter excited red pimples.

*7th Day.*—At the *Institution*. Upper row of three punctures with variolous matter produced three red pimples, with white heads; and lower row of vaccine only excited three red marks; on each arm the same.

*18th Day, Monday, July 16th.*—Little brown scabs fallen off from upper row of variolous pimples, leaving three superficial scars, but only just traces of lower row of the pimples of each arm.

Master G. W. about five years of age, had gone through the cow pock, about three years and a half ago, and was now inoculated with variolous and vaccine matter, as the preceding.

*7th Day.*—At the *Institution*. Left arm, upper row of punctures produced one small black scab, and two red marks. Lower row had only three marks. On right arm only six marks.

*18th Day.*—Little scabs all fallen off, leaving, scarce visible, vestiges of the six pimples on each arm.

arm. Imputes the trifling scabs chiefly to scratching.

*The Two following had been inoculated at the Institution Two Years ago.*

*Saturday, 30th June.*—ELIZABETH JAMES, registered in our tables, No. 917, aged eight years, had not been at all ill during vaccination; but there was a vesicle, with a fine large areola round the arm which left a scar.—Each arm was inoculated in three places with variolous matter.

*Sunday.*—In *twenty-four hours* from inoculation.—Six red pimples appeared, exactly like gnat bites. One arm was also on this day inoculated with vaccine matter in three places.

*3d Day of former, and 2d of latter Inoculation, Monday, three p. m.*—Red pimples from yesterday's inoculation are as large as those of the day preceding.

*Tuesday, 4th Day of variolous, and 3d of vaccine inoculation.*—Six red pimples appeared in one arm, and three in the other, of a pale red, as if declining, no difference between the vaccine and the variolous; but one or two had white heads, as if containing lymph, of the size of the smallest pins' heads.

*Thursday, the 6th Day of variolous, and 5th of vaccine inoculation.*—On left arm three red marks,

marks, from punctures with variolous matter. On the right arm were only one large pimple from variolous matter, and five red marks, both from variolous and vaccine matter.

*Wednesday, July 25th, the 26th day from variolous, and 25th from vaccine inoculation.*—On the right arm are vestiges of three pimples, from vaccine inoculation, and one of variolous. On the left arm one vestige only of a pimple, scabbed off, from a variolous puncture. No illness nor eruption.

SARAH JAMES, two years and a half old, vaccinated as the above at the Institution, two years ago, and registered under No. 916. Had a fine vaccine pock, but no areola ; scarce left one scar.

*Saturday, 30th June.*—Was inoculated with variolous matter, as the above.

*Sunday.*—*Twenty-four hours* after inoculation were six red pimples, like the above, only less red and smaller.—Was inoculated with vaccine matter in three places, in one arm.

*Tuesday, 4th Day of variolous, and 3d of vaccine inoculation.*—Three upper pimples from variolous inoculation appear with little white heads, not unlike very small chicken pox. The lower row from vaccine inoculation are only red spots. The other arm with only three variolous  
punctured



punctures, has three pimples with white heads and red bases.

*Thursday.*—At the *Institution*. *6th Day of variolous, and 5th of vaccine inoculation.*—Left arm, upper row of variolous punctures, like 5th day after either variolous or vaccine inoculation, and lower like 3d day.—Right arm exhibits three red marks.

*Wednesday, 26th from variolous, and 25th from vaccine inoculation.*—Marks from three scabs, fallen off, on each arm, of variolous punctures, but none from the vaccine ones. No eruptions nor illness.

*Saturday, June 30th, Dr. PEARSON, with Mr. SMITH, Surgeon, Chelsea, inoculated the Three following Children, who had been vaccinated at the Institution.*

L. WHITE, Robinson's Lane, now seven years of age, had been inoculated for the cow pock about four years and a half ago, at the Institution, and is registered under No. 13. During the vaccine affection, had one fine vesicle, with a red areola on the eighth day. Was disordered on the ninth day with heat, head-ache, and pain of the arm-pits of the arm inoculated.—A scar remained on one arm. Quite well ever since that process; but was previously in bad health, having had scab-

by



eruptions from time to time, which have left small pits, like those of the chicken pox, or even small pox, over the face, and several parts of the body. The eyes were inflamed and sore for a considerable time, so as to lose the sight of it; but got well after the cow pock.—Each arm was this day variolated by three punctures, being the lower row; and vaccinated also by three punctures, being the upper row, with matter taken from the subjects a few hours previously.

*Wednesday, 5th Day.*—On one arm, upper and lower row of pimples much alike, and scabbing: some were pimples like fifth or sixth day of vaccine or variolous inoculation.—On the other arm, three upper vesicular pimples, were like sixth or seventh day vaccine or variolous ones.

*Monday, 10th Day.*—On the right arm only marks left from the six punctured parts of each arm.

*Thomas White*, aged two years and a half, had undergone the process of vaccination about six months before, and is registered at the Institution, under No. 1767; had one vesicle which did not rise in the usual way for eight days; but at last it became of the common size, and distinct; by which a scar was left on the arm, but was not perceived to have been at all ill.—Was inoculated for the small pox and the cow pock in the same manner as the preceding.

*Wednesday,*

*Wednesday, 5th Day.*—Each arm, on three upper punctures with vaccine matter, only exhibited marks; and three lower, produced two eruptions, like gnat bites, and one red mark.

*Monday, 10th Day.*—On the right arm; from variolous inoculation, is one scab exactly like the vaccine; being black, smooth, spherical, and defined; and there is a red eruption, with head fallen off. From the vaccine inoculation only three red marks.—On the left arm were three, just visible, marks remaining from the variolous, and none from the vaccine inoculation.

JAMES WHITE, seven months old, had been vaccinated at the Institution at the same time as *Thomas White*, and is registered, No. 1768. Had one distinct vesicle only, attended with a large red areola. A scar was produced by it. Was not at all ill during vaccination. Was inoculated, as the two preceding, for the small pox, and the cow pock.

*Wednes. 5th Day.*—On right arm, three punctured parts scabbing, and not unlike heads of cow pock rubbed off. On left arm, three upper punctures exhibit small red pimples; and lower two smaller ones, and one as large as cow pock of the 6th day.

*Monday, 10th Day.*—Has on the right arm, from the variolous inoculation, two large red pim-  

E
ples,

ples, and one with a brown scab, neither like vaccine nor variolous. Only marks from the vaccine inoculation. On the left arm is one scab, from the variolous inoculation; but only marks from the vaccine inoculation.

JOHN BAILLIE, aged eleven months when inoculated at the Insitution, nearly four years and a half ago, and is registered at No. 40. Had one vaccine vesicle, with areola, on the 12th day, from the one place into which matter was inserted. Was very feverish from teething, and during vaccination cut several teeth. No axillary affection, nor distinguishable illness from the cow pock, but a rash like tooth-rash broke out on the 9th day; and on the arm inoculated eruptions, broke out on the 12th day.—Was inoculated for the small pox, by three punctures in each arm, by Mr. Smith, on Tuesday, the 20th.

*On Saturday, 30th, the 5th Day.*—Scarcely a red mark from the punctures.

*On Wednesday, 9th Day.*—Marks are just visible.

*Sunday Morning, July 1.*—JANE PERRIDGE, aged three years and a half, vaccinated three years and four months ago, at the Small Pox Hospital. Scarcely ill, but had a distinct pock, with swelling of the arm and redness of the skin, leaving  
ing

ing a very marked scar. Inoculated three places in one row in each arm with variolous, and three in another row in each arm with vaccine matter, under Dr. Pearson's direction.

*Thursday, 5th Day.*—At the the *Institution*. On the right arm, were the three pimples, each row, so like that they could not be distinguished. They had red bases, with vesicular scabs.—On the left arm the pimples were the same as the right, only smaller and scabbing.

*Saturday, 14th Day.*—Nothing remains from the punctures, except a scab from one of the pimples, by scratching; and had neither been disordered, nor had had any eruptions.

*Friday, July 6.*—REBECCA HUTCHINSON had been vaccinated by Dr. Pearson, April 1799, then aged six months. No ailment during the process, but there was left a scar on each arm.—June, 29th, was inoculated in two places with variolous matter; in two places with vaccine; and in two places with serum in each arm. The variolous matter used was quite fluid, and had been taken from a patient an hour before. The vaccine matter was dry, had been taken three days before. The serum was taken the day before, and was inserted in a fluid state. Had gone through the chicken pox two years ago.



*Sunday, 3d Day.*—The six punctures on each arm have produced six red irregularly figured pimples, like gnat-bites, which appeared yesterday, and are less red to-day: those of the left arm are less red than those of the right, but otherwise no difference could be perceived. Been restless and feverish since yesterday, with a little rash.

*Sunday, 10th Day.*—On the right arm were two brown ill-formed scabs, with red bases on the parts inoculated with variolous matter, and one similar scab on the part inoculated with vaccine matter.. The other pimples are gone off.

*Wednesday, July 25th, 20th Day.*—Was informed that the scabs had fallen off, leaving no marks, and had been quite well.

GEORGE HUTCHINSON, vaccinated two years ago, at the Institution, leaving a scar on each arm. Now just recovering from chicken pox. Was inoculated as the above subject.

*Sunday, 3d Day.*—Two gnat-bite-like appearances were excited by the punctures with variolous matter on each arm, like those on the arm of the former patient; and on the right arm, one similar gnat-bite-like pimple from one of the punctures with vaccine matter; but the other punctures with serum (of blood,) and with vaccine matter, produced no visible effect.

*Wednesday,*



*Wednesday, 6th Day.*—On each arm, from variolous matter, were two yellow scabs, as large as a pea, with red bases, but no red areola. One just visible mark remains from one puncture, with vaccine matter.

*Sunday, 10th Day.*—Had on each arm two blackish scabs with red bases in the parts inoculated with the variolous matter; but only just visible marks on the parts in which vaccine matter and serum were inserted.—Has been feverish and fretful all the week, from teething, as was believed.

*Wednesday, July 25th, 20th Day.*—In one arm, from a puncture with variolous matter, is a greenish scab, and on the other one of upper row of punctures has left one brown scab, and one mark from a scab fallen off. No vestiges of other punctures.

*Monday, July 9th, at Dr. PEARSON'S Lecture Room, the following were inoculated in the Presence of the Pupils.*

1. ELIZABETH CHILTON, aged eight years, who had been inoculated for the cow pock, at this Institution, three years and a half ago, and is recorded, No. 361; from which she has a scar on each arm, after the usual course of the vaccine pock, and without any constitutional illness. Was inoculated with variolous matter, by three punctures in each arm (upper row), with matter still

for the most part fluid, and only taken the day before from the subject. In a second row was inoculated, by three punctures, with vaccine matter, which was also fresh, being three days from the subject, but being dry, was just moistened. And in a third similar row of punctures was inserted serum of blood.

*Thursday, 4th Day.*—At the *Institution*.—All the nine punctures have taken effect in both arms, each row of one arm nearly corresponding to its parallel in the other, *viz.* the uppermost row of each having the largest pimples, being the size of millet seeds, with little scabs already on their apices; the second row are similar, but smaller pimples; the third row only just visible marks.—All the punctured parts had been redder.

*Thursday, 11th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the right arm, the upper row of punctures exhibits one irregularly figured scab, as large as the usual variolous scab, and two marks. The second row has one similar scab, and two marks. The lower, or third row; has only three marks. On the left arm, the upper row of punctures has two scabs, like those just mentioned, and one mark. The lower row of punctures manifests only six marks.

*Thursday, 18th Day.*—At the *Institution*. Some of the former scabs remaining, but others have fallen off, without leaving pits. One small brown

brown scab, from puncture with serum, on the right arm.

JAMES CHILTON, four years of age, had been vaccinated at the same time as the preceding subject, and registered, No. 360. A scar was produced in each arm, after the usual course of vaccine pocks, and febrile symptoms on the 10th and 11th day.—Was inoculated with variolous, with vaccine matter, and with serum, as the former patient; and in the same manner, from the same parcels of matter.

*Thursday, 4th Day.*—At the *Institution*. The uppermost row of punctures on both arms have produced irregularly figured pimples, with very small scabs, somewhat like the usual vaccine pimple, on the 2d or 4th day after inoculation; and the second, or middle row, are similar, but small pimples. The third, or lower row of punctures, had three marks, but two have disappeared, and one mark has remained. The right arm was more affected by the punctures than the left.

*Thursday, 11th Day.*—At the *Institution*. The two upper rows of punctures exhibit, on both arms, barely scabs over the little pimples, not larger than millet seeds; and the lowest row of punctures are no longer visible. Been quite well.

*Thursday, 18th Day.*—At the *Institution*.

Vestiges of the upper row of punctures of each arm; but no traces of the lower ones.

*The Five following Subjects were vaccinated about Three Years and a Half ago, at the Institution. They were inoculated in the same Manner as the Two immediately preceeding, in Dr. PEARSON'S Lecture-Room in the Presence of his Pupils, on Wednesday, July 11th, at Nine in the Morning.*

GEORGE WAITE, aged six years, recorded under No. 335, to have been vaccinated from matter of a fifteenth day\* vesicle, *i. e.* on the 15th day after inoculation, and had the usual characteristic pock and febrile affection on the ninth, tenth, and eleventh days. A scar was left on the arm affected.

*Thursday, thirty hours after inoculation. — At the Institution.* The inserted matter has taken

\* These five, and another subject, were inoculated with matter from a vesicle on the fifteenth day. Two became infected, and four failed; but on a second inoculation of these four with eleventh day matter, three were infected, and the remaining one again failed; but this took the infection on a third inoculation. This is agreeable to our statement of the effects of matter of pocks above eleven days of age, being less efficacious than earlier matter, but otherwise not different in the effects. See *The Report on the Cow Pock Inoculation at this Institution*, 8vo, 1803.



in the two upper rows of punctured parts, so as to have produced six red pimples. The second row in each arm, from vaccine punctures, were somewhat vesicular, and even attended by a pale red areola on the right arm; but the other pimples were not at all vesicular; and the lowest row from serum was the smallest pimples, being only the size of very little mustard seeds. All the punctured parts were said to become red an hour after inoculation.

*Thursday, 9th Day.* On the right arm three punctures of the upper row had produced scabs, two of which had fallen off: and the two lower rows of punctures only produced marks without scabs:—but on the left were three rows of marks from the punctures; the lowest, least of all red.

*Thursday, 16th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the upper row of punctures are two scabs, and one mark from a scab fallen off. On the two other rows of punctures are only vestiges of them remaining on the right arm:—but on the left are two marks from scabs fallen off from the upper and second row; and just traces remaining on all the other punctured places.

Mrs. WAITE, the mother of these children, aged thirty four year.—Her case is recorded under No. 340. She had a characterised poek, with red areola, attended by fever on the ninth day, and axillary

axillary affection. There was a scar on one arm from the vaccine pock.

*Thursday.*—At the *Institution*. *Twenty-eight hours* after inoculation. The nine punctured parts were much alike, and those were only pale red little pimples, having a bit of cuticle adhering to their tops. Were redder yesterday than to-day. Itched much yesterday alike on each arm, but no itching to-day.

*Thursday, 9th Day.*—At the *Institution*. There remained six marks on each arm from the vaccine and variolous matter inserted, but no traces of the parts inoculated with serum. Had remained quite well.

*Thursday, 16th Day.*—At the *Institution*. There were three evident marks of punctures on the uppermost row, and three less evident on the second row, but no traces of the third row on the right arm;—but on the left the traces of punctures were all alike.

EDWARD WAITE, four years old, No. 338, on the Register sheet. Had a distinct vaccine pock, in the usual time after inoculation, attended by a red areola.—There was no constitutional illness, but a sore arm for a little while. A scar was in the left arm from the vaccination\*.

*Thursday.*

\* It is a curious fact, that in persons who have gone through the cow pock or small pox, the vaccine or variolous matter should

*Thursday.*—At the *Institution*. *Twenty-eight hours* after inoculation. All the punctured parts

should, on inoculation, in twenty-four hours, or indeed, frequently in six hours, excite inflammation like gnat-bites in the punctured parts; whereas, when the cow pock or small pox are to take place, no inflammation is commonly seen at all for two or three days, and even then the punctured parts have a quite different appearance. Further: it now and then happens in those who have not been already variolated or vaccinated, that the variolous or vaccine matter excites the gnat-bite-like appearance within twenty-four hours after inoculation; in which cases the small pox or cow pock do not usually take place. We have on our Register, instances of one inoculated arm in a day or two affording this gnat-bite-like appearance in the punctured part on which no pock succeeded, while on the other arm inoculated with the same matter at the usual time, a pimple came on, and became a distinct vaccine or variolous pock. This shews that a new state of excitability is induced by vaccination or variolation; and, sometimes, such a state of excitability exists temporarily.

It is worthy of remark that the excitability of the skin seems to be unaltered by repeated inoculation of vaccine or variolous matter, after once undergoing the cow pock or small pox; at least this appeared in the instances of Emma Smith, p. 26, and Christie, p. 38.

Hence we can easily conceive how some persons may have had the cow pock only locally; but with all the usual characters and progress, and affording matter which propagates the genuine cow pock, yet the person so affected, and affording such matter, shall himself afterwards take the small pox: of which an instance occurred at this institution, in a person named Songer Lemon; but these occurrences, happily, are now great rarities, and by the vigilant observation of well-instructed inoculators will be still greater ones.

were

were quite red yesterday evening, more so than to-day; they being now quite pale, as if declining already. The upper row of punctures exhibited very small, but somewhat vesicular pimples, like millet seeds: but on the two lower are only three red spots. Complained of the arms being very sore yesterday evening, but not to-day.

*Thursday, 9th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the right arm the two upper rows were much alike in the six puncture, and not unlike vaccine vesicles, with heads rubbed off: but no traces of the lowest row of punctures. On the left arm were three rows of just visible marks from the punctures. Had been quite well.

*Thursday, 16th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the right arm three marks from three scabs fallen off from the upper row, and marks of punctures only on the other inoculated places. On the left arm the two upper rows of inoculated places have marks upon them from scabs fallen off, except one large scab of uppermost row, extending to the lower one. Marks of punctures only on the other inoculated places.

JOHN WAITE, ten years of age, No. 336, in the Register.

Had been vaccinated with fifteenth day matter, which produced the usual cow pock; attended by a fever from cold on the fourth to the eighth day, when



when a little rash broke out of a day or two's duration. There was one cicatrix on the left arm from vaccination.

*Thursday.*—At the *Institution*. *Twenty-eight hours* after inoculation. All the punctured parts were red at their bases, with little whitish scabs on their apices. The lowest row were the smallest, and least red; and the uppermost the most red, and largest; being the size of millet seeds.

*Thursday, 9th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the right arm on the uppermost row were three pimples, the size of millet seeds, with scabs upon them. On the second row were two similar scabbed pimples, and one larger scab; but the three punctures with serum, were scarce visible. On the left arm the two upper rows of punctures manifested red pimples, the size of smallest pins' heads; and the third row left no traces. Had remained quite well.

*Thursday, 16th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the right arm the upper row has two marks from scabs fallen off; and the second row has one such mark.—Only vestiges of other punctures.

JOSEPH WAITE, eight years of age.—Is registered at No. 337, vaccinated with eleventh day matter, by which a distinct vaccine pock was excited in each arm; there was no constitutional disorder

disorder, but had a slight rash on the arms and legs on the eleventh day, which went off in a few days. One scar only was seen in the left arm from the vaccine inoculation.

*Thursday.*—At the *Institution*.—*Twenty-eight hours* after inoculation. Has been feverish these two or three days. All the punctured parts exhibit pale red pimples, but seemingly going off. Were redder yesterday—Upper row the largest; and lowest row has only just red marks.

*Thursday, 9th Day.*—At the *Institution*. On the right arm the upper row of punctures exhibits red spots, scarcely different from a rash out these seven days. The second row has two similar pimples, and one scab of a larger pimple. On the left arm the two upper rows of punctures had produced six red marks; but no traces remained of the insertions of serum on either arm.

*Thursday, 16th Day.*—At the *Institution*. Excepting one irregularly figured scab on the second row of punctures of the right arm, there only remain marks of the punctures on all the eighteen inoculated places.

JAMES LANGFORD, the 61st subject of trial.—Aged two years; registered, No. 2083. Had in vaccination one regular vesicle on the left arm; which, on the fourteenth day, became the usual black scab.

*On*

*On the fourteenth day* after inoculation, for the vaccina, was at the Institution, inoculated on the left arm in three places, in a transverse row, with variolous matter ; and in another similar row with vaccine matter of the tenth day, from the patient present, No. 2085. Also on the right arm was inoculated in three places in a transverse row, with serum of blood : and in a similar lower row three punctures were made with a clean lancet.

*15th Day.*—*In twenty-eight hours* after the second inoculation of the day preceeding, the two rows of punctures on the left arm exhibit six just red marks much alike.—The rows of punctures on the right arm with serum, are red, like the appearances frequently on the fifth day after either vaccine or variolous inoculation. The punctures on this arm with a clean lancet were not visible.

*18th Day* of the first, and *5th Day* of second inoculation.—On the right arm three pimples from serum, like fifth day of variolous inoculation ; but no traces from the punctures with a clean lancet.

On the left arm only six traces of punctures ; and the vaccine pock scab is on this arm near these punctures.

*7th Day* of second inoculation.—At the *Institution*. On the right arm are seen the vestiges of the six punctures. On the left arm are just traces  
of

of the six punctures. Re-inoculated in the right arm in three places with serum in an upper row, and three places on lower row with variolous matter; but it was much diluted, and was a fortnight old.

*5th Day* of third inoculation. Arm inoculated five days, ago only exhibits just visible marks from the six punctures.

---

The preceeding trials were intended primarily to afford additional evidence of the efficacy of the cow pock in destroying the susceptibility of the small pox, for the consideration of those who have informed the public of contrary results. If these experiments do not produce conviction, and enable the authors of the contravening statements to perceive that the grounds of their error consist either in their subjects not having in reality undergone the cow pock, or in their having had some eruptive complaint, mistaken for the small pox; we at least, justly demand that our example be followed of re-instituting the trials on an equal number of persons, who can be proved by authentic and fully adequate evidence, to have gone thro' the vaccina.—Also, that in case of eruptions attending, supposed to be the small pox, such supervening eruptive disorders be shewn from full and authentic



authentic evidence to have been the small pox. Without the imputation of inaccuracy, inattention, or blameable ignorance in those who declared that the cow pock does not produce incapability of taking the small pox, at least that it does so only for a limited time; we feel ourselves justifiable in believing that they have deceived themselves, and of course we cannot admit the cases as evidence of the small pox at any period whatever, subsequent to the cow pock.

We now proceed to offer a few remarks on the two classes of adverse evidence, for the sake of which, the experiments related in this paper were instituted, *viz.* the cases asserted of the small pox excited by inoculation recently after the cow pock, and of the small pox so excited, more remotely, *viz.* three or four years after the cow pock.

1. The experiments above related in this paper, shew, that above fifty persons who had been vaccinated three to five years ago, and ten who had been vaccinated at a later period were incapable of taking the small pox by inoculation in circumstances chosen as most favourable for infection. For many of the subjects were exposed to the effluvia from small pox patients; they were all inoculated in three times the usual number of places; they were all inoculated with efficacious and recent matter; and with many of them un-

sual pains were bestowed to introduce the matter quite fluid immediately from the variolous patient. In these it seems fair to calculate that not more than one, or at most, two, of these sixty persons would have escaped the small pox, if they had not already gone through that disease, or its vicarious affection, the cow pock.

2. These experiments strikingly manifest that the same person is equally incapable of taking the cow pock a second time, as of the small pox, as hath been proved five years ago \*, and been subsequently confirmed ; and it has been elsewhere shewn by many trials that a person cannot take the cow pock subsequently to the small pox †. Independently of the facts thus determined by experience, they have been demonstrated to be truths in another place five years ago, by reasoning according to a mathematical formula ‡. Now, although these two latter truths alone do not de-

\* See A Statement of the Progress in the vaccine Inoculation, &c. by George Pearson, M. D. F. R. S. &c. in *Tilloch's Philos. Mag.* for Aug. 1799, the *Medical and Physical Journal* for Aug. 1799,; also the *London Review* for Aug. 1799. See also the *Report on the Cow Pock Inoculation, &c.* by the Physicians of this Institution, 1803, 8vo. p. 49-53.

† See the paper last cited in the periodical works referred to for August and September, 1799. See the *Report on the Cow Pock Inoculation*, just cited, p. 49—53..

‡ See the Paper in the works just cited. See also the *Examination of Claims of Remuneration, for the Vaccine Inoculation*, by George Pearson, M. D. &c. 8vo, 1802. p. 135—138.

monstrate

monstrate, with mathematical cogency, the truth that a person cannot take the small pox after the cow pock ; yet the proof of this last truth, already so firmly established by direct experiments, hereby becomes more full, and indeed, perhaps, superabundant.

3. The appearances observed on the inoculated parts, in the above experiments, which some may think unnecessarily minute, we apprehend will be found serviceable, by informing the Public of the variety of different forms of the local affection produced in similar cases. It has been observed in some of our above experiments, that the local affection from the small pox matter resembled many cases of the pock of the vaccina ; and although an eye much accustomed to view various eruptions can never fail to see the differences between such eruptions, and the local affections, in the present instances ; yet we know from intercourse with Practitioners, that those who have not acquired such an habit of observation, are prone to be alarmed, in imagining that the eruption excited on a second inoculation is the small pox \*.

4. The

\* Dr. Pearson was desired a day or two ago to see a patient under inoculation for the small pox after the cow pock, which he was told, *without doubt*, had the small pox on the arm inoculated, and that the variolous constitutional disorder was also slightly present. Without asking a question, the bare in-

4. The appearances of the inoculated parts in those who have had the cow pock are not only oftentimes different in different persons, but they are even different in the several punctures of the same arm ; and, as far as we could judge, no appearances were remarked from the variolous insertions which did not also occasionally occur from the vaccine ones ; except that the effects were generally less evident from the latter than the former \*. These varying appearances according to our observations are, such as, (if they occurred on inoculation of matter in order to produce the cow-pock, but failed to do so), would be called the *Spurious Cow Pock*. But hence, we think, is manifest the impropriety of speech, and the consequent diffusion of erroneous notions, in the use of the terms, *Spurious Matter*, and *Spurious Cow Pock*, in those who have, as well as those who have not had the vaccina or variola ; terms which imply a distinct and specific disorder or affection, and a distinct and specific matter : whereas the fact from our experience on the present and former occasions, is, that the local affections are in-

spection satisfied Dr. P. that no small pox was produced ; and he soon also satisfied the inoculator that the local eruption was not, as he supposed, the small pox.

\* The Case of Ann Christie, p. 38. is a special proof of the less stimulation from vaccine than variolous matter.

definable



definable at present, and the terms to denote them must, of course, be indefinite and vague\*.

Drawings of some of the eruptions in the late trials, by two of the best artists in London, Lees, and Medland, jun. shew the differences here spoken of†.

5. The different appearances of the inoculated part seemed to depend upon several circumstances, *viz.* the recent, the diluted, and altered state of the matter by keeping.—The kind of wound in the inoculation—the external injury or irritation, by pressure of cloaths, scratching, &c.—the habit of the subject; but whether according as the matter was variolous or vaccine, will require more experience to determine; yet in the preceding experiments, the effects seem only to differ in being in a greater degree from the variolous than the vaccine matter, and certainly not according to the length of time after vaccination‡.

6. To

\* Examination of Claims of Remuneration on the Cow Pock Inoculation, by G. Pearson, M.D. &c. 8vo, p. 110, 111, 123.—See also the Report on the Cow Pock Inoculation.

† These drawings are not published, on account of their increasing the expence of this Pamphlet.

‡ It appears from the preceding, and numerous trials by others, that the state of inexcitability of the constitution is the same with regard to the variolous and vaccine matter; and is not less some years, than some months, weeks, or days after the cow pock. Hence we have no signs of the unsus-

6. To see whether or no the local affection in these experiments arose from any stimulus but the serum of the blood accompanying the infectious matter, serum was inoculated in several instances. From these it appears that this fluid stimulated the same arm much less than vaccine or variolous matters \*. As far as a trial of six punctures with a clean lancet in a few vaccinated patients may be reasoned upon, the local affection is neither excited so frequently, nor to so great a degree, as with animal matters, in general; but when it does occur, it is similar to some cases of inoculation with vaccine and variolous matter.

The above experiments shew how harmless, though not always without pain, the inoculations by half a dozen punctures on each arm, with vaccine and variolous matters, are in those who have gone through the cow pock; and hence that the test of the security desired having been produced may be very safely applied.

ceptibility growing less and less in the course of five years after vaccination. Nor is the excitability of the skin altered by repeating the inoculation, which shews that the local affection is not from any specific state.

\* The very feeling of the parts inoculated, described by its being a tingling smarting sensation immediately after inoculation with vaccine or variolous matter, which is not felt after inoculation with either serum of blood, serum of vesicles in erysipelatous inflammation, or puncture with a clean lancet, shows that the stimulation is of a different kind by vaccine or variolous matter from the others here mentioned.

Before

Before we close this paper, we must deliver some remarks on the two other classes of adverse cases above noticed, p. 3, *viz.* 1. Those of the small pox supervening, in what is called the natural way, a short time after the constitution has been affected by the cow pock: 2. Those of the small pox so occurring in three or more years after the cow pock. Here it will be right to bring forward our evidence of defence.

1st. A great number of instances had fallen under observation of persons being rendered incapable of the small pox in the natural way throughout life, who had accidentally contracted the cow pock in the occupation of milkers, long before vaccine inoculation was proposed for trial in 1798\*. This fact was known, not in Gloucestershire

\* The Promulgator of the new inoculation, after delivering his whole experience, amounting to seven cases, was not authorised to draw any practical conclusion, and accordingly he prudently says—"May we not infer that a mode of inoculation may be introduced, preferable to that at present adopted, especially amongst those families, which, from previous circumstances, we may judge to be pre-disposed to have the disease unfavourably." See this Author's Inquiry, p. 58. His experience certainly did not authorise more than vaccine inoculation, in the first instances, where the small pox was likely to be fatal; however, the numerous experiments made in London early in the year 1799, and by others, soon shewed that vaccine inoculation would probably prove not only beneficial to individual families, where the small pox was unfavourable, but

cestershire only, but in many other Counties of England, in Ireland, and different parts of the Continent of Europe\*.

2d. The instances of ineffectual inoculation for the small pox, soon after the inoculated cow pock, since the adoption of vaccination first of all in 1799 and 1800, as published by Dr. Woodville †; by one of the writers ‡ of this Statement; and at this Institution, from the year 1800 §, as well as the subsequent testimonies of numerous respec-

universally, as vicarious of the small pox. Still, much investigation was, and is wanting, to render the new practice constantly beneficial; and our Institution having never lost sight of this object has already published the result of three years observation in their First Report, and will soon publish that of the fourth year, in a Second Report, read at the Annual Meeting, in April last.

\* See an Inquiry into the History of the Cow Pock, &c. 8vo, 1798, by George Pearson, M.D. &c. &c. An Examination of the Report of the House of Commons, &c. for the Cow Pock Inoculation, &c. by the same Author, 8vo, 1802. Also, Reflections on the Cow Pock, &c. by William Fermor, Esq. Oxford, 1800; and the Work above quoted, An Enquiry into the Variolæ Vaccinæ, &c. by Edward Jenner, M. D. 4to, 1798.

† Reports, &c. by W. Woodville, M.D. 1799.

‡ See Dr. Pearson's Paper on the Vaccine Inoculation of Several Parishes in the Summer, 1799, published in Tilloch's Magazine, and other periodical works.

§ The Report of the Cow Pock Inoculation by this Institution, 1803, 8vo.



table practitioners, seemed to render all further trials as counter-proofs, a waste of time and labour, merely with a view to the question of susceptibility existing for a certain time, at least after the vaccina ; and from that confidence, as already said, we have for some time not urged the use of inoculation with variolous matter ; yet the number of instances of cases asserted to have been the small pox, in our sphere of practice, has not increased since the variolous inoculation has been discontinued.

3. The numerous connections and correspondents of this Institution, during the course of four years and a half from its establishment, have not furnished more than half a dozen instances asserted to have been the small pox, after the cow pock at this Institution. Of several of these there was no opportunity of investigating the circumstances ; but in others we found, either that the supervening eruptions were not those of the small pox, or if, as in one instance, they really were small pox, there was much reason to believe only a local affection had been produced from the vaccine inoculation ; and in others the small pox supervened within a fortnight after the inoculation, therefore, from variolous matter in the constitu-

\* How it happens that the small pox can break out in a week or two from the first day of vaccine inoculation, has been explained in the *Report* of this Institution above cited, p. 74—77.

tion before the operation. Besides innumerable instances of exposure to the small pox with impunity, which we heard of weekly during several years, the inquiry for cases to furnish the present statement affords alone a conclusive body of evidence. To obtain the sixty cases of inoculation here published, application was made to at least two hundred and fifty families\*, four-fifths of whom would not allow the re-inoculation to be instituted: and the reason assigned by most of them was, that they were sure the small pox could not affect them, having been so often and so favourably exposed, namely, by sleeping, handling, playing, nursing, and in other ways coming in contact with small pox patients.

We were especially anxious to learn whether or not the small pox had supervened in those parts of the country where vaccine inoculation had been practised in the year 1799, for two reasons.

1st. Because of the length of time since vaccination.

2nd. Because the characters of the vaccine

\* The search for the subjects of these experiments afforded the opportunity of ascertaining the state of health subsequent to vaccination, and we had the satisfaction to find that most of them had enjoyed as good, or a better, state of constitution, than before vaccination; and that the few in a contrary state, among children, had no reason to impute it to the cow pock; yet where eruptions, or skin complaints had come on, parents generally ascribed them to the cow pock.

pocks were not then known, either to the inoculators in the first trials, or to any other persons; not even, to judge from his work, the promulgator. It appears, especially by letters from practitioners who were witnesses in 1799, to the vaccine inoculation of several hundred subjects, under the care of two members of our Medical Establishment, *viz.* Dr. Pearson and Mr. Keate, that not one has since taken the small pox. Extracts from letters to this purpose are subjoined.

Those who have written rather professedly against the vaccine inoculation, have produced from their practice, (which in all probability bears but a very small proportion indeed to that of this Institution, and of its members) a much greater proportion of adverse cases than the whole number of even the doubtful ones of the united experience of our body of members.

On examination of the history of contravening cases, even as published, we do not find the necessary proofs in many of them, of the eruptive supervening disorders being the small pox: and in others there are wanting the proofs of the cow pock constitutional affection preceeding. If it be not thought improper, we would take as an illustration the cases just published by Mr. Goldson \*, whom we select for his candour.—The 1st,  
2d,

\* Cases of small pox subsequent to vaccination, &c. by William Goldson, 8vo, 1804.—We have not judged it necessary

2nd, 4th, 5th, 6th, and 7th, in our judgment, want further evidence to prove them to have been cases

sary to inquire into the age of the matter employed by Mr. Goldson, because we have proved super-abundantly at this Institution, that no violent inflammation or other severe consequence was produced by the matter of a particular age, or as attended with areola. We have proved that the only difference is that matter from a pock in the scabbing state is more liable to fail infecting than matter of the young vesicle. The trial proposed by one of the writers of this Statement, (see Examination of Dr. Jenner's Claims, &c. p. 98,) has been brought to issue publicly at this Institution, in the presence of Dr. Baillie. He had the satisfaction of witnessing that matter of the fifth, eighth, eleventh, and even fifteenth day produced equally distinct cow pock in the same arm, and in the same time, and that in the entire process, no difference was perceived between pocks excited by matter of these different ages, as appears from the following extract of our Register:

Tuesday, September 21, 1802. R. JAMES STONES, of Tottenham Court Road; six months old; at the breast. On Dr. Nihell's List, No. 996. Inoculated two upper places with matter of a vaccine pock of the eleventh day after inoculation, but which in reality had only the usual appearance of a vesicle of the eighth day, not at all scabbing, nor having any areola; and two places below the former were inoculated with matter from a pock in a scabbing state, the fifteenth day after inoculation.

*4th Day.*—Only one of the two punctures with matter of the younger vesicle has taken, but both have taken with that of the scabbing pock.



cases of small pox. With respect to the third case, there seems no reasonable doubt that it was *according to the statement, a case of small pox after the cow pock.*

The same kind of testimonies, in as great proportion, are from time to time published of persons taking the small pox\* after inoculation for the same

*8th Day.*—The three vesicular pocks proceeding alike, and in the usual way. Had a little fever the preceeding day, but is to-day well.

*11th Day.*—The three vesicles are alike; now in the scabbing state. No illness.

*15th Day.*—The three vesicles have become three similar genuine black vaccine scabs.

On the same day, *viz.* Sept. 21, of Dr. Baillie's attendance to shew that the presence of the areola, and lateness of the pock, are of no further consequence, except in failing more or less frequently than earlier matters—six patients were inoculated with the matter of a twelfth day pock, surrounded by an areola, of G. Oran, No. 981; and one subject was inoculated with fifth day matter. The matter took effect in all the patients, but their progress and the termination were in the usual course—no difference being observable between the matter from the fifth and twelfth day.

\* The following case, which is an illustration of what is above asserted, fell under the notice of Dr. Pearson and Mr. Marshall a few weeks ago. Mr. P. a bookseller in Holborn, asserted, that he had taken the natural small pox some years after he had been under inoculation for the small pox, which produced a pock in one arm, very distinctly, and a deep scar remained to this day after the scab; but does not know whether

or

same disorder; and as some practitioners have inoculated several scores of patients, on the same occasion, and in the same neighbourhood or town, for the cow pock, many of whom have afterwards taken the small pox, so even lately some Practitioners have inoculated a set of subjects for the small pox, most of whom afterwards took the small pox by effluvia, or by inoculation\*. The evidence is therefore even at this day not without exceptions to the efficacy of variolous inoculation.

However generally Practitioners of experience and observation may agree on what is, and what is not small pox, yet now and then cases occur in which there is a difference of opinion; and in employing the test of inoculation with the matter sometimes. Such cases were proved to have been, and at other times were proved not to have been the small pox †. The same is true with regard to the cow pock.

Hence

or not he had any eruptions or fever. He had subsequently the natural small pox by which he was very much deformed from the scars. In this instance did the small pox occur twice? or was the pock from inoculation only attended by local affection? or was the eruption asserted to be the small pox, only the chicken pox?

\* See some cases of this kind in the American Medical Repository.

† The last week Dr. Pearson was desired to visit three children in the country, all declared to be ill of the small pox, who had

Hence then it seems to us that at the utmost, the adversaries can only pretend to maintain two things.

1. That in a very small proportion of instances, perhaps one case out of several thousands, the cow pock fails to destroy the capability of the small pox in a short time after vaccination.

2. That in a greater proportion of instances the susceptibility of taking the small pox, will be found to have returned in a longer time, as three or more years after vaccination.

But we submit to the judgment of the whole medical world, whether or not, considering the body of positive evidence brought forward,—the improbability of a greater number of failures of the cow pock in the practice of certain single persons, (whose whole experience bears so small a proportion to that of this Institution, and its connections,) than in the practice of those of an hundred times its extent ; and the numerous cases

had been inoculated under his direction for the cow pock some time before. From the description he did not hesitate to say the disorder was not the small pox, and did not think it necessary to visit them till he had received a letter, saying, the surgeon in attendance had no doubt of their being in the small pox. On the first view of the patient, Dr. P. declared the disorder not to be the small pox, which was fully established on examination ; in which Mr. Wachsel, who accompanied Dr. P. and Mr. Ford, governors of the Institution, concurred.

asserted

asserted to be those of small pox, which, on investigation, were found to be other eruptions; we say, considering all these points, is it not more probable that the adverse instances are deceptions \* than that they afford exceptions to a law of the human animal œconomy?

\* We do not pretend that we are able to prove in what respects the cases were deceptions; on the contrary, in place of rejecting, we must admit them according to the statements; and future observations can alone explain such anomalies.



## ABSTRACTS FROM LETTERS.

---

No. I.

*From Mr. KELSON, to Dr. PEARSON.*

*Seven Oaks, June 21, and August 2, 1804.*

“ I IMMEDIATELY inoculated seven persons with it, (the small pox matter sent by Dr. P.) who had been *vaccinated in* \* *April 1799*. The effects were precisely what I had always seen produced in similar experiments. The arms of most of these quickly inflamed. On the fourth day, two or three had quite troublesome pustules, and one of the number was, in consequence, manifestly ill; but the illness was of short duration; the inflammation soon subsided, and on the eighth day the arm was quite well. Another patient had pains of the axilla on the tenth day, and was then feverish: her arm was sore for a fortnight. In no instance was there any rash or eruption, which I expected in this last case, though not a variolous one. I much doubt whether Mr. GOLDSON's patients had the cow pock properly. On the day of these inoculations, after the cow pock, I inoculated two children who had the small pox seven years ago. The inflammation was as rapid

\* Mr. Kelson had the vaccine matter from Dr. P.

and extensive as in the above; and one had a troublesome sore for ten or twelve days. Had I not been before convinced of the infallibility of the cow pox, I should from these cases have been satisfied.

Not one of my patients has taken the small pox in the natural way, who was vaccinated in 1799. Many of them were inoculated for the small pox at the end of 15 months, ineffectually."

---

No. II.

*From Mr. BOONE\*, Sunbury, to Mr. SANCHO, Secretary to the Institution.*

*June 29, and July 5, 1804.*

" I HAVE met with two cases only which are at all doubtful, viz, the child of Mrs. Kell; the particulars are known to Dr. P. and Mr. Osborne. In six weeks after inoculation the small pox broke † out, and was very

\* Mr. Boone, Mr. Osborne, Mr. Ives, and Mr. Blackaller, were either witnesses, or knew the patients vaccinated, by Dr. Pearson and Mr. Keate, in May, June, and July, 1799, in the parishes of Walton, Weybridge, and Hessam; and Mr. Griffinhoofe, and Mr. Nixon, live near these parishes. The small pox is very often in their neighbourhood.

† On looking into his notes, Dr. P. finds that this child had not the cow pox, but merely a local trifling affection in the inoculated part.

very full, as declared by Dr. P. who attended the patient, and did well. The other case was the child of Mr. Tanner of Walton, on the authority of the mother; but Mr. Osborne, the surgeon, who attended, recollects nothing like the occurrence of the small † pox. I can safely say a case never occurred to me where the patient took the small pox after the distinct cow pox; nor did I ever see, in other medical men's practice, such a case."

---

No. III.

*From Mr. OSBORNE, Walton, to Dr. PEARSON:*

*July 6, 1804.*

" I HAVE not seen or heard of any of our patients inoculated for the cow pox in 1799, who have taken the small pox; and I have inoculated great numbers since that time in the same way. I have inoculated

part. " Isaac Kell, inoculated for the cow pox, Thursday, May 9th, 1799,—Tenth day, there was only a large pimple in the inoculated part, which, on the 19th, was a trifling scab, not at all like the vaccine, and had no illness. On the 5th June, was taken ill, and the small pox broke out on the 8th."

† Dr. P.'s notes inform him, that Henry Fanner took the vaccina in the usual way, in May 1799, and June 16th following, was inoculated for the small pox, without effect.

many of these patients for the small pox, but none have taken. Mr. Carpenter, late a surgeon at Walton, says, he has heard of no person who has taken the small pox after the cow pock."

---

No. IV.

*From Mr. Ives, Chertsey, to Mr. SANCHO, Secretary,*

*June 22, 1804.*

" I HAVE been very particular in my inquiries respecting the vaccine disease, and find that of all the children inoculated under Dr. Pearson's direction in 1799, and likewise of all those I inoculated about that time, not one has taken the small pox. I am constantly inoculating for the vaccine disease, and I have not seen a single instance of its failing to prevent the small pox."

No. V.



## No. V.

*From Mr. BLACKALLER, to Mr. SANCHO, Secretary.*

*Weybridge, June 13, 1804.*

“ I HAVE made the strictest inquiry among the patients vaccinated under the inspection of Dr. Pearson, and others, since 1799, and find most of them have been inoculated, and been in the way of the small pox, yet not one has taken that disease in this village; but I have heard of two instances of this happening, although not on authorities at all to be relied on.

---

No. VI.

*From Messrs. GRIFFINHOOF and NIXON, to Mr. SANCHO, Secretary, V. P. Institution.*

*Hampton, June 28, 1804.*

“ THE cases (of vaccination) of Mr. Nixon and myself, have been satisfactory. Proposing variolation, as a proof, three or four years after vaccination, might be injurious to the practice, as a particular description of persons would be alarmed.”

## No. VII.

## No. VII.

*From Mr. MALDEN, to Dr. PEARSON.*

*Putney, June 7, and July 5, 1804.*

“ I DID not find parents willing to submit to a farther experiment, (of inoculation with variolous matter) being perfectly satisfied (after the cow pock). I vaccinated two only in the manner prescribed by you (by three punctures in each arm). In two days each puncture shewed evident marks of infection, continued stationary for about a week, and then gradually disappeared.

“ I have heard of many reports of the small pox after the cow pock; but on inquiry I find them to be false. I inoculated for the cow pox fifty-six patients in October 1800, and variolated them in the May following, without effect. I know of no instance of the small pox after the cow pock.”

---

 No. VIII.

*From Dr. HARRISON, to Dr. PEARSON.*

*Horncastle, June 18, 1804,*

“ In the year 1799, I inoculated about one hundred persons with vaccine matter, which you obligingly sent down to me; and I can safely affirm, that not one has  
suffered

suffered from the small pox, though most of them have been exposed to its influence. Cow pock inoculation has been much practised among us; and from my general connection with the county, I should certainly have been informed, if, in any instance, the small pox had followed the constitutional cow pock.

“ In an early period of the new inoculation, the daughter of my friend, the Rev. Mr. A. had the small pox, after the local cow pock; and a similar accident happened to one or two of Mr. Whitworth’s children. These failures were so much commented upon by the prejudiced and uninformed, that a public statement was given by myself and Dr. Fawsett. At this time our Dispensary are busily employed with the matter procured from the *Vaccine (pock) Institution* (of 1799.)

THE END.

*Lately was published, price 5s. 6d.*

1. The Report on the Cow Pock Inoculation, from the Practice at the original Vaccine Pock Institution, Broad-street, Golden-square, during the Years 1800, 1801, and 1802, to establish the Laws of Agency of Vaccine Matter, by the Physicians of the Institution (Drs. Pearson, Nihell, and Nelson) with two elegant and accurate painted Engravings, by Lees and Medland, 8vo. 1803.

Printed and sold by H. Reynell, 21, Piccadilly; W. Sancho, at the Institution; J. Cuthell and Martin; and other Booksellers.

2. An Examination, (price 4s.) of the Report of the Committee of the House of Commons on the Claims of Remuneration for the Vaccine Pock Inoculation, containing the History of the Vaccina, with coloured Plates, by George Pearson, M.D. F.R.S. Physician to the Vaccine Pock Institution, Senior Physician to St. George's Hospital, Honorary Member of the Board of Agriculture, &c. 8vo.

Printed for J. Johnson, 72, St. Paul's Church-yard. 1802.

*Shortly will be published,*

3. The Continuation of the Report of the Vaccine Pock Inoculation, on the Laws of Agency of the Vaccine Matter, as read at the Annual Meeting of the Governors, April 30, 1804, at the British Coffee-house, by the Physicians, the Authors of the former Report.

*Shortly will be printed,*

4. An Address to the Heads of Families, concerning their Children, who have already been inoculated for the Cow Pock, and those who may in future be so inoculated. By one of the Physicians of the original Vaccine Pock Institution, Broad-street, Golden-square.

5. In the course of the present year will be published, a new edition, (the former being long out of print,) with additions, of An Inquiry concerning the History of the Cow Pock; written with a view to supersede and extinguish the Small Pox, in 1798. By George Pearson, M. D. Physician to the Cow Pock Institution, to St. George's Hospital, of the College of Physicians, &c. &c.

---

VACCINE POCK INSTITUTION, 44, *Broad Street, Golden Square, being the First established, December, 1799.*

Supported by VOLUNTARY SUBSCRIPTIONS, and the Gratuitous Services as well as Subscriptions of each of the Medical Establishments. Particulars may be known, and Contributions thankfully received by Mr. Sancho, Secretary at the Institution.

---

W. Blackader, Printer, Tock's Court, Chancery Lane.







27 5 3  
172

